
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

INDEPENDENT COMMISSION OF INQUIRY INTO QUEENSLAND POLICE
SERVICE RESPONSES TO DOMESTIC AND FAMILY VIOLENCE

COMMISSIONER: HER HONOUR JUDGE DEBORAH RICHARDS

COUNSEL ASSISTING: RUTH O'GORMAN KC
ANNA CAPPELLANO

Court 34, Brisbane Magistrates Court,
363 George Street, Brisbane.

Friday, 7 October 2022

1 COMMISSIONER: Yes.

2

3 MS O'GORMAN: Good morning, Commissioner, the witness to
4 be called to give evidence this morning is Mr Ian Leavers.
5 Prior to him being called, however, I understand that
6 Mr Hunter has a matter that he would like to raise in order
7 to clarify some of the evidence given yesterday.

8

9 COMMISSIONER: Yes.

10

11 MR HUNTER: Commissioner, the matter I seek to raise
12 concerns the questioning of the Commissioner about the
13 matter of Suzie Forte yesterday when you, Commissioner,
14 said to her, "So the investigating officer actually charged
15 her and Cath Nielsen, or attempted to," and the
16 investigating officer was named by - I think by --

17

18 COMMISSIONER: Myself. Hinshelwood, yes.

19

20 MR HUNTER: As Hinshelwood. We were not expecting that
21 matter to be raised, and so of course I wasn't across the
22 material, but I've been contacted indirectly by
23 Detective Hinshelwood overnight, who is concerned at the
24 suggestion that she either charged or attempted to charge
25 Ms Forte with --

26

27 COMMISSIONER: She made the complaint in the middle of an
28 inquest, a 466 and a perjury complaint, in circumstances
29 where there had been no findings of fact.

30

31 MR HUNTER: She felt constrained by section 6A.1 of
32 the Police Service Administration Act, which - the problems
33 with which have already been canvassed here to some extent.
34 So her report to Ethical Standards was consistent with
35 that, and her recommendations were confined to recommending
36 that a copy of Ms Nielsen's evidence be obtained, that a
37 copy of Ms Forte's affidavit be obtained and that the
38 matter be investigated. She did not recommend a charge,
39 did not seek to charge; she simply referred it to Ethical
40 Standards.

41

42 COMMISSIONER: Where does the perjury complaint come from?
43 Because there was absolutely no basis for a perjury
44 complaint.

45

46 MR HUNTER: I don't see a perjury complaint in the 466.
47 Allegation 1 was a failing to report misconduct.

1 Allegation 2 was a failing to report misconduct.

2

3 COMMISSIONER: Well, on this investigation report --

4

5 MR HUNTER: And then 4 and 5 were perjury.

6

7 COMMISSIONER: In the investigation report that I'm
8 looking at, allegation 2 - sorry, allegations 8 and 9,
9 perjury, Hischelwood.

10

11 MR HUNTER: Is that her investigation report or the
12 investigation report by Ethical Standards?

13

14 COMMISSIONER: She's down as the CP, which is the person
15 that reports, isn't it? Concerned party.

16

17 MR HUNTER: I don't have that document. All I have is the
18 466, which is a two-page email to Ethical Standards, and
19 I'm instructed that that officer did not seek to have
20 either of those people charged. She simply reported the
21 matter as she saw it as her obligation pursuant to the Act.
22 I'm not in a position to debate the question with you, but
23 I am instructed that that is the officer's position, and
24 obviously she was named yesterday and she's concerned about
25 how her conduct was portrayed.

26

27 COMMISSIONER: All right. Okay. That's noted. Thank
28 you. Really, the point was that those poor women, who were
29 both victims of crime, should not have had to even worry
30 about that.

31

32 MR HUNTER: I did not, Your Honour, traverse into that
33 area, for obvious reasons.

34

35 COMMISSIONER: Sorry, that's a bit of a sidetrack. Yes.

36

37 MS O'GORMAN: If it's convenient now, I call
38 Mr Ian Leavers.

39

40 <IAN LEAVERS, SWORN

41

42 <EXAMINATION BY MS O'GORMAN:

43

44 MS O'GORMAN: Mr Leavers, you're currently the President
45 of the Queensland Police Union of Employees?

46

47 A. That is correct.

1 Q. And how long have you been in that role for?

2 A. Since 2009.

3

4 Q. All right. The role of the union includes, does it
5 not, representing your members on industrial, legal, social
6 and political issues?

7 A. Yes, it does.

8

9 Q. Your union has a strong membership, as we understand
10 it, more than 12,200 members?

11 A. That's correct.

12

13 Q. Now, of your members, some 3,480 are women?

14 A. That's correct.

15

16 Q. Which, on my calculations, means that almost
17 30 per cent of your membership is comprised of women?

18 A. Yes.

19

20 Q. Now, in terms of your members, what positions might
21 they hold within the QPS? You represent sworn police
22 officers obviously. What other positions are represented?

23 A. Yes, what we do represent is anyone who is a recruit
24 through to and including the rank of senior sergeant, as
25 well as police liaison officers, the police pipes and drums
26 and the assistant watchhouse officers.

27

28 Q. All right. Over the course of this Commission of
29 Inquiry but perhaps most notably over the last couple of
30 days, the Inquiry has heard about matters relating to
31 sexism, misogyny and racism within the QPS?

32 A. Yes.

33

34 Q. Can I ask whether you watched or have been briefed on
35 any of the evidence given by the Police Commissioner,
36 Ms Carroll, over the course of the last two days?

37 A. Yes, but not in its entirety.

38

39 Q. Yes, you have watched some of it?

40 A. Yes, I have.

41

42 Q. All right. For your information, the Commission of
43 Inquiry has received well over 200 submissions from police
44 members about matters relating to cultural issues within
45 the QPS either in respect of domestic and family violence
46 specifically or more generally in relation to sexism,
47 misogyny and racism, and you might be aware if you watched

1 some of the proceedings over the last two days that some
2 examples have been the subject of evidence that's been
3 discussed in that time?

4 A. Yes.

5

6 Q. You're aware of that?

7 A. Yes.

8

9 Q. All right. Can I ask you prior to the evidence
10 traversed in the last two days what your knowledge as the
11 president of the union was about the issue of, firstly,
12 sexism and misogyny within the QPS?

13 A. Look, in any large organisation it will and it has
14 occurred, and, whether that's policing or any other
15 profession or industry, I suggest that would be the same.
16 Now, by saying that I don't say it's right. I think there
17 is certainly room for improvement. But what I do say is
18 98 per cent of the people that I represent are doing the
19 right thing each and every day. I do think it needs to be
20 called out. It needs to be addressed. But we need to dive
21 deeper as to why it is occurring and what can be done as we
22 move into the future.

23

24 Q. Do you have any knowledge of the extent to which women
25 in particular are confronted with issues of sexism and
26 misogyny when they go to work as police officers from
27 within the organisation?

28 A. I don't have the exact figures, but what I do in my
29 role is I'm right around the State of Queensland and I talk
30 to my members across the state and I interact with them and
31 I'm aware of things that do take place. I listen to all
32 people with concerns, and I do what I can to assist them.

33

34 Q. And how often have you been speaking to women about
35 the issue of sexism and misogyny?

36 A. I talk to people all the time in relation to their
37 experiences and what may or may not have taken place. I'm
38 probably the only president in my role in the country that
39 is publicly available via the phone or email to all
40 members, and I respond accordingly, as well as I'm overtly
41 out there talking to people, not just responding.

42

43 Q. My question was, though, about your engagement with
44 women and their experiences of sexism and misogyny. Have
45 you been hearing from women members about their experiences
46 of those issues within the QPS?

47 A. Yes, I have heard of some, and I support people when

1 issues are raised as well.

2

3 Q. How do you support people when issues are raised? If
4 you can give us an indication of the sort of thing that you
5 do?

6 A. What the union does do - and there's many facets as
7 we'll go here - depending upon the circumstances - within
8 my background in policing I did a lot of work in child
9 protection. So it's always you've got to respect the
10 rights of the victim and the circumstances, and sometimes
11 people just want to unload and talk to me. Yes, there's
12 obligations under the Police Service Administration Act,
13 but they may go through the issues, and if they - depending
14 upon the circumstances, I'll provide them with other
15 support should they wish to take the matter further or
16 depending upon the circumstances. But I'm always cognisant
17 on what the victim actually wants at that point in time to
18 be able to support them.

19

20 Now, when it comes to - there's a couple times on
21 this with domestic violence I've supported members who've
22 gone through some difficult times, provided them with legal
23 support so they can actually make a complaint, because when
24 people get to the point of making a complaint I'll suggest
25 they're damaged and they do need some support and
26 assistance, and that's the assistance I provide.

27

28 Q. Sorry, I'm not quite sure if I understood that. You
29 said from time to time people talk to you about the fact
30 they want to make a complaint in relation to domestic and
31 family violence; did I understand that correctly?

32 A. That's one issue, yes.

33

34 Q. Okay. And so what would you be talking about there?
35 One of your members wanting to make a complaint about
36 domestic and family violence?

37 A. I've had members who have been victims of family and
38 domestic violence, although I look at our legal funding,
39 I've actually provided them with legal support to be able
40 to assist them through navigating that very complex and
41 traumatic process so they can be looked after in a better
42 way, as well as when I've had members who have been
43 affected by family and domestic violence I've provided
44 accommodation which is only known to me and obviously my
45 PA, who books it, to give them a safe place should that be
46 required. So I take that very seriously.

47

1 Q. So you're talking about legal funding being available
2 for members who have been the subject of domestic and
3 family violence and might need to go through the process,
4 the legal process, of obtaining an order or other form of
5 protection?

6 A. Yes.

7
8 Q. Okay. And how frequently does it occur that the union
9 provides funding for people in that situation?

10 A. Sometimes it goes in runs, and it's hard to quantify
11 each and every year, and it is very hard at times people
12 wanting to come forward, whether they're police - well,
13 police - for police officers it's very hard for them to
14 come forward because they're quite proud people and they
15 don't want to come out, so to say, because of the fear it
16 can be embarrassing, and I would suggest that's for all
17 victims in some ways. I don't want to categorise
18 everybody. But as it's needed and as it comes to our
19 attention or it's reported to me I'll provide that. It
20 could be five times a year, it could be sometimes three.
21 It just goes in runs. But I do it as need be. If it
22 happened to be 15, I'd provide the support.

23
24 Q. And what happens in circumstances where the person
25 who's coming to you telling you that they're the subject of
26 domestic and family violence and it's their partner who is
27 a police officer who's perpetrated that abuse? How does
28 the union deal with who to provide funding to in those
29 circumstances?

30 A. What we do at the police union is it's like we're a
31 third party organisation when it comes to legal defence.
32 When it comes to domestic violence for perpetrators, it is
33 rare that funding is ever provided. Initially in the first
34 instance should an interview take place we will provide
35 support, whether that's through a legal officer or one of
36 our representatives around the state. We will do that.
37 But then it is continually reassessed as to what ongoing
38 support is there for a perpetrator. There have been some
39 instances where we have provided support, but they are
40 extreme circumstances where action has been taken purely
41 because there may have been a direction or there are cases
42 where directions have come from senior police, "You will
43 take out an order regardless because they are a police
44 officer."

45
46 Q. Okay. You have provided a statement to the Commission
47 of Inquiry in about July of this year; correct?

1 A. That is correct.

2

3 Q. Now, in your statement you've explained for
4 the Commission, and I'm referring to paragraph 27 here:

5

6 *When I joined in 1989 there were prominent*
7 *elements of misogyny and racism within the*
8 *service.*

9

10 A. That's correct.

11

12 Q. You remember explaining that?

13 A. Yes.

14

15 Q. And in the next paragraph you say:

16

17 *The service is much more enlightened*
18 *nowadays in respect of things such as*
19 *attitudes towards females, including female*
20 *colleagues, and members of minority and*
21 *disadvantaged groups.*

22

23 A. That's correct.

24

25 Q. Do you remember saying that? In light of the evidence
26 that has come out in this Commission of Inquiry over the
27 course of the public hearings but perhaps most pointedly in
28 the last two days, do you have any more heightened concerns
29 about the prevalence of sexism and misogyny in the present
30 day in the QPS than appear to be expressed in that
31 statement?

32

33 A. I think going back to the 80s and 90s was a different
34 world, and thankfully we are far more educated and a
35 progressive society now. Do I think it still exists? Yes,
36 I do believe in small pockets, but I do believe the vast
37 majority of police are doing the right thing and for every
38 one incident that takes place there are hundreds of
39 positive stories which are out there. I'm not excusing the
40 one that takes place, but I need to put it into perspective
41 that there are many people doing the right thing who are
42 calling out behaviour and ensuring the workplace is safe.
43 Do I think that will be continuing? That will continue
44 forever and a day. I think that will be an ongoing thing.
45 I truly do believe that.

46

47 Q. So do I understand it to be your view that the issue
of sexism and misogyny within the QPS is one which is

1 restricted to small pockets?

2 A. Yes, I do. I believe it's small pockets, and that can
3 happen in perhaps one location or it can be across the
4 State of Queensland. What I mean by that, it could be in
5 one particular area you may have more instances, whereas it
6 won't happen in other areas. But I think across the board
7 it is in small pockets, and people are better educated now
8 and far more respectful, and in some of the work I've done
9 around the state and in particular when we talk about those
10 from diverse backgrounds as well as women I have an open
11 statement, and I say this to recruits when I talk to them,
12 "You treat women the way you would treat your mother, your
13 sister, your partner, your daughter, whoever it may be.
14 Everyone deserves to be treated with dignity and respect
15 and, if it's not the case, it stops. What happened
16 probably in the '70s and the '80s and the '90s, that's
17 happened back then. I can't change what's happened then.
18 But this is the standard that is expected now. So treat it
19 as though it is someone close to you." I was once told in
20 my first job treat people the way you would like to be
21 treated yourself, and that still stands today.

22
23 Q. I just want to see if I can explore a little further
24 with you what I understand your view to be about the small
25 pockets of sexism and misogyny. When you say you
26 understand there might be small pockets, is that because
27 you're basing that on particular complaints that have been
28 brought to your attention either generally or through the
29 course of the last couple of days of evidence?

30 A. The last couple of days. But I live, breathe and see
31 things and I know what does occur, and my firm view is, and
32 I've embarked on this over the last few years, is - the
33 terms I use is prevention and disruption. I talk about
34 ethical behaviours on many things on what is the right
35 thing and what is expected in 2022 or 2021, whenever I've
36 given these presentations, and there is always room for
37 improvement and change, and we've got to treat people
38 respectfully, and by and large 98 per cent of police do.
39 They join the police because they want to make the
40 community a safer and a better place, and they're doing the
41 right thing. Sadly, there are a very small percentage who
42 are doing the wrong thing who tarnish the rest of us.

43
44 Q. The Commission of Inquiry has received a great many
45 submissions from QPS members which suggest that sexism and
46 misogyny at all sorts of different levels within the QPS is
47 rife. Do I take it that you would not agree with that

1 view?

2 A. No, I would reject that, and I will stand by my
3 statement that I believe 98 per cent of people are doing
4 the right thing. But I make no allusions there is some
5 abhorrent behaviour that takes place, and there's one that
6 is personal to me and you've heard it this week. Just give
7 me a minute, please. Someone who is very close to me was
8 subjected to some of this behaviour. I'm appalled by that
9 behaviour, and I've lived and breathed it. And not only
10 effect it has upon her but the family and the ripple
11 effects and dealing with that again this week and the
12 traumatisation. I don't condone nor I accept that
13 behaviour, and I can assure you that person who said and
14 did what he did, there is not - at that time I wanted to
15 throttle that person. I'm a law-abiding person. I haven't
16 done that. But it deeply affected me and others. So I'm
17 acutely aware of what takes place. But I can say the
18 majority of police are doing the right thing. But those
19 people - that behaviour is not acceptable. So I know the
20 effects and I know the ripple effects too well, and that is
21 why I think it's important we support all people within the
22 organisation.

23
24 Q. Short of matters such as threats to carry out serious
25 physical acts against somebody, do you have any
26 understanding or sense that women within the QPS are
27 routinely subjected to less serious, more subtle forms of
28 sexism such as name calling or being excluded from male
29 groups, that kind of behaviour?

30 A. That may very well happen, and I'm not aware of all
31 that, unless I'm advised accordingly. But I don't think
32 people should be excluded because of their gender or
33 whatever the case may be. But I do know within policing
34 it's interesting, we've had some changes. You can look
35 at - team rostering is an interesting thing, and it's not
36 about racism or misogyny and that, but teams - where
37 stations used to socialise together, now teams socialise
38 together and they don't socialise with other teams. So you
39 may have pockets where some groups will socialise together
40 and others won't.

41
42 But putting people aside because of their gender,
43 that's not the way, and good men, and many men I know, they
44 call that out and they won't accept that sort of behaviour.
45 So I think men have a really important role. But from what
46 I have seen - and I don't think it's the intent of this
47 Inquiry, but a lot of men have been tarnished of being

1 misogynist, and I don't think that's the intent, but there
2 has been a significant effect upon the membership that
3 I represent, and I think it affects a lot of the women
4 within the organisation as well because a lot of them have
5 felt under siege when, as I said, 98 per cent are just
6 doing the best they possibly can.

7
8 Q. Do I understand you to be saying that you understand
9 that a lot of women within the QPS are feeling under siege
10 as a result of this Commission of Inquiry?

11 A. I think both males and females have - it's had a
12 significant affect upon them, possibly by the way it has
13 been reported in a very negative way, and I know that I sat
14 in on some sessions early and I saw some of my members give
15 evidence, and the evidence that I observed was certainly
16 wasn't what was reported, and that is really sad.

17
18 Q. The Commission of Inquiry itself has been inundated
19 with submissions from members within the QPS grateful for
20 the fact that some of these matters are being discussed
21 openly, they say for the first time in their careers. Are
22 those sorts of attitudes not being conveyed to you about
23 this Commission of Inquiry?

24 A. No, I'm saying there have been some effects as a
25 result. But those people who have come forward, I think
26 that is really important that people have come forward and
27 people should feel safe to be able to come forward in a
28 safe environment. That is really important.

29
30 Q. My question was whether or not members are conveying
31 to you a level of gratitude that these sorts of issues are
32 being discussed openly?

33 A. Yes and no. Yes and no. Some people have come
34 forward and I think it's important, and I look at the
35 complaint system in policing where police make complaints
36 against their colleagues, as they're entitled to do. I see
37 that as a positive thing where things have to be called
38 out. But there have been some negative connotations, and
39 the way things have been reported it has affected the
40 entire organisation.

41
42 Q. All right. Now, as I understand it, there are
43 approximately 28 women in leadership positions within the
44 union?

45 A. Yes.

46
47 Q. Does that presently remain the case?

1 A. Yes, I believe so.

2

3 Q. All right. And you presently have no women on your
4 executive committee; correct?

5 A. Not as at January this year.

6

7 Q. Do you think it would be beneficial if the union had
8 more women in leadership positions, including on the
9 executive committee, in the sense that it may allow your
10 women members to speak more freely and convey to leadership
11 their issues with respect to sexism and misogyny within the
12 QPS?

13 A. Yes. But what I need to say is we are elected
14 democratically across the state, and with the police union
15 all the executive positions are geographically based and
16 that is how people are elected. Have I encouraged females
17 to run? Absolutely I have. I've supported some to run,
18 and they haven't won at the ballot. I've also - we have
19 our Women's Advisory Committee, which I've morphed into
20 including diversity as well because I see that as vitally
21 important. But I'm always encouraging. But within the
22 police union I can say it's not something a lot of people
23 aspire to be involved in because it can certainly be
24 detrimental to your career. It has a lot of adverse
25 impacts to your career. But there is work to be done, but
26 we are democratically elected, and there are other things
27 I'm working on, and I see what happens in other
28 organisations where should you - for example, it's an
29 all-male board at the top table, if you call it that, at
30 this point in time, do you consider appointing other people
31 from different backgrounds with a different skill set which
32 can further enhance the board, and I'm going through that
33 process at this point in time.

34

35 Q. Is there a problem in your view potentially with the
36 culture of the union if your democratic processes are
37 resulting in so few women being elected to positions of
38 leadership and none on your executive committee?

39 A. No, I don't think it's the culture. No. Being
40 involved in the police union, it's not an attractive
41 proposition and, I can be honest, we're struggling to get
42 people to be reps, male or female, across the state. But
43 we are actively working on that to increase it, and I think
44 that's vitally important. But it is democratically
45 elected, and it does - whoever takes up those positions, it
46 not only can have a severe impact upon your career as you
47 move forward, it requires a great deal of time.

1
2 But it is open to anyone, and I've supported many
3 women who have wanted to run for positions, and when
4 I initially ran for the local branch in Ipswich in I think
5 it was 97, I actually ran a ticket with another lady
6 because we wanted to see change within the organisation.
7 So that was some 25 years ago. So that was part of a plan
8 I had back then. But it hasn't always been easy, and it's
9 not an attractive job. It can be - from the hierarchy, it
10 can be not seen in a positive light as well, which saddens
11 me because I think we have a vital role within policing,
12 especially with the density of the membership that we have.
13 But it is challenging, and I know within these roles - and
14 I'll say this, I'll use myself as an example, the cost
15 personally is incredible, and that is time and other
16 things, and I can - and, unless you have supportive people
17 around you in the police union role, it's really a tough
18 gig to be able to do. And I've got to give credit to my
19 son's mother because without her support I couldn't do what
20 I do. So I rely on her to support me. So anyone who takes
21 on this role, they need the support of those around them,
22 otherwise they cannot simply do the job.

23
24 And I don't know why but - I've got a very open mind
25 on how we move into the future, but being involved in the
26 police union is not attractive. Some people see you as
27 nothing more than a troublemaker, and they distance
28 themselves from the organisation. So I think things need
29 to evolve. But, yes, it's a democratically elected
30 organisation.

31
32 Q. So do I take it from what you're saying that the
33 difficulty with low numbers of women in leadership
34 positions within the union is because you're not having
35 enough women come forward to nominate themselves for those
36 positions? Are you struggling to get women to stand for
37 the positions?

38 A. Women and men, because it takes a lot of time outside
39 of your ordinary course of your duties. You can't --

40
41 Q. No, sorry, Mr Leavers, I'm interested in exploring the
42 issue of the numbers of women in leadership. So
43 I understand you're saying across the board it's difficult
44 to attract both men and women to nominate for those
45 positions, but I'm interested for the moment in the women.

46 A. Yes.

47

1 Q. Is the fact that there is such low representation of
2 women within leadership positions a consequence of the fact
3 that there are so few women willing to nominate themselves
4 for them?

5 A. It could be. I can't say what is in the minds of
6 people. I don't know. But what I've tried to do is be
7 progressive and support wherever I possibly can.
8

9 Q. I'm not asking you to be in the mind of anyone. I'm
10 just trying to get to the bottom of why it is that women
11 aren't being elected to these positions. Is it because on
12 the one hand you don't have very many women willing to
13 stand for them, or do you have lots of women willing to
14 stand for them who are then simply not being elected and a
15 man is being elected in her place?

16 A. No, the latter I say is not the case.
17

18 Q. Okay. So the issue is with being able to persuade
19 women to stand for these positions; is that correct?

20 A. Yes.
21

22 Q. And do you have any sense of why it is that women
23 aren't willing to stand for the positions?

24 A. No, because there's different - you get different
25 feedback, and I've tried to work with a lot of women as to
26 why, and at times, one, you've got to have an interest, and
27 I can say, one, when it talks to being in a union, that was
28 not my goal in life, I can assure you for that, and I got
29 involved because of specific reasons that occurred at the
30 location I was. Sometimes there's got to be a reason as to
31 why you want to get involved. But it is not seen as an
32 attractive proposition, and if you move areas you have to
33 rescind your position as well. So I don't know why, but
34 I've tried to promote and I've done other things and
35 initiatives which I have progressed to try and take away
36 the negative stigma of being involved in the union.
37

38 Q. And what are those initiatives that you've tried to
39 progress so far as women are concerned, that is in terms of
40 putting women into those leadership positions?

41 A. I ran a course some years ago. It was a female-only
42 branch official course, and the reason why is I didn't want
43 just branch officials. I just wanted collectively to get
44 people involved and the training course in Brisbane was to
45 expose them to what we do at the police union, because it's
46 not all the negativity that it's purported to be. We
47 actually do a lot for our people when it comes to welfare

1 and assisting them through day-to-day things. And,
2 although I had people come, there was - I've got - no one
3 really took up further involvement in the union.
4

5 Other things I've tried to promote - and I do it on a
6 national level as well. We are trying to target people to
7 actually get involved in the union and it's even been
8 suggested working with the broader union movement. But
9 we're very different to the rest of the broader union
10 movement as well.
11

12 Other things like the ACWAP, the Australasian Council
13 of Women and Policing - to go back in history, there was a
14 massive disconnect between the unions and that organisation
15 going back as a result of when Christine Nixon was the
16 Chief Commissioner in Victoria. I don't know why the
17 hatred is back in those days, but I drew the line in the
18 sand and I said to the board we need to sponsor this and
19 encourage and recognise excellence in policing within
20 women - with women and which they do a good job on that and
21 to get involved, and I've had other colleagues say, "Yeah,
22 but what happened back then." I said, "Let's draw a line
23 in the sand. I've forgotten about that. I just want to
24 move on. We've got to be representative, and we've got to
25 support everyone within our organisation." So I can't say
26 I have all the magical answers on how to force people into
27 it, but it's democratically elected, and I don't have all
28 the answers.
29

30 Q. All right. Does the union support attempts by the QPS
31 to increase female representation within the Queensland
32 Police Service?

33 A. Yes, I have no issues with that.
34

35 Q. Okay. Well, there's a difference between not having
36 an issue with an attempt to increase female representation
37 and supporting it. Does the union support attempts to
38 increase the number of women within the service?

39 A. Yes, I do with women and also people from diverse or
40 First Nations background, and there is no secret I was very
41 critical of the former Police Commissioner on his
42 recruiting program, where - and I used the word "woke", and
43 I go through what that is. My concern was back then the
44 organisation was not transparent and they lowered the
45 standards for women to join the job. The net result of
46 that was women who would achieve fairly on merit were being
47 classified as people who had a lower merit. That was

1 unfair, and a lot of the people who complained and who were
2 most vocal to me in my role were women who had achieved on
3 merit, they had worked very hard, and they felt like they
4 had been wronged by the organisation, which was unfair, and
5 a lot of women say to me, "We want to achieve on merit. We
6 don't want to be tokens," and I fully support that.

7
8 What I do believe, the service was not transparent, it
9 was untruthful, and I called that out, and the CCC found
10 that during their inquiry. What I would suggest needs to
11 be done, but I'm an advocate, is if we are not attracting
12 enough females or people from First Nations backgrounds,
13 and I've got ideas on that as well, as well as those from
14 other cultures, we need to go to those groups and say,
15 "What can we do to make it more attractive, or why do you
16 not want to be a police officer?" And there needs to be a
17 body of work to do that to attract people to see what can
18 be done or what are the obstacles in place.

19
20 That is my view, and of recent times I've worked with
21 people within the First Nations community and there's a
22 college in North Queensland and there's - last September
23 there was 105 students there who were all First Nations
24 people. I think we should be going to environments like
25 that and targeting - it's an all-boys school, so it's away
26 from the women, but we should be - if we could get two or
27 three of those young men to join the police, that would be
28 a win, and let it be seen as a great career. So I think we
29 should be targeting people from all different backgrounds
30 but look as to why they don't want to join the police, not
31 lower the standards because I think we need to maintain a
32 set set of standards.

33
34 Q. In respect of the particular efforts that have been
35 made by the QPS that you referred to just before as having
36 been spoken on by the CCC last year, you were referring to
37 the fifty-fifty quota project; correct?

38 A. Yes.

39
40 Q. When the CCC report was handed down in May of last
41 year about the attempts to increase women in the QPS at a
42 rate of or to a rate of fifty-fifty, you were quoted as
43 saying that, "We are sick and tired of these woke pandering
44 exercises in relation to quotas." Accepting, as I do, what
45 you just said about the union's view on quotas, do you
46 nonetheless accept that comments like that made in respect
47 of attempts to increase female representation in the QPS

1 appear belittling and dismissive of what must only be
2 reasonably seen as a worthwhile attempt?

3 A. No, because I see the Police Service was being totally
4 dishonest and not transparent. They should have called it
5 out for what it was. If they were going to lower
6 standards, be upfront and honest about it. Don't be
7 deceitful. That was my issue.

8
9 On the gender, the woke, it can be seen as an
10 injustice, whether it's perceived or believed or otherwise.
11 But be open and honest. And some of the things that I've
12 just suggested now, that is what needs to occur. No one
13 wants to be a token, and when policewomen talk to me, they
14 are some of the loudest and the biggest advocates, they
15 want to achieve on merit and they don't want to be a token;
16 and I think we actually did a great disrespect to women in
17 that. So if my comments were taken in another way, it was
18 out of the frustration because I had raised that issue for
19 many years and the Police Service lied every step of the
20 way and said they did not reduce standards. I had people
21 telling me all the time on what was occurring. That's
22 unacceptable.

23
24 And the other issue I have, we have to maintain these
25 standards because in policing it's a life-and-death job.
26 If people cannot meet the appropriate standards it puts
27 other people at risk. Whether they're male or female or
28 whoever they may be, there needs to be that set standard.
29 Once you lower standards, that can affect the safety of
30 police officers, it affects community safety, and the
31 ability we have to be able to serve the people of
32 Queensland in the manner which is expected of us.

33
34 COMMISSIONER: Bad language, though, wasn't it? That was
35 bad language, "woke pandering"?

36 A. Yes, Commissioner. That was out of complete
37 frustration of a dishonest system which had taken place.

38
39 COMMISSIONER: I accept what you're saying and what your
40 view is, but it was still bad language, wasn't it?

41 A. I can accept that, but I -- I

42
43 COMMISSIONER: It just gives a bad impression, Mr Leavers?

44 A. I'm not against women. Those who are close to me know
45 that's the case and I'm not like that, and I accept what
46 you're saying, but my intention was it was out of a corrupt
47 system, it was damaging to the organisation.

1
2 COMMISSIONER: Yes, you've said that, and I accept that.
3 But language matters, doesn't it?

4 A. Yes, it can. Yes.

5
6 MS O'GORMAN: In respect of the issue of language and
7 language matters, you'll recall that the Women's Safety and
8 Justice Taskforce commenced its inquiries in March of last
9 year, that is March of 2021?

10 A. Yes.

11
12 Q. And you know that it released its first report in
13 November of 2021 because you commented on recommendations
14 within that report; correct?

15 A. Yes.

16
17 Q. You'd be aware that between March and November of 2021
18 that taskforce worked tirelessly and consulted extensively
19 in order to inform itself about the QPS and its responses
20 to domestic and family violence?

21 A. I accept that.

22
23 Q. Do you accept that the taskforce chair, the retired
24 President of the Court of Appeal, the Honourable Margaret
25 McMurdo, is herself a highly respected and very competent
26 individual heading up that organisation?

27 A. Yes.

28
29 Q. You'll recall that when the first report was handed
30 down the Honourable Margaret McMurdo recommended a
31 commission of inquiry to probe police cultural issues?

32 A. Yes.

33
34 Q. You'll recall, I presume, that you responded in the
35 media by saying:

36
37 *This is yet again another woke,*
38 *out-of-touch report by a retired judge that*
39 *over-reaches where it pertains to police.*

40
41 And that you went on to say:

42
43 *I'm pleased to see the Commissioner of*
44 *Police agrees with me and is joining with*
45 *the QPU in standing up for all the*
46 *overworked, under-resourced frontline*
47 *police in utterly rejecting Margaret*

1 *McMurdo's suggestion of a commission of*
2 *inquiry into the Queensland Police Service.*

3
4 Do you remember saying that?

5 A. Yes, I do.

6
7 Q. Do you accept that those comments made about
8 the Honourable Margaret McMurdo, who had engaged in the
9 extensive inquiries that she had about very important
10 issues pertaining to police and domestic and family
11 violence, were at the very least dismissive and belittling
12 of that work and of her?

13 A. No, I don't, and I'm happy to further explain that.

14
15 Q. Please do.

16 A. Yes. What I saw was an inquiry which I had hoped
17 would come out with some meaningful recommendations to
18 solve what I call is a national crisis in relation to
19 domestic violence. I've been campaigning for well over a
20 decade for change and reform when it comes to
21 domestic violence. I here see another inquiry. I agree
22 with 88 out of the 89 recommendations, which is purely
23 focused on the Queensland Police Service. Now, I can go
24 into many other issues on other agencies which have failed
25 every step of the way. But I'll put that to the side at
26 this point in time.

27
28 The only recommendation I've seen come out of that is
29 this inquiry at this point in time, and, as I've said just
30 before, it has been perceived by the membership that I talk
31 to regularly that it's been an attack on the good men and
32 women of the Queensland Police Service. So I thought: why
33 have another inquiry when we've already had an inquiry? We
34 had the "Not Now, Not Ever". We've had many inquiries and
35 nothing seems to happen, and at each and every occasion it
36 seems to be it's an attack on the men and women of the
37 Queensland Police Service. There is no changes happening
38 in legislation. Here we have another inquiry, which may
39 cost up to \$5 million, which may very well be able
40 to - that money could have gone towards victims or fixing a
41 broken system. So that was out of complete frustration
42 over a decade of wanted change because the system's
43 absolutely broken.

44
45 I do accept, and I've publicly come out since then,
46 those comments I made at the time, I have completely reset.
47 I am actually hopeful. I have a glass that is half full

1 that we actually get some meaningful recommendations which
2 will mean changes in legislation, procedures and funding,
3 which hasn't been spoken about at great length at this
4 point in time, so we can actually fix this problem which -
5 the domestic and family violence act came in in 1989. It
6 has not got any better in the way I see it. So we need to
7 fix it. And so, yes, it was out of complete frustration
8 I said that.

9
10 But the only thing that has been enacted is one
11 recommendation, and that is my frustration, and I hope,
12 I genuinely hope, that we get some meaningful
13 recommendations which are acted upon and are funded
14 accordingly so we can fix this scourge on society. So it
15 was out of complete frustration and the terms I used - and
16 I did meet with Ms McMurdo, and there were some issues
17 I raised, and I thought I was dismissed on those comments
18 too because I see it through the lens of a police officer,
19 that were completely dismissed, because I think we need to
20 have a holistic view, not just sanitise evidence but to see
21 what actually occurs and see through the eyes of a police
22 officer, because that's what we do see.

23
24 So those comments I did make, I've reset and I am
25 hopeful - although I've been told by many that I'm way too
26 hopeful and we may not get change, I'm really hopeful and
27 I hope that in five years time I'm not the only one still
28 campaigning when everyone has moved on to get some genuine
29 reform when it comes to the domestic violence space,
30 because I believe we are failing victims each and every
31 day, and the longer things go on - and I hope the report
32 comes out in a very timely manner because we need to fix a
33 broken system. Police are working in a broken system.
34 They are being set up to fail, and while we fail as police,
35 and they're doing the best they can, we are failing
36 victims. So out of frustration I made those comments, yes.

37
38 Q. So, Mr Leavers, my question was whether you accepted
39 that those comments that you made about the Honourable
40 Margaret McMurdo were dismissive and belittling, and you
41 said that they were not. Do I take it that you stand by
42 those observations?

43 A. I believe we had an inquiry which I believe would --

44
45 Q. Sorry, there's an objection.

46
47 MR McCafferty: Mr Leavers has said he has reset his

1 views. He's pretty clear about that.

2

3 COMMISSIONER: But he didn't really answer the question,
4 is the problem, Mr McCafferty.

5

6 MR McCAFFERTY: Well, he did, and he also offered some
7 other evidence as well. But he said --

8

9 COMMISSIONER: So he did answer it, did you say?

10

11 MR McCAFFERTY: He did, I thought he did answer it and
12 then --

13

14 COMMISSIONER: So how did he answer it?

15

16 MR McCAFFERTY: I thought he said, "I've reset my views.
17 I felt that at the time" --

18

19 COMMISSIONER: So does that mean he doesn't - he said he
20 didn't - he said he stood by the remarks, I thought.

21

22 MR McCAFFERTY: He was talking about the recommendation
23 for this Commission of Inquiry, I think. I don't think it
24 was directed towards the Honourable Margaret Anne McMurdo
25 AC, but --

26

27 COMMISSIONER: I didn't think he answered the question.
28 He answered it with a lot of words, but I don't think he
29 actually answered the question. We'll let him have another
30 go at it.

31

32 MS O'GORMAN: Mr Leavers, I asked you whether you accepted
33 that your comments were dismissive and belittling of
34 the Honourable Margaret McMurdo and her work. You said
35 that you did not think that they were, and so my question
36 is whether or not you stand by those comments, that is:

37

38 *This is yet another woke, out-of-touch*
39 *report by a retired judge that over-reaches*
40 *where it pertains to police.*

41

42 A. Yes, belittling, I - it was never meant to belittle.
43 But what I will say, we've had an inquiry and as a result
44 we're having another inquiry. My complete
45 frustration - I'm sick and tired with this government,
46 every time there is an issue we're going to have an
47 inquiry. I can't walk down the street without hearing

1 about another inquiry into something else, and meanwhile
2 nothing happens and victims are in danger. That is my
3 frustration.
4

5 Did I think - I would have thought that we would have
6 got some meaningful recommendations which would have come
7 out of that and there would not have been a need for this
8 inquiry and we could have enacted things to effect some
9 real change. That was my frustration.
10

11 Q. Do you consider that the report was another woke,
12 out-of-touch report?

13 A. I agree with 88 out of the 89 recommendations.
14

15 Q. I'm not asking you about your views on the
16 recommendations. I'm just trying to understand. You said
17 back at the time that the report was another woke,
18 out-of-touch report. I'm just trying to understand do you
19 still consider that it was another woke, out-of-touch
20 report?

21 A. I believe the report has some value, but I fail to see
22 why we continue to need another inquiry when she was
23 charged with the responsibility of having an inquiry. This
24 is taxpayers' money. Let's just get on with the job and
25 look after victims --
26

27 COMMISSIONER: Yes. Mr Leavers, do you understand that
28 that was a taskforce, not an inquiry, and the difference is
29 that a taskforce doesn't have the powers that an inquiry
30 does? So the taskforce didn't have the ability to find
31 out, for example, much of the information that we
32 cross-examined or examined the Commissioner about
33 yesterday. That's the difference between the two. Do you
34 understand that?

35 A. I do, and I can accept some things. But I'm seeing
36 the government can have a taskforce or a commission of
37 inquiry to fix things, but it just seems to be we're
38 continuing to have another - a go. Let's just fix the
39 problems and get into it. And if the government can't
40 accept recommendations of a taskforce, why have a taskforce
41 and why not go straight to a commission of inquiry? That
42 would be my view.
43

44 COMMISSIONER: Well, that may perhaps be an argument for
45 another day. There may be some merit in that. But the
46 point is, again, your language at the time was, I think you
47 would agree, ill-considered?

1 A. I can accept my comments at the time - and we know
2 that, and you know I've reset and I've had a - a view
3 I have some real hope for the future. I accept the woke
4 comment. But what my frustration is is --

5
6 COMMISSIONER: You accept that it was ill-considered?
7 A. Well, not at the time because at the time that's what
8 I thought at the time, and now I have reconsidered because
9 I think there is a genuine opportunity to get some
10 meaningful change, which I don't think has occurred, and
11 I'm ever hopeful that comes out of this Inquiry, and, as
12 you know, I gave evidence in a coronial matter and some
13 recommendations have been made there, which have still not
14 been enacted, which has certainly been a great
15 disappointment to myself.

16
17 COMMISSIONER: So in retrospect --
18 A. The language could have been different.
19
20 COMMISSIONER: -- they were perhaps premature?
21 A. Commissioner, yes, but that's - I ask you to accept
22 that out of the frustration - I feel like I've been
23 fighting a battle for 10 years on behalf of 12,500 people
24 who are at the coalface and who are getting whacked day in,
25 day out and, for every negative one incident, the hundreds
26 of things that are taking place and the real difference
27 they're making in communities I believe has been neglected.
28 Now, I'm not suggesting people here today are thinking
29 that, but that is the public perception and that is the way
30 my members feel.

31
32 COMMISSIONER: No, certainly this Inquiry has called
33 evidence of police officers doing some very good work;
34 there's no doubt about that. But what this Inquiry is a
35 little concerned about is sometimes you use language that
36 perhaps reinforces views within the Police Service that
37 aren't helpful, by saying things are woke, the reports are
38 out of touch. Those sorts of things, Mr Leavers, aren't
39 particularly helpful.

40 A. Commissioner, I can accept some of that. But I ask
41 you to accept my frustration because I want to --

42
43 COMMISSIONER: I understand that. I understand that.
44 A. I want to fix a broken system, and my intention was
45 never to denigrate women or any other person. That is
46 not - I want to fix a broken system, which I hope does get
47 fixed, and even, as I spoke before, a couple of the

1 recommendations out of Her Honour Bentley, and that was a
2 multi-disciplinary taskforce in Logan and Kirwan, from
3 three months ago, you know what? Not one thing has
4 happened. Can I tell you how disappointed I am and how
5 frustrated I am that change is just not happening. But
6 that requires commitment from everybody to work together,
7 not in silos, and it's got to be funded correctly, and
8 I went publicly on that.

9
10 COMMISSIONER: I think we found out during the Inquiry
11 that they have to have things to committee in the
12 Police Service to change a word in the OPM. So if you
13 think something else is going to happen in three months --
14 A. Commissioner, I'm hopeful for change quicker. I'm
15 sick of the delays and my frustration, and I think like
16 that we could be saving lives in Kirwan and Logan, which
17 are hotspots or pressure points for us, and the frustration
18 I'm - when I go to Kirwan Station, my people - they're
19 burnt out. They are flogged day in, day out. All they do
20 is DV. When they join the police they join for many
21 reasons, not purely to respond to DV. I accept that's our
22 calling and one of our roles, but they are hurting, and
23 when I see - if we can't change OPMs within a week or so,
24 you've got to understand I'm frustrated on many other
25 parts, and I want other organisations - and we see the
26 Department of Justice and the police department, can we not
27 work together so we can actually protect victims?

28
29 So my frustration - I accept the word "woke", I get
30 that, but my frustration is I want change and I'm not
31 seeing it at the moment, and if we can't change a word in
32 the OPMs for three months and then we've got these good
33 recommendations made by Her Honour Bentley, I'm deeply
34 concerned. So hopefully you can apply some more pressure,
35 Commissioner.

36
37 COMMISSIONER: We'll see. Sorry, Ms O'Gorman,
38 I interrupted you.

39
40 MS O'GORMAN: Mr Leavers, I think you said that you
41 subsequently reconsidered or reset your views at least so
42 far as whether or not it was worthwhile for there to be a
43 commission of inquiry in respect of these issues; correct?
44 A. Absolutely I have.

45
46 Q. All right. And what is your current view about
47 the utility of this Commission of Inquiry and the sorts of

1 matters that have been aired in it?

2 A. Clearly there are some issues in relation to
3 Queensland Police Service. I think some things can be
4 reset. I think there needs to be ongoing training and
5 education. Not all things are bad. I think we need to
6 refocus entirely when it comes to domestic violence. We
7 need a reform of the legislation. We actually need to have
8 people who are at the coalface heavily involved with that
9 legislation so it is workable.

10
11 I've looked at other models. Tasmania has some good
12 models. There is a model - I was talking with the CEO of
13 ANZPAA last week. There is an online reporting model in
14 the UK where if a person is not in fear of immediate
15 violence that is a very efficient and effective system. So
16 I think we should be looking not only just in Queensland
17 and Australia, around the world, on how we can do this more
18 efficiently and effectively, makes it easier for the police
19 to be able to protect victims, because I think we're
20 failing people every day of the week. But my people only
21 act within the legislation. They do their job.

22
23 And do sometimes police make mistakes? We make
24 mistakes. We're going to make them today. We're going to
25 make them tomorrow. But if we're acting in good faith and
26 trying to do the right thing - but I get back to it,
27 98 per cent of my people are busting their gut to be able
28 to do the right thing and protect people. But the
29 legislation is cumbersome, it is complicated, and it is
30 unworkable.

31
32 And I've spoken with police on the ground. I was in
33 Cairns six or eight weeks ago - it was whenever
34 the Commission met, actually - and there was a person in
35 custody, and it was quite complicated, for DV. You had two
36 sergeants and a senior constable discussing on how they
37 could go about bail and what direction they should go. If
38 you've got three very experienced police officers trying to
39 navigate this legislation, it shows me there's a problem.
40 It needs to be simplified because, if it is convoluted and
41 complicated and cumbersome with the paperwork, that not
42 only affects police, who are fatigued; it means we cannot
43 protect victims accordingly. So I think there is - and my
44 views on multi-disciplinary teams - do you want me to go
45 into that?

46
47 Q. Well, I think we've received your submission and a

1 statement which touches on those aspects, so I'm content to
2 act on the basis that we have your views there.

3 A. Yes.

4
5 Q. Mr Leavers, I just want to take you to one further
6 topic, and that is in relation to the issue of local
7 management resolution and how that's viewed within the QPS.
8 You understand the process that I'm talking about, of
9 course?

10 A. Absolutely I do, yes.

11
12 Q. All right. The Commission of Inquiry has heard
13 evidence over the last couple of days about instances in
14 which local management resolution has been used to address
15 complaints in relation to quite serious sexist conduct,
16 including sexual harassment, as well as conduct that is
17 racist in its nature. You might be aware of those sorts of
18 examples that have been discussed over the last couple of
19 days?

20 A. Yes.

21
22 Q. All right. Did you know that that process is being
23 used in that way?

24 A. No, I didn't, and I was heavily involved in the new
25 police discipline system, with what local management
26 resolution is all about, and I'm happy to go into further
27 detail in relation to that.

28
29 Q. Well, I was just wanting to know whether you knew that
30 local management resolution was being used in what appears
31 to be quite a prevalent way to address conduct which is
32 either sexist or racist?

33 A. Depending upon the conduct of what that behaviour is
34 at that point in time, because the new discipline system
35 was built on the foundations of about education and
36 rehabilitation. Like with many other things throughout our
37 society, education and rehabilitation are paramount, and
38 that was what LMR was.

39
40 Now, the local management resolution, depending on
41 some of the matters - and I've heard some of the
42 allegations. Some are proven, some weren't, but they are
43 allegations, so I can't comment on the entirety of some
44 things because I don't know the entire facts. But with
45 local management resolution it has been - there have been
46 some many successes where behaviour has been corrected as a
47 result of local management resolution, which is meant to be

1 dealt with in a timely manner. The previous discipline
2 system was cumbersome, where some matters have taken over a
3 decade to be resolved. So there needed to be something to
4 be addressed, because not only did police lose confidence
5 and it affected morale within the police station, but also
6 the community lost confidence as well.
7

8 So with the local management resolution, when that is
9 applied, that can be applied for minor matters such as your
10 standard of dress or you need to - if you've used force -
11 used force is an interesting one because that would be
12 classified as misconduct if the handcuffs have been put on
13 too tight. That may require retraining as a result of
14 that. But when it comes to local management resolution,
15 when a complaint comes in, whether it be through the
16 Police Service or to the CCC, once it is classified as
17 misconduct the CCC has a watching brief on that every step
18 of the way. So any decision which is classified as
19 misconduct, if LMR is given, the CCC either approves that
20 or when they're advised of that they can agree with it or
21 they have the right to appeal that or commence their own
22 proceedings. They can also take over any investigation, as
23 per the legislation, should that be required. So once it's
24 classified as misconduct - and things like racism and
25 misogyny and use of force, that would be - there is a
26 watching brief by an independent anti-corruption watchdog
27 which has oversight of this every step of the way.
28

29 So on the individual circumstances it's very hard for
30 me to comment, but it is a very useful tool. Now, if the
31 processes haven't been followed correctly, that is not the
32 responsibility of the union. That means other people need
33 to look at the processes. But the intent is good.
34 I believe it has been certainly beneficial.
35

36 What I can say in relation to some comments by police,
37 some are inappropriate, and then sometimes you've got to
38 take into context what actually happened at that point in
39 time as to why those comments were made. Now, I'm not
40 saying that any racist behaviour is acceptable. But let's
41 look and dive deeper into what happens and what has
42 happened with that police officer at that point in time.
43

44 And where I go with this, you can work in certain
45 areas which are very trying. Now, because people make a
46 dumb, stupid or silly comment should not mean they should
47 be hung, drawn and quartered. I don't believe that. Let's

1 look at where they have been and what has occurred and what
2 has led to that. Within policing, the psychological
3 welfare of our people is a real issue, and I will suggest
4 most police are damaged. If not by three to five years
5 they're not damaged, they will be, and that is a real
6 issue. We need to look at what has occurred.

7
8 Now, what jobs have they been to, what environments
9 have they worked in and how have their views - because when
10 they go to the academy they are physically fit, they are
11 psychologically fit, but they are damaged through the
12 course of their duties, and why have they been so. Now,
13 I've been to some areas - I'll give you an example.
14 I was - and we see things through a different set of lenses
15 that may not appear to those who are working in other
16 organisations, and, without being disrespectful, by the
17 time things get to court people only see things on the
18 paper or the oral evidence. They don't see what has been
19 seen through the lens of a police officer which has caused
20 them to be severely damaged, which is really concerning to
21 me, and that's why my organisation has been paramount in
22 working in relation to the psychological fitness and
23 welfare of police.

24
25 But when you go to so many incidents it does damage
26 you. I remember going to a job in Tivoli, and this has
27 remained with me, and you see the worst that human
28 behaviour has to offer. I remember there was a
29 three-year-old in a nappy, and I was dressed like this
30 minus the jacket, I was in the Juvenile Aid Bureau, and the
31 three-year-old said, "Mum, the fucking pigs are here," and
32 I thought, "God help us. If that's what I've got to deal
33 with a three-year-old, what is the culture of the parents?"

34
35 So when you are continually seeing these negative
36 things it does have an impact. So I think we need to reset
37 and be able to assist police. If they do come out with a
38 flippant comment back at the station which could be
39 perceived in a wrong way, we need to look at the causation
40 as to the reason as to why that's occurred because it's not
41 always necessarily bad. So I think this is a really broad
42 area we need to look at, and some of the things that I've
43 seen in policing - I remember going to various places in
44 the Juvenile - the way some --

45
46 COMMISSIONER: Okay, Mr Leavers, I'm going to stop you
47 there because you're sort of off target a bit here.

1 A. Yes.

2

3 COMMISSIONER: So LMR was introduced for minor matters;
4 yes?

5 A. Yes.

6

7 COMMISSIONER: Presumably not intended to be used six,
8 seven, eight, nine, 10 times for the same officer?

9 A. Not for the same conduct.

10

11 COMMISSIONER: Yes. And it's seems as though it's being
12 used incorrectly, which is not the union's fault; is that
13 what we're getting from that?

14 A. Yes, I suggest it possibly - whilst I would suggest
15 it's being mismanaged, because it has a very worthwhile
16 purpose and it is used - and with LMR, too, an officer has
17 no choice but to accept the local management resolution.
18 So if a complaint is investigated and there is found there
19 is insufficient evidence to be able to substantiate a
20 disciplinary hearing and/or more serious through to
21 criminal charges, LMR can always be given and the police
22 officer has no choice but to accept that if there has been
23 nothing found that they have done wrong. So there is no
24 choice for that. But if it has been used inappropriately
25 the Police Service, which has a watching brief, or the CCC
26 has a watching brief, that probably needs to be addressed.
27 But I do believe it is very successful. But, what I've
28 probably heard, it hasn't been administered as the
29 intention was.

30

31 COMMISSIONER: It's successful in theory but it's not
32 working properly. I think that's pretty well established.
33 In fact the Commissioner agrees.

34 A. It has been in three years, and between the Ethical
35 Standards Command and the CTC that should probably be
36 looked at, because the intent - and I think there are a lot
37 of - I'm all about rehabilitation. It's like the courts
38 when no convictions are recorded. Those sort of positive
39 things to give people opportunity, I'm all for
40 rehabilitation and to assist people where we possibly can,
41 and I think that is the cornerstone of our discipline
42 system. But if the behaviour is criminal or corrupt that
43 goes to another level. But it certainly has its place, but
44 it needs to be managed accordingly.

45

46 COMMISSIONER: All right.

47

1 MS O'GORMAN: I just have a couple more questions about
2 the LMR process, Mr Leavers. Do I take it from what you've
3 said that you agree that LMR should not be used to address
4 conduct which either amounts to sexual harassment or is
5 otherwise sexist in nature or is racist in nature? I think
6 you said that would be misconduct and should be dealt with
7 by the discipline process?

8 A. No, misconduct, it would be classified as misconduct
9 but then there would have to be an investigation as to the
10 entirety of the circumstances, as to you've got to take
11 into context on how the behaviour or the comment was
12 actually made. But I don't see it as a one size fits all
13 and there are all these other factors as to what could have
14 occurred at that point in time. Yes.

15
16 Q. All right. Does the union get involved in assisting
17 with representation of a member if they're going through
18 the LMR process?

19 A. Yes, we can be; yes.

20
21 Q. When you say "can be", are you always or does it just
22 depend on whether or not that member comes to you and asks
23 you for assistance?

24 A. Most members come to us. It depends upon the nature
25 of what the investigation was. But what we do at the
26 Police Union - and we've given some advice and I've given
27 some advice, there is one particular matter where I've
28 actually mentioned to the member, I said, "The LMR has been
29 given. You must comply." And my opinion wasn't enough and
30 one of our legal officers spoke to him and gave that same
31 advice. So we do. But what we also do, we not only assist
32 the subject matter, we assist the complainant and the
33 witnesses, which is something I brought in in 2009 as a
34 result of I saw a court case where I believe my
35 organisation was lacking at that point in time. So as a
36 third party body we have to support everybody.

37
38 Q. And so on that point if one of your members is being
39 investigated for conduct in respect of another one of your
40 members you will arrange representation for both the person
41 who's being investigated and the person who was affected or
42 made a victim of that conduct; is that right?

43 A. Yes, and that is the right thing to do and
44 something --

45
46 COMMISSIONER: How do you manage that conflict? There's a
47 conflict there, obviously?

1 A. Different people look after different people.

2

3 COMMISSIONER: So Chinese walls?

4 A. Chinese walls takes place, and often I rely on not
5 only what I know myself but I will talk with the lawyers
6 and they will advise if there is a conflict, I have to
7 respect them. But everyone deserves representation and
8 I still believe to a fair point we still have the
9 presumption of innocence. But I have to protect everybody.

10

11 MS O'GORMAN: I understand. Thank you, Mr Leavers. Those
12 are all of the questions that I have. Thank you,
13 Commissioner.

14

15 COMMISSIONER: Mr Leavers, could I just ask you some
16 general questions that will probably be short answers,
17 I hope.

18 A. Okay. I've got to learn to be - okay.

19

20 COMMISSIONER: How many people work at the union?

21 A. A staff of 23.

22

23 COMMISSIONER: 23. So when you say you've got 28 women in
24 leadership positions, what are they?

25 A. They're our branches around the state. So say
26 Charters Towers I have a subbranch which has a president, a
27 secretary, can have a vice-president but a lot of those
28 positions are vacant around the state. So I have a branch
29 at Thursday Island, Charleville, Ipswich. I have branches
30 throughout the state.

31

32 COMMISSIONER: So they're not elected people?

33 A. Yes, they are.

34

35 COMMISSIONER: They are; okay. So, the leadership
36 positions, are they the presidents?

37 A. Within the branch structure the president - some have
38 a vice-president and you can have a secretary or an
39 assistant secretary, they don't all have that, but although
40 one's the president their role is to probably chair more of
41 the meetings. They basically perform the same function.

42

43 COMMISSIONER: President and vice-president?

44 A. And the secretary. They become our representatives
45 and our eyes and ears on the ground and they represent our
46 members.

47

1 COMMISSIONER: Right.
2 A. And just because someone is the president doesn't mean
3 they have more authority than the secretary. They work in
4 unison to be able to support our members.
5
6 COMMISSIONER: All right.
7 A. So, although the positions are like that, it's not
8 necessarily a hierarchical system in place.
9
10 COMMISSIONER: Okay. So when you say 28 women in
11 leadership positions that could be a secretary, a
12 vice-president, a president in the branches?
13 A. That's correct.
14
15 COMMISSIONER: Okay. And in Brisbane out of your 23 in
16 the office?
17 A. They're full-time staff within the office.
18
19 COMMISSIONER: And how many women are there?
20 A. It's got to be at least fifty-fifty.
21
22 COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23 A. I'll have to come back to you with the correct number,
24 but, yes, I think fifty-fifty if not more the other way
25 possibly.
26
27 COMMISSIONER: Okay. And the leadership position,
28 fifty-fifty in leadership positions in the union in
29 Brisbane --
30 A. No, that's within --
31
32 COMMISSIONER: Or just staff?
33 A. The staff structure of the union, yes.
34
35 COMMISSIONER: And some of those no doubt would just be
36 normal PAs or secretaries?
37 A. Yes, and those in workplace health and safety. I have
38 a research officer and a lawyer, who's on leave at this
39 point in time, and she actually has accompanied me to
40 some - well, the hearing before Ms McMurdo or through the
41 process, yes.
42
43 COMMISSIONER: All right. I'm just trying to work out
44 what that was. You said you didn't like the idea of
45 standards being lowered for the recruits. Isn't getting
46 17-year-olds to join lowering standards?
47 A. No, and the reason I say what's happening there is

1 the Commissioner's view - and Mr Hunter will correct me if
2 I'm wrong --

3
4 COMMISSIONER: No doubt.

5 A. Yes, is they can apply at 17, but they can't commence
6 at the academy until they're 18. Now, the reason behind
7 that --

8
9 COMMISSIONER: Isn't that lowering the standard, though?

10 A. No, we're trying to get people - we have a national
11 recruiting crisis, not only in Australia, in New Zealand
12 and the Western world. We need to be able to capture
13 people to get them into the workforce. My view, with a
14 3.5 per cent unemployment rate that is effectively full
15 unemployment. And we're competing with organisations like
16 the ADF.

17
18 Now, we need to probably I think get into schools, and
19 there's a lot of police who have had successful careers who
20 have joined at a very young age. They used to be cadets.
21 They did high school, but then it morphed after that. In
22 my era you could go in after grade 12 and you did an
23 18-month course and you couldn't be sworn in until 19.

24
25 But we're in a situation where we actually need to
26 grab people with quality and educate them and bring them
27 through the system, because if we're going to wait until
28 21, 22, we may very well lose. Now, do I think we need to
29 be representative of society and get people from all
30 different ages? Yes. But what I'm seeing now is as people
31 get older they are less likely to move around the state.
32 Younger people generally will go and do as they're told, to
33 a fair point. But that's changing as well. But we need to
34 have a very open mind and try and attract as many recruits,
35 because at the point we are at at this point in time we are
36 not going to be able to meet the recruiting numbers that we
37 require to move forward.

38
39 COMMISSIONER: Twenty by 2025 or whatever it is.

40 A. Yes, that's 1,450 sworn police and 575 civilians. We
41 are going to struggle to meet that requirement the way
42 we're currently tracking. So we need to get people who
43 have the standards.

44
45 And when it comes to people from a CALD background or
46 a First Nations background I am one who believes we should
47 be putting programs in place if someone doesn't have the

1 required skills to assist them so they can come into our
2 workforce. I think that is vitally important and that is
3 something I would like to think to see is necessary. But
4 we need to get young people before they move on to
5 something else.

6
7 COMMISSIONER: So, in relation to that recruitment problem
8 and the suggestion that perhaps people at 18 can go in, is
9 there any merit in the scheme like the ADF where they can
10 achieve some sort of higher education while they're in the
11 police force in those early years to broaden their outlook?
12 A. Absolutely. You're probably reading my mind. I think
13 we should be looking at all these opportunities. And
14 another role I have, I've actually called for a federal
15 summit with all police commissioners, ministers, the
16 federal Attorney-General who looks after policing at a
17 Commonwealth level, including New Zealand, as well as the
18 employment minister, because I think we need - everything's
19 got to be on the table to see what we can do to attract
20 people to policing.

21
22 I still think it's a good career. I joined at a
23 younger age of 20. And I think we need to make it
24 attractive. The problem we are seeing in policing and our
25 union - our own research is those between three and
26 20 years service no longer want to work unsociable hours.
27 That is a challenge we have. It is not a particularly
28 attractive job to be fighting on the streets at 2 or
29 3 o'clock in the morning. And when they see all the
30 adverse criticism which gets reported at times they say,
31 "It's not for me."

32
33 My son, who's 19, I spoke with Jack and he's doing an
34 apprenticeship in carpentry, and it's only in the last few
35 weeks I said, "Mate, I wouldn't go near policing if I was
36 you," because he's thought about it. I said, "Stick to
37 something else," I said, "because it is not nice on the way
38 people are being targeted because they only hear the
39 negativity, not the positivity." So I think we have a
40 crisis and I think we've got to get in younger, but also
41 look at other groups on how we can attract them and what we
42 can do. But it's the Western world, but it's a national
43 issue we have. The Commissioner and I, I believe, are on
44 the same page. We have to do something otherwise we're
45 going to be at a crisis point in three years time.

46
47 And young people are good people too. I think they're

1 more community minded than what people of my generation
2 are. They care more about the environment and many other
3 things. And I think, having them come in, that can be
4 beneficial to the older people within the organisation to
5 be able to better understand their views as well, because
6 sometimes I see we can be very critical of young people and
7 I think they cop a bit of a tough run at times because
8 they're good people, they're just growing up in a different
9 world with different pressures as to what people in my
10 generation had. So I think that we should be working hard.
11 If we don't get them, we're going to lose them.

12
13 COMMISSIONER: All right. And just one last issue. Are
14 you a police officer?

15 A. Yes, I am.

16
17 COMMISSIONER: Okay. But obviously not paid by the
18 Police Service?

19 A. No.

20
21 COMMISSIONER: So what's the arrangement there? I'm just
22 curious, really.

23 A. I'm on a full-time secondment to the Queensland Police
24 Union. I'm elected. I still hold the rank of sergeant
25 within the Police Service, and should I go back to policing
26 that's where I would continue on with my career. But my
27 remuneration is paid for by the Queensland Police Union.
28 But I am a serving police officer.

29
30 COMMISSIONER: Okay. So whoever's president of the union
31 is a serving police officer on secondment, basically?

32 A. Yes, that's correct. Can I just say I don't access
33 other powers or anything like that, although I had to on
34 one occasion because I can't hide my face publicly. I was
35 told about someone trying to run in front of a car. I had
36 to tackle the fellow and call the police. But I don't do
37 any policing functions. I purely do my role as the
38 president. But I can't hide from things and I still have
39 the same responsibility as other police, is to protect life
40 and property. But I'm not out there on the tools doing
41 that, so to say.

42
43 COMMISSIONER: All right. Ms Hillard?

44
45 MR McCafferty: Just before Ms Hillard rises, it's clause
46 14 of the constitution. Your Honour will see the reference
47 to --

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

COMMISSIONER: What constitution?

MR McCAFFERTY: The Police Union constitution obviously.

COMMISSIONER: Okay.

MR McCAFFERTY: It sets out the roles --

COMMISSIONER: Thanks, Mr McCafferty. All right.
Ms Hillard?

<EXAMINATION BY MS HILLARD:

MS HILLARD: Mr Leavers, if I can just start with one of the things that you said right at the end, that your police officers only hear the negativity and they don't hear the positives, you're in a position of power, aren't you, where you can speak with an authoritative way to support both your victims and those who are perpetrators to encourage a positive cultural change, aren't you?

A. Absolutely.

Q. So if we are talking about recognising the evidence that this Commission of Inquiry has received that there are hundreds of women experiencing sexual harassment that don't make complaints for a lot of different reasons, you're in a position to be able to encourage them and support them in that process?

A. Absolutely I would.

Q. And I appreciate that you have indicated that in your anecdotal view that you don't think the numbers are particularly high, but the evidence from this Inquiry shows that at the very least from the Commissioner's own survey that was conducted two per cent of people report experiencing sexual harassment, five per cent of people report seeing sexual harassment, and 78 per cent of people don't report that; they're really quite significant numbers, it's not just one or two, is it?

A. Seventy-eight don't report - you've got to look at the context and the question as it was, and I haven't read the question. But what I do say is what is reported we can only go by certain things. But what I will say is - and I stand by it - that 98 per cent of people are doing the right thing and they're calling people out. I do know some people - and this happens not only in policing - some may

1 wish to pursue a complaint, some don't, and I think at all
2 times you've got to take into account the wishes of the
3 victim.
4

5 Q. I suppose, though, if you're saying 98 per cent of
6 people from your perception are doing the right thing, you
7 don't actually know the real figures of people who are
8 experiencing sexism because of the fear of coming forward
9 and making complaints, wouldn't you agree?

10 A. If there are more, there are more. I can't tell you
11 what I don't know.
12

13 Q. And I suppose that when we talk about your position
14 and the authority that you have, I appreciate that you
15 might have the view that the majority of your police are
16 doing the right thing, and I think that's accepted that
17 maybe the majority are doing the right thing, but it
18 minimises, doesn't it, for those who are victims when they
19 experience negative things, doesn't it?

20 A. Yes, if someone experiences a negative thing that is
21 not good and it has a severe impact, and as I related a
22 personal experience with myself, and I accept the impact.
23 But I do accept that 98 per cent of my people - the members
24 that I represent, sorry - are doing an outstanding job
25 under very difficult and challenging times.
26

27 And can I say this. It's very easy - when you're in
28 an environment where your life depends upon your partner
29 each and every day, it's quite dynamic and quite
30 challenging. It's unlike many other industries. The ADF
31 may go through that as well. But it's not like other
32 industries, where you're working with a colleague one day
33 and you rely on them to save your life the next day. It's
34 a real challenging environment. So it's not easy.
35

36 Do I suggest that things happen that may not get
37 reported? That could very well happen. But I can only go
38 on what is there. But we are doing all we can. And most
39 of the people - and I've been inundated over the last week
40 about good people who are just doing their job each and
41 every day, men and women, who through what has been
42 reported here feel like the entire organisation has been
43 tarnished and they're saying it's like a Fitzgerald Inquiry
44 mark 2, and that really saddens me because the people we
45 will lose will be the good people.
46

47 Q. One of your answers that you gave earlier on was you

1 were referring to people, your police officers, generally
2 speaking becoming psychologically damaged and you were
3 explaining perhaps that that might be a basis for why
4 people might engage in particular behaviour?

5 A. There's no excuse. Like in any aspect of our law,
6 there are mitigating circumstances. Where I would like to
7 get to and we're in the - this is something Brian Codd,
8 Assistant Commissioner Codd and I agree, both of us are
9 passionate about the mental health and wellbeing.

10

11 Q. So if we're talking about the psychological and the
12 mental health factors of police separately from sexual
13 harassment, it is not excusable, is it, to sexually assault
14 a person by rape, by touching, by touching them on an
15 intimate place on their body, none of that is excusable by
16 psychological behaviour just because an officer is
17 experiencing that, perhaps?

18 A. No, I've never said that.

19

20 Q. And when you gave some of your evidence earlier on you
21 made a comment amongst one of your answers that people
22 continually see these negative things; it does have an
23 impact. Now, it's part of a complex answer that you were
24 giving, but if I can just draw on those words. When people
25 continually see women being subjectively treated as sex
26 objects it normalises that behaviour, doesn't it, because
27 it is a negative thing and it does have an impact?

28 A. That's unacceptable in society all around, I'm going
29 to say, and, sadly, police are representatives of society.
30 We have high standards. But we need to look at the
31 entirety of society on the way things are sexualised. So
32 that's bigger than just policing.

33

34 Q. But you are dealing with your police officers, who you
35 say 98 per cent of them are doing the correct thing - maybe
36 that's a true figure, maybe that's not - but at the end of
37 the day your role is to represent also your victims who are
38 also members; isn't that right?

39 A. Which is exactly what I do.

40

41 Q. And so when your victims need to come forward and to
42 make a complaint or be supported to make a complaint they
43 need a pathway to do that, and you say you go out and you
44 talk to them and they can otherwise notify you; that's
45 correct, isn't it?

46 A. I have representatives as well and we do look after
47 people who come forward and we assist them every step of

1 the way and take into account their wishes, and we will
2 support them. That is something we do and I'm proud that
3 we do that.

4
5 Q. But if we know from surveys that have been conducted
6 by the Police Commissioner at her request that 78 per cent
7 of people aren't reporting sexual harassment there is a lot
8 more work to be done by the union for your victims, isn't
9 there?

10 A. I will say the Police Service has a lot of work to do.
11 Now, we as an advocacy agency, we will do everything we can
12 to support our members. But I would suggest
13 the Commissioner or the Police Service, there is a great
14 body of work to do that. Now, with the Working for
15 Queensland survey I will say this. Some people take it
16 seriously. Some don't. Some use it as a gripe because -
17 for whatever reason it may be, and I'm not diminishing
18 anyone's complaint. But sometimes it needs to be taken
19 into context, and perhaps the Police Service needs to dive
20 deeper into those issues as to why people would or they
21 would not come forward.

22
23 Q. Well, let's look at that if you like. About
24 70 per cent of the Police Service responded to the 2021
25 survey that was conducted; it was in fact 68 per cent?

26 A. Yes.

27
28 Q. It's quite a large number of the entire Police Service
29 that responded; isn't that right? And there was a
30 subcategory of why they didn't come forward and they could
31 pick why they didn't come forward: no consequences; nothing
32 would happen; didn't feel comfortable; fear, et cetera. So
33 they actually had a list and they provided reasons for why
34 they didn't come forward. Knowing that information, what
35 is the union going to do to help your victims who are your
36 members?

37 A. We assist our victims to come forward, and that is the
38 Police Service has a role. Now, when we go back talking
39 about consequences I find that very interesting, because we
40 look at our whole criminal justice system where people talk
41 about consequences. You have to take into account the
42 circumstances behind it. Now, not everyone gets the result
43 or the outcome they want with the penalty they want. That
44 happens throughout our entire judicial system, in fact the
45 whole of society. That's not just a policing issue. The
46 Police Union will do --

47

1 Q. If I can just pause you there. You would agree that
2 if someone sexually assaults - a police officer sexually
3 assaults another officer by touching them on the vagina
4 that that is something that requires a consequence?

5 A. Absolutely. And if the victim comes to the Police
6 Union we will support them in every which way we possibly
7 can.

8

9 Q. Now, you haven't actually provided any firm numbers on
10 how many people come forward to talk about sexual
11 harassment. You say in your evidence that you would be out
12 there often, you would talk to people and you would make
13 yourself available and you travel across the state. But
14 are there any numbers that you can point to or can indicate
15 how many people make sexual harassment complaints to you?

16 A. No, I don't have the figures there; no.

17

18 Q. Would it be one a week; would it be one a month; one
19 every three months; do you know?

20 A. It depends. I've had other comments which have come
21 back to me where it's been alleged racism, and I take that
22 very seriously. Some have been --

23

24 Q. Let's just focus on the sexual harassment.

25 A. Okay.

26

27 Q. Would it be as frequent as one a month?

28 A. It could be, yes.

29

30 Q. Would it be as frequent as one a week?

31 A. No, probably not. No.

32

33 Q. All right. And would it be as frequent as one a day?

34 A. No.

35

36 Q. All right. And so if we are talking about
37 five per cent of people who have witnessed sexual
38 harassment in the workplace from that survey in 2021,
39 that's around about 385 people who have witnessed it,
40 you're not getting those numbers complained to you, are
41 you?

42 A. No.

43

44 Q. So when we talk about the role of the union and
45 supporting your victims can I suggest that one of
46 the things that would be helpful would be women leadership
47 on your board; would you agree?

1 A. We have elections. We don't have tokenism within the
2 organisation. It is elected like the House of
3 Representatives. People are entitled to stand for a
4 position if they wish to. As a male I don't support those
5 type of behaviours which you have just put forward to me
6 and I will do all I can and I put things in place to be
7 able to assist everyone. I don't go into race, gender or
8 cultural backgrounds. I will help anyone, regardless of
9 who they are. I treat them as people and I will support
10 them.

11

12 Q. It's interesting that you make the reference to it's
13 like the House of Representatives but, for example, our
14 federal government at present is a Labor government. The
15 Labor government have had targets or quotas, whatever word
16 you want to say, for women for a number of years; that's
17 right, isn't it?

18 A. Yes, they have.

19

20 Q. And that has resulted in an increased number of women
21 holding positions elected federally this time in the
22 current government; isn't that right?

23 A. It is a democratic organisation. People are entitled
24 to run for a position if they want to. That is their
25 decision.

26

27 Q. Well, we talk about being a democratic organisation
28 and people being elected. But if you just look at pure
29 maths 30 per cent of your members are women. It makes it
30 very difficult for a woman to be elected to your board,
31 doesn't it?

32 A. With my board it is quite unique. They are
33 geographically located and those jobs are very, very
34 onerous. But anyone is entitled to run for those
35 positions, should they do so. I've actually - the last
36 female on the board I actively campaigned and worked with
37 her to help get her elected, and I have with others over
38 the past. But I don't control every vote, but I do what
39 I can. But we're not saying this area is for female and
40 this is for a male or this is for another person. No, we
41 don't do that. They are democratically elected.

42

43 Q. Why is there no position created for a woman
44 representative on the board?

45 A. I actually gave in evidence before I'm looking at
46 exploring other options, which is happening with other
47 boards which are not in policing, where there is an

1 opportunity to have someone appointed directly to the
2 board. But I don't make all those decisions. As the
3 president I'm guided by the governing body, which is our
4 conference. There are some decisions I can make. There
5 are some I don't. I can certainly lead in a direction.
6 But I'm looking to move forward in another direction.

7
8 There are some other jurisdictions in policing where
9 the entire membership from that jurisdiction, everyone is
10 up for the vote. So technically they could all come from
11 the one station. And so they have been able to achieve
12 things like that. But the electorates which govern our
13 board are geographically located and so that is not
14 possible.

15
16 Q. It is possible if there is amendment to the relevant
17 constitutions and the governing rules and regulations,
18 isn't that right, for the creation of a woman specific
19 position on the board?

20 A. I have said before I'm looking at other options to be
21 able to move forward. One thing that has come through loud
22 and clear from women within my organisation, tokenism is
23 something they will or do not want to support. I am
24 looking at other options and other things as well, and
25 I haven't presented this to the conference, on how I think
26 we can probably do things a bit differently. But things
27 are changing and we've got to move with the times.

28
29 Q. If we talk about this idea and concept of tokenism,
30 tokenism partly is that there is a perception that you're
31 taking a position from a man because you're being preferred
32 because you're a woman.

33 A. I don't agree with your proposition there, no.

34
35 Q. Now, if we message as the union leader and have a
36 female position that is actually created on your board by
37 your governing voting body and it is messaged as a positive
38 thing, then it can only be a positive thing, isn't that
39 right?

40 A. It's interesting. I've road tested that and I've
41 spoken with different people and I have certain views,
42 because I know how other boards operate. I've been told
43 from females by appointing someone who is female, who
44 wouldn't have voting rights but can be an adviser to the
45 board, that is tokenism as well. There are some things you
46 just can't win on. But I think we need to move forward and
47 explore what we can actually do. We need to look at how to

1 make the union work more attractive to all people and
2 encourage people to run for elected positions. But, unlike
3 other political parties, we don't designate that this area
4 is for a male and this area is for a female. It's a
5 democratic organisation and that is how it works.

6
7 Q. You can have a democratically elected First Nations
8 position as well, couldn't you?

9 A. That is possible.

10
11 Q. You could have a democratically elected LGBTQIA+
12 position, couldn't you?

13 A. Yes. You could even look at the English system where
14 you have several different ranks and it's become a complete
15 debacle over there. I've actually - and you wouldn't be
16 aware at this - at our conference I've reached out and a
17 fellow who has appeared before this Inquiry who is heavily
18 involved in the LGBTIQ community and engaged him and
19 working with him because I see that as necessary, and
20 I work with him. That is really important. Now, 30 years
21 ago that wouldn't have happened, and I think that is really
22 important. So I'm reaching out. But we have elected
23 positions which are geographically located across the
24 board. But, if we need advisers and other people, we need
25 to look at that as we move forward. But we are - that is
26 how it is. It is elected. I don't control everything.

27
28 Q. What I'm asking you as the union leader for an
29 extended period of time if you are going to take actively
30 steps to actively promote a position on the board for a
31 designated woman and advocate for changes for that to
32 happen?

33 A. I've said I am looking at changes to be able to look,
34 should no-one be elected, whether or not we have someone
35 appointed by the board which would assist us with our
36 decision-making process. I cannot make that any clearer.

37
38 Q. If we are talking about this Commission of Inquiry and
39 you have given evidence in your statement and orally today
40 about changes and you're anxious for change to happen and
41 change takes a long time, so just bearing that evidence in
42 mind, you would recognise, wouldn't you, that it is
43 important to understand the underlying reasons for why some
44 of these domestic and family violence responses are not
45 fantastic at the moment, wouldn't you agree?

46 A. I need you to clarify that.
47

1 Q. Well, you've been asked questions about sexism and
2 misogyny. They can feed into the way that domestic and
3 family violence is responded to. That's what this
4 Commission is looking at; isn't that right? Is that your
5 understanding of it?

6 A. It's looking into the response by the Queensland
7 Police Service to domestic violence, and I have come
8 through with some very firm recommendations on how
9 I believe we need to progress it. Now, as I've said,
10 98 per cent of police you wouldn't be aware of the great
11 work they're doing each and every day and go above and
12 beyond what they're required to do legislatively to support
13 people who are victims or involved in domestic violence.
14 That has not been heard of. So our people are doing a
15 great job, but that is not being recognised at all.
16

17 Q. Your police officers may in fact not know that they
18 may or may not be responding optimally; would you agree?
19

20 MR McCAFFERTY: Your Honour, he can't possibly answer that
21 question.
22

23 COMMISSIONER: No.
24

25 MS HILLARD: Let's reword it in this way. Your police
26 officers, for example, may not be trained to recognise
27 certain approaches to domestic and family violence could be
28 influenced by their gender views; would you agree with
29 that?

30 A. Well, it's interesting, in the Hannah Clarke inquiry
31 I'm the one who's advocated for greater training when it
32 comes to domestic violence. Now, there has been very
33 little training and it's only just started since the "Not
34 Now, Not Ever" report in 2015.
35

36 Q. I think there were other witnesses at that inquest
37 that spoke about the need for training as well?

38 A. Yes, but you're asking me about my organisation. I'm
39 passionate about training. My delegates and people around
40 the state who I interact with, they want training. The
41 legislation is cumbersome. They want to know what is
42 available and how can they better deal with it. What they
43 foremost want is changes in legislation which is
44 unworkable, cumbersome and affecting the ability on the way
45 that they can protect victims. So we're all for training.
46 We are not against training. And I think training needs to
47 be continuous, it needs to be ongoing in an array of

1 fields.

2

3 Q. So if this Inquiry makes whatever recommendations they
4 make around training being trauma-informed, domestic and
5 family violence-informed and also gender-informed, if those
6 are recommendations that are made, you'd support those
7 kinds of recommendations, would you?

8 A. Yes. The caveat I'll put on it is government needs to
9 fund that and fund it well and truly, because for every day
10 training that's 12,500 shifts lost. So government needs to
11 fully fund this because where we are tired in policing is
12 the window-dressing; we're doing this, but the budget is
13 not there. And that means - and it was spoken about, the
14 evidence of Assistant Commissioner Mark Kelly. You can do
15 an online learning product. And I know police. They do
16 half an hour, they go out to a job, they go back and do a
17 bit more. It's not meaningful training.

18

19 So the Police Service needs to commit to training, but
20 government needs to fully fund it. Because it's fine for
21 government to come up with all these catchcries and vote
22 winners, but they have actually got to get fair dinkum and
23 start funding these things and resourcing it appropriately,
24 because we're doing it on a shoestring budget, it is
25 unsustainable, my people are breaking at this point in
26 time, and when my people break they let down the community
27 through no fault of their own. I'll go through in the
28 funding --

29

30 Q. If we accept all of that, that there is a need for
31 funding and a need for resources, that's not disputed, but
32 if we look at recruitment specifically --

33

34

35 Q. -- throwing money at it isn't necessarily going to
36 help trying to get people in, because you identified the
37 3.5 per cent I think you said unemployment rate and things
38 like that?

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Q. And the Commissioner asked you questions about
17-year-olds and the like and also the ADF type program of
people coming in. One other area perhaps that can be
looked at in terms of recruitment and diversity in
recruitment can perhaps be considering part-time
flexibility in people participating in the recruitment
academy training; would you agree?

1 A. That is an option. But can I say again that needs to
2 be fully funded, and can I give some practical examples.

3

4 Q. I accept it has to be funded in order to do that. In
5 terms of recruitment methods to encourage numbers and
6 encourage diversity --

7

8 MR McCAFFERTY: Commissioner, the union is not responsible
9 for recruitment. That's the QPS. The union is an
10 industrial organisation.

11

12 COMMISSIONER: Yes. Ms Hillard is just asking Mr Leavers'
13 position, given it's such a powerful union. It's probably
14 not bad to hear his views. Perhaps shorter views.

15

16 MR McCAFFERTY: I know that the Commissioner has asked
17 nonetheless.

18

19 MS HILLARD: Mr Leavers, if we're talking about
20 recruitment and there's a desperate need for recruits
21 because their not even meeting the quotas at the moment;
22 that's right?

23

24 A. The quotas.

25

26 Q. So it has to be looked at, and one of the ways it can
27 be looked at is to encourage other people to join and make
28 it more attractive; you would agree?

29

30 A. Absolutely.

31

32 Q. And so you have a view, do you, about making it a more
33 flexible recruitment process to allow part-time academy
34 training or part-time involvement?

35

36 A. If it is fully funded, and I need to say this. I have
37 no issue with it, but someone has to work the night shift
38 because eventually the same people cannot continue to work
39 the night shift day in day out because they have families,
40 whether they're men or women as well, and we've had
41 situations when certain workplaces the same people are
42 working weekends and nights continually and then we see
43 dysfunctionality within their families and it falls apart.
44 So it has to be funded. Now, once you leave Brisbane you
45 need --

46

47 COMMISSIONER: You're talking about part-time sworn
officers there?

48

49 A. Yes, Commissioner.

50

1 COMMISSIONER: This is not part-time training. I think
2 Ms Hillard is talking about recruits studying part time.

3
4 MS HILLARD: Yes.

5 A. Can I just say the flow-on effect is if we commence
6 that - I'm not against it. I'm not against it. But the
7 flow-on effect is, depending on where people are sent, if
8 you have someone working two days a week, if we're going to
9 send them to Cunnamulla or Charleville, we've got to buy a
10 house - I'm not just talking about the funding for wages -
11 or we send someone to Aurukun or Mount Isa, we've actually
12 got to provide that accommodation. The cost is
13 substantial.

14
15 Q. I understand all of that. But if we just look at
16 recruitment only and if you want to attract older people
17 who might have another career, at the moment they have to
18 come and take probably a pay cut and participate in the
19 course full time. Would it encourage a more diverse, older
20 group perhaps to apply if they had some more flexible
21 options in the recruitment training?

22 A. It may or may not. The problem that the
23 Police Service has seen in other jurisdictions, depending
24 on what people do, the part-time - there are genuine
25 merits. The training course could certainly go on to two
26 years. You've got to have the capability and look at the
27 training aspect and the cost for that. That is one issue.

28
29 But then you need to look at the ongoing training and
30 the actual cost and the support that goes with - everything
31 else that goes with it. So in theory it is a very good
32 idea. In practice you've got to show us the money, and the
33 money's got to come with it, because in my experience it
34 doesn't and it is set up to fail. And the other important
35 point in policing it needs to be the primary job. We don't
36 need someone working two days a week and their primary job
37 is running a business or having another job. Policing is
38 your profession and it needs to be the primary job. That's
39 what we need to do. And that has become issues in other
40 jurisdictions where policing is just a guaranteed income
41 two days a week, and then the other job is the reason why
42 they're existing. That really impacts upon policing, and
43 people have to be available and we have to work with people
44 through different stages of their career and life.

45
46 But someone's got to do the night shift and someone's
47 got to work the weekends because that's when the work's on

1 in policing. We know the majority of our work is from
2 Thursday night through to Sunday night. So that's when
3 someone's got to work, because you can't just have the same
4 people working those shifts.

5
6 Q. All right. If we acknowledge from what you're saying
7 that it's difficult to have people recruited and we need
8 people operationally working on the frontline, whatever
9 words you want to use, working those shifts; right?

10 A. Yes.

11
12 Q. There would be some merit, wouldn't there, to
13 supplementing the operational Queensland police force
14 recruitment program with a civilian body to supplement the
15 skill sets of the police, and let me give you a couple of
16 examples - specialist workers in social and domestic
17 violence, specialist managers - that kind of thing to
18 supplement the operational police?

19 A. Part of my evidence, not only here but in other
20 areas --

21
22 Q. And I'll give you another one: admin support and
23 secretarial support, for example.

24 A. Which is why at the last state election I campaigned
25 and I got a commitment out of the Palaszczuk government for
26 2,025 extra staff with 575 of those staff to be civilians
27 because I recognised the important roles that civilians can
28 play in supporting police so we can have police on the
29 frontline. That is something I've been passionate about
30 advocating for because there are some roles which are vital
31 which does not need to be done by a sworn police officer.
32 So instead of getting 2,025 which for governments is a
33 better commitment because the public love it when you say,
34 "I'm getting 2,025 blue uniforms," but I recognised we
35 needed the admin support which was imperative. And
36 the Commissioner and I, I believe, are on the same page
37 when it comes to that, although I want a lot more than
38 2,025 I've got to tell you, but that's the best I got.

39
40 Q. In fairness as well where there are gaps perhaps - and
41 you may or may not know - at management level, finance
42 level, policy level, whatever, they could be supplemented
43 externally as well to help relieve the load from police?

44 A. I think they need to be an employee of the
45 organisation. I'm not a big one on outsourcing.

46
47 Q. Whether seconding or not or outsourcing, I'm saying

1 police that are not having to be recruited and come through
2 the process and then waiting for however many years before
3 they're experienced enough to take those jobs?

4 A. Depending upon the individual role that you're really
5 - we're getting down into the weeds on the individual roles
6 as we do. What I did see - and in theory was good - was
7 the Public Safety Business Agency, that was the biggest
8 debacle ever to hit the Queensland Police Service in the
9 last 25 years. The inefficiencies and the problems with
10 that were nothing short of a disgrace, where
11 the Commissioner of Police did not have control of their
12 own staff and were dictated to by another government
13 organisation. And they were performing HR and other roles.
14 I'd be shocked if the Commissioner didn't agree with me on
15 this: it was the biggest debacle our organisation has seen.

16
17 Q. Just excuse me a moment. I have no further questions,
18 Commissioner. Thank you.

19
20 COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr Hunter?

21
22 MR HUNTER: We have no questions, thank you.

23
24 MR McCAFFERTY: Commissioner, can I ask for a very short
25 break. I just want to take instructions on one matter.

26
27 COMMISSIONER: Yes.

28
29 MR McCAFFERTY: No more than 10 minutes, I promise.

30
31 COMMISSIONER: Just smiling at the thought of you getting
32 instructions in 10 minutes; that's all.

33
34 MR McCAFFERTY: There might be another reason for a break
35 which is personal to me.

36
37 COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll adjourn for 10 minutes.

38
39 **SHORT ADJOURNMENT**

40
41 MR McCAFFERTY: Thank you for that indulgence,
42 Commissioner. I'm very grateful.

43
44 COMMISSIONER: That's all right.

45
46 **<EXAMINATION BY MR McCAFFERTY:**

47

1 MR McCafferty: I just have two very small areas for
2 Mr Leavers. Mr Leavers, it was put to you by my learned
3 friend Ms Hillard that the federal Labor Party has - and
4 I'm paraphrasing her of course - an election quota for
5 females. Can I ask what your view is about election
6 quotas?

7 A. Yes, look, the Police Union has no intention of
8 adopting the electoral policies of the federal Labor
9 government.

10

11 Q. Now, I know you're not here as an expert but I think
12 it's uncontroversial that Coroner Bentley in the inquest of
13 the death of Hannah Clarke found that you are in fact an
14 expert on how DV incidents are impacting police officers
15 and its effect on policing in Queensland. I want to ask
16 you a couple of questions about resources. First, how many
17 interactions would you have with frontline officers --

18

19 COMMISSIONER: I'll just state for the record I'm not
20 treating Mr Leavers as an expert.

21

22 MR McCafferty: No, I was about to say I think I said that
23 he is not being called today as an expert for that purpose,
24 and nor is he of course because there's been no report
25 provided. I just want to ask you some questions about
26 resourcing. Now, you have a lot of interactions with
27 frontline police officers?

28 A. Yes, I do

29

30 Q. What is your present view about the resourcing for
31 domestic and family violence in this state?

32 A. The resourcing of domestic violence and family
33 violence in this state is woeful. It is not sustainable
34 and capable of doing what is expected by the community and
35 agencies across Queensland.

36

37 Q. Now, let me pause there. What do you believe is
38 required in terms of additional resourcing to get to the
39 standards expected by the community?

40 A. What we do need is an injection on figures that I've
41 come up with with at least another 500 police across
42 Queensland purely dedicated to domestic and family
43 violence.

44

45 Q. Just pausing there, 500 further officers purely for
46 frontline work?

47 A. Yes.

1
2 Q. Okay. Sorry, I cut you off.

3
4 COMMISSIONER: Frontline work or frontline and specialist
5 work?

6 A. To work in the space of family and domestic violence.
7 That won't mean that the other 12,500 police won't respond
8 to calls for domestic and family violence. But with the
9 regions that we have around Queensland we need injection of
10 those staff which equates to about 500 to be injected along
11 with other specialist workers and agencies across the state
12 of Queensland. So that means we need bases on the Gold
13 Coast, Logan, Toowoomba, Ipswich. As we go up the coast
14 and to service remote communities we actually need to embed
15 specialist not only police but people like psychologists,
16 people from Child Safety, as well as lawyers and work with
17 elders to be embedded in those communities. Not fly in and
18 fly outs. We need the accommodation cost. This is not
19 just wages. As well as support services, counselling
20 service, rehabilitation, we need that embedded right across
21 the state of Queensland.

22
23 Further to that, as we go north we know that the
24 tyranny of distance has a huge impact upon police. So
25 through certain times of the year the only way to travel to
26 different locations is via air. It is not --

27
28 MR McCAFFERTY: Just pause there. I don't mean to cut you
29 off, but let's look at the human resources required. So
30 500 further police, and then you mentioned other expertise
31 engaged in and that's effectively what you really mean to
32 be a multi-disciplinary approach to policing domestic and
33 family violence.

34 A. Yes.

35
36 Q. Now, did you envisage that such a multi-disciplinary
37 approach would require I think you said a psychologist?

38 A. Yes.

39

40 Q. A mental health professional?

41 A. Yes.

42

43 Q. Who else?

44 A. A lawyer.

45

46 Q. Yes?

47 A. To work with other NGOs and councils to be able to

1 assist people, because a big problem I do see is victims
2 when they have been disempowered they don't need the
3 strength and they need supports, so they need the
4 assistance for that. We need to look at other roles as
5 well. Rehabilitation of offenders. And this is where
6 Lloyd Clarke and I agree. We do have people who offend,
7 but we can't arrest our way out of it and keep taking
8 enforcement action. We actually need to genuinely look at
9 rehabilitation, otherwise the cycle perpetuates and never
10 actually stops. So that is an important role. So,
11 depending upon the community, if we were to look at
12 Doomadgee you need respected elders with the ability and
13 the respect within that community to lead the way
14 because --

15

16 Q. Not that I want to put words in your mouth, but
17 someone devoted to rehabilitating offenders, a
18 psychologist?

19 A. Yes.

20

21 Q. A lawyer. In First Nations communities
22 representatives of those communities to interact with
23 the communities; is that what you envisage?

24 A. Yes.

25

26 Q. What about on the child protection front?

27 A. Absolutely the child protection front, and that means
28 police from the Child Protection and Investigation Unit as
29 well as Children Services, but also the health department
30 too. With some research - and I ran a national symposium
31 on youth crime. What was clearly abundant as a result of
32 that and working with other agencies is sadly a lot of
33 children who are born in First Nations community suffer
34 from the alcohol foetal syndrome. So I think it is
35 imperative we get in at a very early age, and it includes
36 education withinschools about respectful relationships
37 from a very young age. But we need to work with health
38 workers because some of these people are born as a result
39 of not their being with things like the alcohol foetal
40 syndrome, see how we can best manage that and give them a
41 better opportunity in life than what they would ordinarily
42 have. So this is very expensive and I think it needs all
43 levels of government to come together.

44

45 Q. So we can add a health practitioner to the list?

46 A. Yes.

47

1 Q. Now, would you envisage, Mr Leavers, that this
2 multi-disciplinary team would be represented in each of the
3 15 districts?

4 A. Absolutely.
5

6 Q. Have you got any idea - and a guess is fine of
7 course - of what the human resources alone might cost?

8 A. Probably just on wages at least 150 million recurring
9 every year, and when you start to look at the other
10 resources that are put into place with multi-disciplinary
11 teams and accommodation, private and as with office space,
12 you're probably looking - with brief sums that I have done,
13 we're looking at an increase in the police budget of
14 probably half a billion dollars a year if we're going to
15 treat this seriously.
16

17 But I will say at the moment I know the police budget
18 is around 3.2 billion. In all fairness to the Commissioner
19 she needs a budget north of \$4 billion a year to be
20 effectively able to do her job. And I will say I'm not
21 here to defend the Commissioner, but it's very difficult
22 for commissioners on contract to go and ask for extra
23 money. It could be very problematic or career-ending.
24 I'll be up front and bold and say for us to be able to do
25 the job properly with all the changes in legislation and
26 all of the things we need to change, we need a budget which
27 is appropriate which needs to be north of \$4 billion,
28 otherwise we are set up to fail. And when my frontline
29 police fail, and who are doing their best, and I accept
30 they're all doing a great job, they're doing the best they
31 can, when they're set up to fail by a system which is
32 beyond their control, we fail victims, and that has a
33 significant psychological impact upon my people.
34

35 Q. Thank you, Mr Leavers. That's all I had by way of
36 re-examination. Thank you, Your Honour.
37

38 COMMISSIONER: Just on that psychological effect, do you
39 think that there's a - or do you think that the injury
40 management system in the QPS is robust enough?

41 A. No, I don't. And, Commissioner, we are still
42 recovering from a result of the Public Safety Business
43 Agency where they took a lot of the injury management
44 advisers out of the police regions and a lot of those
45 positions were - they were dismissed. And in the last
46 election I sought a commitment out of the Palaszczuk
47 government to have specific people to be allocated to the

1 injury management section and to be relocated within the
2 regions, because they know their own people, because that
3 was a huge gap within our organisation and we were failing
4 people at every step of the way.

5
6 And when I see people go off on sick leave - look,
7 I was appointed by a former government to the board of
8 WorkCover and I see the stats. The longer someone is off,
9 the less chances they are to return to work. I think we
10 need to be involved immediately and do everything we
11 proactively can and encourage people to seek treatment,
12 whether it's a physical or a psychological injury, at the
13 earliest opportunity.

14
15 And domestic violence does take a tremendous toll on
16 people because you're seeing people at their worst, and
17 sometimes you actually feel helpless. And I go back to a
18 job - and I know that's not your question, but I think it's
19 good to contextualise it. I went to a job in Goodna and
20 I locked up both mum and dad, and there was an 18-month
21 year old child and I had the child in my arms and
22 I thought, "This poor little" - my words were, "This poor
23 little bugger, his life is over before it's even begun,"
24 because I know what the end result was going to be. But
25 that has an effect on police and how we are
26 psychologically.

27
28 So I think we really need to - with the injury
29 management, they need to be effectively resourced and
30 empowered to do the job because their workload, some of
31 them had well over 50 on their case load, and that is
32 impossible and well above the standards which is accepted
33 to which means they can effectively do their job. So that
34 is one instance.

35
36 And I did get through the presumptive legislation for
37 PTSD for police, and I encourage all police to go and seek
38 treatment for a psychological injury. Can I say I've got
39 to give him a plug, is Brian Codd. Him and I both publicly
40 speak about this because police don't look after their own
41 mental health. They look after everyone else's, but they
42 fail to look after themselves. And then they deteriorate.
43 Some police may as a result have bad behaviour, it becomes
44 a dysfunctional family and they get divorced, and they
45 don't do it. And I'm the first to get up there and I say
46 to people, "If it's good enough for Brian Codd" - and my
47 son was nearly killed in an accident three years ago and

1 I've relived the fatals - "But if it's good enough for
2 Cuddy and it's good enough for me to go to a psychologist,
3 it's good enough for you. Get in there, get in there early
4 and look after your health, because once you fall off that
5 cliff often there's no coming back."
6

7 But the impact of seeing domestic violence continually
8 has the psychological effect and it actually drains you and
9 affects you, and that can have the ability to affect on how
10 you respond to calls for service into the future, and
11 that's just because of what we've seen. So I think there's
12 a lot of work to be done and I would welcome that. I think
13 it's really important.
14

15 COMMISSIONER: And it is very important for someone like
16 an assistant commissioner to speak out about that; I agree.
17 That's not only brave but also very proactive. And for
18 someone like you, actually. But from what you've said you
19 think most of your members suffer from a level of
20 post-traumatic stress if they have been in the service for
21 more than three years; is that what I'm getting from you?
22 A. I do, and I do think it's the residual effect. I'm a
23 big believer in even if you have - you see a psychologist -
24 it's hard to get a good one anyway, but if you can see one
25 and check --
26

27 COMMISSIONER: Well, it's hard to get in to one.

28 A. It can be a three to six month wait, as I found.
29 I have a good one; she's great. But if you can get in and
30 have those check-ups I think that can probably save you
31 from falling off the cliff later on and keep you balanced.
32 And a healthy mind is really important. And that may stop
33 some of these behaviours that we've actually been talking
34 about, not that I'm excusing some behaviours either. But
35 I think it's really important.
36

37 COMMISSIONER: So I thought in your statement you weren't
38 keen on people seeing psychologists for fear of promotional
39 disadvantage?

40 A. My concern is, Commissioner, with the police
41 department I think the services need to be separate to the
42 police department.
43

44 COMMISSIONER: Right.

45 A. My reason is it can be seen as a negative thing if
46 you're going. But also once certain records are
47 made - this is a quandary we do have - anyone can get their

1 hands on that record - those records, whether that be
2 through the court system or through the Family Court or
3 whatever else it may be; those records exist. And that is
4 a problem for our people and that is why sometimes people
5 are reluctant to come forward. Can I say this for an
6 example. Like, it's good for Brian and I. We've got jobs.
7 But we can go to the psychologist every month if we need
8 to, but if we leave the organisation we've got to be two
9 years free of seeing a psychologist, otherwise we couldn't
10 apply to come back in.

11

12 COMMISSIONER: Right.

13

14 A. It just seems outrageous that's the way the
15 Police Service has gone. And I just think it's 2022.
16 We're actually accepting of mental health and it's so
17 important - I see the destruction in society - that we've
18 got to treat it seriously and normalise it as if I pulled
19 my hamstring I'd be going to the physio every month, and
20 I just think there's that work to be done. But that is the
21 fear upon police, that once there's a record it may very
22 well be used against it. But, in saying that, I do - and
23 that is the concern of my people. But both Brian and
24 I have spoken at this on length. We both publicly present
25 and say - we use each other and say, "Look after your
26 mental health. It's really and vitally important so you
27 can function as a normal human being."

28

29 COMMISSIONER: So how do you solve that problem?

30

31 A. Education. We continue to provide education. What
32 I do - when I go and talk to the recruits, I have the
33 opportunity when they start, I give them a book. It's by
34 Dr Kevin Gilmartin. It's about emotional survival for law
35 enforcement officers. He's a recognised expert and a
36 doctor in psychologist from the US. I say, "Read through
37 that because it will explain some of the issues that you
38 see in policing." I'm happy to provide a book for
39 the Commission, if that assists. And what it does, it goes
40 through what occurs in policing and why we see things
41 through a different set of lenses and why we become so
42 cynical because of the nature of our work and why we become
43 so hypervigilant and why we are damaged people, and it's to
44 recognise the symptoms.

45

46 And we encourage people. I say, "Take it home. Get
47 your partner to read the book, those who are close to you,
48 and if you have adult children" - inappropriate for a young
49 child - so they can start to recognise the symptoms at a

1 very early stage so you can get treatment.

2
3 I've also produced a self-help guide which is a quick
4 guide. I actually stole it off my colleagues in New South
5 Wales and re-badged it to suit Queensland conditions, but
6 it's a self-help guide and it's about the positive
7 promotion and normalising and say, "If people recognise
8 this, go and get treatment." I had a colleague, I went to
9 school with him and I won't mention his name, and he went
10 through some tough times and he said to me, he said, "How
11 did you know I was struggling?" I said, "Mate" - and I'm
12 not an expert, as I know - I said, "I'm just a cop like
13 you. But I've known you since we were 12. You were
14 struggling." And I said so - and I continued on and I put
15 him in contact with a very good psychiatrist, and he said,
16 "You actually saved my life."
17

18 So what I say to police now is, "You can't rely on
19 the Commissioner, she's got her role, or your supervisor.
20 But if you know your mates and you know they're struggling
21 you pull them on." If I was to say to Mr McCafferty,
22 "You're going all right," and he said "yes" and I know it's
23 not, it's my job as his mate to pull him on and say,
24 "You're not. You need to do something about it," and you
25 drive it. Mates have got to look after mates, and that's
26 the way Brian Codd and I are trying to change behaviour,
27 and we're spending a lot of money in the welfare space so
28 people can have the ability to go externally to the
29 Police Service.
30

31 So the Police Service are doing a bit, don't get me
32 wrong, but I think it's a work in progress which is going
33 to continue to have continual reinforcement to say, "It's
34 okay to seek help." So that's something I'm pretty
35 passionate about. And even with the presumptive
36 legislation I would like to think with that now that that
37 makes the employer be more responsible and we can actually
38 assist people prior to them having to go to the other
39 extreme to put in a WorkCover claim.
40

41 COMMISSIONER: Yes.

42 A. So I'm all about being preventative and education.
43 Even things with police work and with shift work, there's
44 so many basic things. Sleep, exercise and diet are one of
45 the three most important things but no-one recognises.
46 Start to look at that, and if there are changes, because
47 once I see the ripple effect I see the destruction in

1 families, and that saddens me. So that's the way I want it
2 to go in the future, and I think it affects everything we
3 do in policing.
4

5 COMMISSIONER: And one final question. How do you solve
6 the fly-in fly-out or encourage officers to spend more time
7 in remote communities? What's your suggestion?

8 A. What we've done in the latest enterprise bargaining
9 agreement, which hasn't been voted on, is if you stay there
10 for more than your initial tenure you can jump pay bands -
11 but I know it's not about money always - but to give them
12 an opportunity when they have done their time to get back
13 to a reasonable location. For example, many years ago my
14 brother spent some time at Doomadgee, five years in that
15 area, and Mount Isa. The deal he got was to go back to the
16 north coast. So we've got to incentivise it that way.
17

18 But what I do think, we've got to think outside the
19 square because years ago - and I think society's not moving
20 quick enough on this - is traditionally the police officer
21 went and their partner followed them. Well, in 2022
22 partners have careers and sometimes partners have the more
23 dominant career. So we need to see if we can work with
24 other agencies, particularly government agencies, and a lot
25 of police are connected with teachers and nurses and other
26 government jobs, is let government agencies work together
27 and see how we can try and achieve that. But we need to
28 recognise remote and rural service and have some financial
29 rewards as well. But give them an opportunity. You can
30 only stay in so many places for so long.
31

32 And this is selfish I think. By the time if you have
33 children they get to a certain age you may want to have a
34 choice as to where you send them to school and give them
35 the opportunity and not have them to fight too much or take
36 a demotion to get out of that location. We actually need
37 to reward them for doing the hard yards in these
38 communities or these hard to fill places across the state.
39

40 COMMISSIONER: So should that be weighted when they apply
41 for promotions, that service?

42 A. Absolutely. I agree. I'm aware of former Assistant
43 Commissioner Condon did a paper on that and it was shelved
44 by the former Commissioner. I think there is a lot of
45 merit in that and I think the Police Service needs to
46 re-think that. And Commissioner Carroll probably wouldn't
47 know about it, so I'm not throwing her under the bus on

1 that.

2

3 COMMISSIONER: All right.

4

5 MS O'GORMAN: I don't have any further questions for
6 Mr Leavers. If he might be excused.

7

8 COMMISSIONER: Yes, thanks. Thanks, Mr Leavers. You're
9 excused.

10 A. Thank you. And thank you, Commissioner, for the
11 opportunity.

12

13 COMMISSIONER: Thanks, Mr Leavers.

14

15 <THE WITNESS WITHDREW

16

17 MS O'GORMAN: Mr Leavers was the only witness for today.
18 It's not anticipated that there's going to be any more
19 public hearings, but it's probably most appropriate to
20 simply adjourn.

21

22 COMMISSIONER: All right.

23

24 AT 12.50PM THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

	<p>25 [2] - 2372:7, 2408:9</p> <p>27 [1] - 2367:4</p> <p>28 [3] - 2370:43, 2390:23, 2391:10</p>	<p>97 [1] - 2372:5</p> <p>98 [10] - 2364:18, 2368:38, 2369:3, 2370:5, 2384:27, 2395:45, 2396:5, 2396:23, 2397:35, 2403:10</p>	<p>accepted [4] - 2379:38, 2380:32, 2396:16, 2413:32</p> <p>accepting [2] - 2375:44, 2415:15</p> <p>access [1] - 2394:32</p> <p>accident [1] - 2413:47</p> <p>accommodation [4] - 2365:44, 2406:12, 2410:18, 2412:11</p> <p>accompanied [1] - 2391:39</p> <p>accordingly [5] - 2364:40, 2369:31, 2379:14, 2384:43, 2388:44</p> <p>account [3] - 2396:2, 2398:1, 2398:41</p> <p>achieve [6] - 2374:46, 2375:5, 2376:15, 2393:10, 2401:11, 2417:27</p> <p>achieved [1] - 2375:2</p> <p>acknowledge [1] - 2407:6</p> <p>Act [3] - 2361:32, 2362:21, 2365:12</p> <p>act [3] - 2379:5, 2384:21, 2385:2</p> <p>acted [1] - 2379:13</p> <p>acting [1] - 2384:25</p> <p>action [2] - 2366:40, 2411:8</p> <p>actively [4] - 2371:43, 2400:36, 2402:29, 2402:30</p> <p>acts [1] - 2369:25</p> <p>actual [1] - 2406:30</p> <p>acutely [1] - 2369:17</p> <p>ACWAP [1] - 2374:12</p> <p>add [1] - 2411:45</p> <p>additional [1] - 2409:38</p> <p>address [3] - 2385:14, 2385:31, 2389:3</p> <p>addressed [3] - 2364:20, 2386:4, 2388:26</p> <p>ADF [4] - 2392:16, 2393:9, 2396:30, 2404:42</p> <p>adjourn [2] - 2408:37, 2418:20</p> <p>ADJOURNED [1] - 2418:24</p> <p>ADJOURNMENT [1] - 2408:39</p> <p>admin [2] - 2407:22, 2407:35</p> <p>administered [1] - 2388:28</p>	<p>Administration [2] - 2361:32, 2365:12</p> <p>adopting [1] - 2409:8</p> <p>adult [1] - 2415:46</p> <p>adverse [2] - 2371:24, 2393:30</p> <p>advice [3] - 2389:26, 2389:27, 2389:31</p> <p>advise [1] - 2390:6</p> <p>advised [2] - 2369:31, 2386:20</p> <p>adviser [1] - 2401:44</p> <p>advisers [2] - 2402:24, 2412:44</p> <p>Advisory [1] - 2371:19</p> <p>advocacy [1] - 2398:11</p> <p>advocate [2] - 2375:11, 2402:31</p> <p>advocated [1] - 2403:31</p> <p>advocates [1] - 2376:14</p> <p>advocating [1] - 2407:30</p> <p>affect [3] - 2370:12, 2376:29, 2414:9</p> <p>affected [5] - 2365:43, 2369:16, 2370:39, 2386:5, 2389:41</p> <p>affecting [1] - 2403:44</p> <p>affects [5] - 2370:3, 2376:30, 2384:42, 2414:9, 2417:2</p> <p>affidavit [1] - 2361:37</p> <p>age [5] - 2392:20, 2393:23, 2411:35, 2411:37, 2417:33</p> <p>agencies [7] - 2378:24, 2409:35, 2410:11, 2411:32, 2417:24, 2417:26</p> <p>Agency [2] - 2408:7, 2412:43</p> <p>agency [1] - 2398:11</p> <p>ages [1] - 2392:30</p> <p>ago [8] - 2372:7, 2373:41, 2383:3, 2384:33, 2402:21, 2413:47, 2417:13, 2417:19</p> <p>agree [20] - 2368:47, 2378:21, 2381:13, 2381:47, 2386:20, 2389:3, 2396:9, 2397:8, 2399:1, 2399:47, 2401:33, 2402:45, 2403:18, 2403:28, 2404:47,</p>
<p>'70s [1] - 2368:16</p> <p>'80s [1] - 2368:16</p> <p>'90s [1] - 2368:16</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>A</p>		
<p>1</p>	<p>3 [1] - 2393:29</p> <p>3,480 [1] - 2363:13</p> <p>3.2 [1] - 2412:18</p> <p>3.5 [2] - 2392:14, 2404:37</p> <p>30 [3] - 2363:17, 2400:29, 2402:20</p> <p>34 [1] - 2360:34</p> <p>363 [1] - 2360:35</p> <p>385 [1] - 2399:39</p>			
<p>1 [1] - 2361:47</p> <p>1,450 [1] - 2392:40</p> <p>10 [5] - 2382:23, 2388:8, 2408:29, 2408:32, 2408:37</p> <p>105 [1] - 2375:23</p> <p>12 [2] - 2392:22, 2416:13</p> <p>12,200 [1] - 2363:10</p> <p>12,500 [3] - 2382:23, 2404:10, 2410:7</p> <p>12.50PM [1] - 2418:24</p> <p>14 [1] - 2394:46</p> <p>15 [2] - 2366:22, 2412:3</p> <p>150 [1] - 2412:8</p> <p>17 [1] - 2392:5</p> <p>17-year-olds [2] - 2391:46, 2404:42</p> <p>18 [2] - 2392:6, 2393:8</p> <p>18-month [2] - 2392:23, 2413:20</p> <p>19 [2] - 2392:23, 2393:33</p> <p>1989 [2] - 2367:6, 2379:5</p>	<p>4</p>			
	<p>4 [3] - 2362:5, 2412:19, 2412:27</p> <p>466 [3] - 2361:28, 2361:46, 2362:18</p>			
	<p>5</p>			
	<p>5 [2] - 2362:5, 2378:39</p> <p>50 [1] - 2413:31</p> <p>500 [4] - 2409:41, 2409:45, 2410:10, 2410:30</p> <p>575 [2] - 2392:40, 2407:26</p>			
	<p>6</p>			
	<p>68 [1] - 2398:25</p> <p>6A.1 [1] - 2361:31</p>			
	<p>7</p>			
	<p>7 [1] - 2360:40</p> <p>70 [1] - 2398:24</p> <p>78 [2] - 2395:38, 2398:6</p>			
	<p>8</p>			
	<p>8 [1] - 2362:8</p> <p>80s [1] - 2367:32</p> <p>88 [2] - 2378:22, 2381:13</p> <p>89 [2] - 2378:22, 2381:13</p>			
	<p>9</p>			
	<p>9 [1] - 2362:8</p> <p>90s [1] - 2367:32</p>			
		<p>abhorrent [1] - 2369:5</p> <p>abiding [1] - 2369:15</p> <p>ability [6] - 2376:31, 2381:30, 2403:44, 2411:12, 2414:9, 2416:28</p> <p>able [23] - 2365:18, 2365:39, 2370:27, 2372:18, 2373:18, 2376:31, 2378:39, 2384:19, 2384:27, 2387:37, 2388:19, 2391:4, 2392:12, 2392:36, 2394:5, 2395:28, 2400:7, 2401:11, 2401:21, 2402:33, 2410:47, 2412:20, 2412:24</p> <p>absolutely [13] - 2361:43, 2371:17, 2378:43, 2383:44, 2385:10, 2393:12, 2395:22, 2395:30, 2399:5, 2405:28, 2411:27, 2412:4, 2417:42</p> <p>abundant [1] - 2411:31</p> <p>abuse [1] - 2366:27</p> <p>AC [1] - 2380:25</p> <p>academy [4] - 2387:10, 2392:6, 2404:47, 2405:31</p> <p>accept [28] - 2369:12, 2369:44, 2375:46, 2376:39, 2376:41, 2376:45, 2377:2, 2377:21, 2377:23, 2378:7, 2378:45, 2381:35, 2381:40, 2382:1, 2382:3, 2382:6, 2382:21, 2382:40, 2382:41, 2383:21, 2383:29, 2388:17, 2388:22, 2396:22, 2396:23, 2404:30, 2405:4, 2412:29</p> <p>acceptable [2] - 2369:19, 2386:40</p>		

2405:27, 2408:14, 2411:6, 2414:16, 2417:42 agreement [1] - 2417:9 agrees [2] - 2377:44, 2388:33 Aid [1] - 2387:30 air [1] - 2410:26 aired [1] - 2384:1 alcohol [2] - 2411:34, 2411:39 all-boys [1] - 2375:25 all-male [1] - 2371:29 allegation [3] - 2361:47, 2362:1, 2362:8 allegations [3] - 2362:8, 2385:42, 2385:43 alleged [1] - 2399:21 allocated [1] - 2412:47 allow [2] - 2371:9, 2405:31 allusions [1] - 2369:4 almost [1] - 2363:16 alone [1] - 2412:7 amendment [1] - 2401:16 amounts [1] - 2389:4 AND [1] - 2360:12 anecdotal [1] - 2395:33 ANNA [1] - 2360:28 Anne [1] - 2380:24 answer [6] - 2380:3, 2380:9, 2380:11, 2380:14, 2397:23, 2403:20 answered [3] - 2380:27, 2380:28, 2380:29 answers [5] - 2374:26, 2374:28, 2390:16, 2396:47, 2397:21 anti [1] - 2386:26 anti-corruption [1] - 2386:26 anticipated [1] - 2418:18 anxious [1] - 2402:40 anyway [1] - 2414:24 ANZPAA [1] - 2384:13 apart [1] - 2405:40 appalled [1] - 2369:8 Appeal [1] - 2377:24 appeal [1] - 2386:21 appear [3] - 2367:30, 2376:1, 2387:15	appeared [1] - 2402:17 applied [2] - 2386:9 apply [5] - 2383:34, 2392:5, 2406:20, 2415:10, 2417:40 appointed [3] - 2401:1, 2402:35, 2413:7 appointing [2] - 2371:30, 2401:43 appreciate [2] - 2395:32, 2396:14 apprenticeship [1] - 2393:34 approach [2] - 2410:32, 2410:37 approaches [1] - 2403:27 appropriate [3] - 2376:26, 2412:27, 2418:19 appropriately [1] - 2404:23 approves [1] - 2386:19 area [8] - 2362:33, 2368:5, 2387:42, 2400:39, 2402:3, 2402:4, 2404:43, 2417:15 areas [6] - 2368:6, 2373:32, 2386:45, 2387:13, 2407:20, 2409:1 argument [1] - 2381:44 arms [1] - 2413:21 arrange [1] - 2389:40 arrangement [1] - 2394:21 array [1] - 2403:47 arrest [1] - 2411:7 aside [1] - 2369:42 aspect [2] - 2397:5, 2406:27 aspects [1] - 2385:1 aspire [1] - 2371:23 assault [1] - 2397:13 assaults [2] - 2399:2, 2399:3 assist [13] - 2364:32, 2365:40, 2387:37, 2388:40, 2389:31, 2389:32, 2393:1, 2397:47, 2398:37, 2400:7, 2402:35, 2411:1, 2416:38 assistance [4] - 2365:26, 2389:23,	2411:4 assistant [4] - 2363:26, 2390:39, 2414:16, 2417:42 Assistant [2] - 2397:8, 2404:14 ASSISTING [1] - 2360:26 assisting [2] - 2374:1, 2389:16 assists [1] - 2415:37 assure [2] - 2369:13, 2373:28 AT [1] - 2418:24 attack [2] - 2378:31, 2378:36 attempt [2] - 2374:36, 2376:2 attempted [2] - 2361:15, 2361:24 attempts [4] - 2374:30, 2374:37, 2375:41, 2375:47 attention [2] - 2366:19, 2368:28 attitudes [2] - 2367:19, 2370:22 Attorney [1] - 2393:16 Attorney-General [1] - 2393:16 attract [6] - 2372:44, 2375:17, 2392:34, 2393:19, 2393:41, 2406:16 attracting [1] - 2375:11 attractive [9] - 2371:40, 2372:9, 2372:26, 2373:32, 2375:15, 2393:24, 2393:28, 2402:1, 2405:27 Aurukun [1] - 2406:11 Australasian [1] - 2374:12 Australia [2] - 2384:17, 2392:11 authoritative [1] - 2395:19 authority [2] - 2391:3, 2396:14 available [5] - 2364:39, 2366:1, 2399:13, 2403:42, 2406:43 aware [10] - 2363:47, 2364:6, 2364:31, 2369:17, 2369:30, 2377:17, 2385:17, 2402:16, 2403:10,	2417:42 <hr/> B <hr/> background [4] - 2365:8, 2374:40, 2392:45, 2392:46 backgrounds [5] - 2368:10, 2371:31, 2375:12, 2375:29, 2400:8 bad [8] - 2376:34, 2376:35, 2376:40, 2376:43, 2384:5, 2387:41, 2405:14, 2413:43 badged [1] - 2416:5 bail [1] - 2384:37 balanced [1] - 2414:31 ballot [1] - 2371:18 bands [1] - 2417:10 bargaining [1] - 2417:8 based [1] - 2371:15 bases [1] - 2410:12 basic [1] - 2416:44 basing [1] - 2368:27 basis [3] - 2361:43, 2385:2, 2397:3 battle [1] - 2382:23 bearing [1] - 2402:41 become [5] - 2390:44, 2402:14, 2406:39, 2415:39, 2415:40 becomes [1] - 2413:43 becoming [1] - 2397:2 begun [1] - 2413:23 behalf [1] - 2382:23 behaviour [19] - 2367:41, 2369:5, 2369:8, 2369:9, 2369:13, 2369:19, 2369:29, 2369:44, 2385:33, 2385:46, 2386:40, 2387:28, 2388:42, 2389:11, 2397:4, 2397:16, 2397:26, 2413:43, 2416:26 behaviours [4] - 2368:34, 2400:5, 2414:33, 2414:34 behind [2] - 2392:6, 2398:42 believer [1] - 2414:23 believes [1] - 2392:46 belittle [1] - 2380:42 belittling [5] - 2376:1, 2378:11, 2379:40,	2380:33, 2380:42 beneficial [3] - 2371:7, 2386:34, 2394:4 Bentley [3] - 2383:1, 2383:33, 2409:12 best [6] - 2370:6, 2379:35, 2407:38, 2411:40, 2412:29, 2412:30 better [8] - 2365:41, 2368:7, 2368:40, 2379:6, 2394:5, 2403:42, 2407:33, 2411:41 between [6] - 2374:14, 2374:35, 2377:17, 2381:33, 2388:34, 2393:25 beyond [2] - 2403:12, 2412:32 big [3] - 2407:45, 2411:1, 2414:23 bigger [1] - 2397:32 biggest [3] - 2376:14, 2408:7, 2408:15 billion [4] - 2412:14, 2412:18, 2412:19, 2412:27 bit [6] - 2362:35, 2387:47, 2394:7, 2401:26, 2404:17, 2416:31 blue [1] - 2407:34 board [19] - 2368:6, 2371:29, 2371:32, 2372:43, 2374:18, 2399:47, 2400:30, 2400:32, 2400:36, 2400:44, 2401:2, 2401:13, 2401:19, 2401:36, 2401:45, 2402:24, 2402:30, 2402:35, 2413:7 boards [2] - 2400:47, 2401:42 body [7] - 2375:17, 2389:36, 2397:15, 2398:14, 2401:3, 2401:37, 2407:14 bold [1] - 2412:24 book [3] - 2415:31, 2415:36, 2415:45 books [1] - 2365:45 born [2] - 2411:33, 2411:38 bottom [1] - 2373:10 boys [1] - 2375:25 branch [5] - 2372:4, 2373:42, 2373:43,
--	---	--	--	--

<p>2390:28, 2390:37 branches [3] - 2390:25, 2390:29, 2391:12 brave [1] - 2414:17 break [3] - 2404:26, 2408:25, 2408:34 breaking [1] - 2404:25 breathe [1] - 2368:30 breathed [1] - 2369:9 Brian [6] - 2397:7, 2413:39, 2413:46, 2415:6, 2415:22, 2416:26 brief [5] - 2386:17, 2386:26, 2388:25, 2388:26, 2412:12 briefed [1] - 2363:34 bring [1] - 2392:26 Brisbane [6] - 2360:34, 2360:35, 2373:44, 2391:15, 2391:29, 2405:41 broad [1] - 2387:41 broaden [1] - 2393:11 broader [2] - 2374:8, 2374:9 broken [6] - 2378:41, 2378:43, 2379:33, 2382:44, 2382:46 brother [1] - 2417:14 brought [2] - 2368:28, 2389:33 budget [6] - 2404:12, 2404:24, 2412:13, 2412:17, 2412:19, 2412:26 bugger [1] - 2413:23 built [1] - 2385:35 Bureau [1] - 2387:30 burnt [1] - 2383:19 bus [1] - 2417:47 business [1] - 2406:37 Business [2] - 2408:7, 2412:42 busting [1] - 2384:27 buy [1] - 2406:9 BY [3] - 2362:42, 2395:13, 2408:46</p>	<p>campaigning [2] - 2378:19, 2379:28 cannot [5] - 2372:22, 2376:26, 2384:42, 2402:36, 2405:35 canvassed [1] - 2361:33 capability [1] - 2406:26 capable [1] - 2409:34 CAPPELLANO [1] - 2360:28 capture [1] - 2392:12 car [1] - 2394:35 care [1] - 2394:2 career [10] - 2371:24, 2371:25, 2371:46, 2375:28, 2393:22, 2394:26, 2406:17, 2406:44, 2412:23, 2417:23 career-ending [1] - 2412:23 careers [3] - 2370:21, 2392:19, 2417:22 carpentry [1] - 2393:34 Carroll [2] - 2363:36, 2417:46 carry [1] - 2369:24 case [7] - 2368:15, 2369:33, 2370:47, 2373:16, 2376:45, 2389:34, 2413:31 cases [1] - 2366:41 catchcries [1] - 2404:21 categorise [1] - 2366:17 Cath [1] - 2361:15 causation [1] - 2387:39 caused [1] - 2387:19 caveat [1] - 2404:8 CCC [7] - 2375:9, 2375:36, 2375:40, 2386:16, 2386:17, 2386:19, 2388:25 cent [21] - 2363:17, 2364:18, 2368:38, 2369:3, 2370:5, 2384:27, 2392:14, 2395:36, 2395:37, 2395:38, 2395:45, 2396:5, 2396:23, 2397:35, 2398:6, 2398:24, 2398:25, 2399:37, 2400:29, 2403:10, 2404:37 CEO [1] - 2384:12</p>	<p>certain [8] - 2386:44, 2395:44, 2401:41, 2403:27, 2405:38, 2410:25, 2414:46, 2417:33 certainly [9] - 2364:17, 2370:15, 2371:23, 2382:14, 2382:32, 2386:34, 2388:43, 2401:5, 2406:25 cetera [1] - 2398:32 chair [2] - 2377:23, 2390:40 challenge [1] - 2393:27 challenging [4] - 2372:13, 2396:25, 2396:30, 2396:34 chances [1] - 2413:9 change [19] - 2368:17, 2368:37, 2372:6, 2378:20, 2378:42, 2379:26, 2381:9, 2382:10, 2383:5, 2383:12, 2383:14, 2383:23, 2383:30, 2383:31, 2395:21, 2402:40, 2402:41, 2412:26, 2416:26 changes [9] - 2369:34, 2378:37, 2379:2, 2402:31, 2402:33, 2402:40, 2403:43, 2412:25, 2416:46 changing [2] - 2392:33, 2401:27 charge [3] - 2361:24, 2361:38, 2361:39 charged [4] - 2361:14, 2361:24, 2362:20, 2381:23 charges [1] - 2388:21 Charleville [2] - 2390:29, 2406:9 Charters [1] - 2390:26 check [2] - 2414:25, 2414:30 check-ups [1] - 2414:30 Chief [1] - 2374:16 Child [1] - 2411:28 child [7] - 2365:8, 2410:16, 2411:26, 2411:27, 2413:21, 2415:47 children [4] - 2411:29, 2411:33, 2415:46, 2417:33</p>	<p>Chinese [2] - 2390:3, 2390:4 choice [4] - 2388:17, 2388:22, 2388:24, 2417:34 Christine [1] - 2374:15 circumstances [12] - 2361:28, 2365:7, 2365:10, 2365:14, 2365:16, 2366:24, 2366:29, 2366:40, 2386:29, 2389:10, 2397:6, 2398:42 civilian [1] - 2407:14 civilians [3] - 2392:40, 2407:26, 2407:27 claim [1] - 2416:39 clarify [2] - 2361:7, 2402:46 Clarke [3] - 2403:30, 2409:13, 2411:6 classified [6] - 2374:47, 2386:12, 2386:16, 2386:18, 2386:24, 2389:8 clause [1] - 2394:45 clear [2] - 2380:1, 2401:22 clearer [1] - 2402:36 clearly [2] - 2384:2, 2411:31 cliff [2] - 2414:5, 2414:31 close [4] - 2368:19, 2369:7, 2376:44, 2415:45 coalface [2] - 2382:24, 2384:8 Coast [1] - 2410:13 coast [2] - 2410:13, 2417:16 Codd [5] - 2397:7, 2397:8, 2413:39, 2413:46, 2416:26 Coddy [1] - 2414:2 cognisant [1] - 2365:16 colleague [2] - 2396:32, 2416:8 colleagues [4] - 2367:20, 2370:36, 2374:21, 2416:4 collectively [1] - 2373:43 college [1] - 2375:22 comfortable [1] - 2398:32 coming [4] - 2366:25, 2396:8, 2404:43,</p>	<p>2414:5 Command [1] - 2388:35 commence [3] - 2386:21, 2392:5, 2406:5 commenced [1] - 2377:8 comment [7] - 2382:4, 2385:43, 2386:30, 2386:46, 2387:38, 2389:11, 2397:21 commented [1] - 2377:13 comments [14] - 2375:46, 2376:17, 2378:7, 2378:46, 2379:17, 2379:24, 2379:36, 2379:39, 2380:33, 2380:36, 2382:1, 2386:36, 2386:39, 2399:20 COMMISSION [2] - 2360:11, 2418:24 Commission [17] - 2363:28, 2363:42, 2366:46, 2367:4, 2367:26, 2368:44, 2370:10, 2370:18, 2370:23, 2380:23, 2383:47, 2384:34, 2385:12, 2395:25, 2402:38, 2403:4, 2415:37 commission [5] - 2377:31, 2378:1, 2381:36, 2381:41, 2383:43 COMMISSIONER [89] - 2360:19, 2361:1, 2361:9, 2361:18, 2361:27, 2361:42, 2362:3, 2362:7, 2362:14, 2362:27, 2362:35, 2376:34, 2376:39, 2376:43, 2377:2, 2380:3, 2380:9, 2380:14, 2380:27, 2381:27, 2381:44, 2382:6, 2382:17, 2382:20, 2382:32, 2382:43, 2383:10, 2383:37, 2387:46, 2388:3, 2388:7, 2388:11, 2388:31, 2388:46, 2389:46, 2390:3, 2390:15, 2390:20, 2390:23, 2390:32, 2390:35, 2390:43,</p>
C				
<p>cadets [1] - 2392:20 Cairns [1] - 2384:33 calculations [1] - 2363:16 CALD [1] - 2392:45 campaigned [2] - 2400:36, 2407:24</p>				

<p>2391:1, 2391:6, 2391:10, 2391:15, 2391:19, 2391:22, 2391:27, 2391:32, 2391:35, 2391:43, 2392:4, 2392:9, 2392:39, 2393:7, 2394:13, 2394:17, 2394:21, 2394:30, 2394:43, 2395:2, 2395:6, 2395:10, 2403:22, 2405:12, 2405:44, 2406:1, 2408:20, 2408:27, 2408:31, 2408:37, 2408:44, 2409:19, 2410:4, 2412:38, 2414:15, 2414:27, 2414:37, 2414:44, 2415:12, 2415:28, 2416:41, 2417:5, 2417:40, 2418:3, 2418:8, 2418:13, 2418:22</p> <p>commissioner [2] - 2380:19, 2414:16</p> <p>Commissioner [40] - 2361:3, 2361:11, 2361:12, 2361:13, 2363:35, 2374:16, 2374:41, 2376:36, 2377:43, 2381:32, 2382:21, 2382:40, 2383:14, 2383:35, 2388:33, 2390:13, 2393:43, 2397:8, 2398:6, 2398:13, 2404:14, 2404:41, 2405:8, 2405:16, 2405:46, 2407:36, 2408:11, 2408:14, 2408:18, 2408:24, 2408:42, 2412:18, 2412:21, 2412:41, 2414:40, 2416:19, 2417:43, 2417:44, 2417:46, 2418:10</p> <p>Commissioner's [2] - 2392:1, 2395:35</p> <p>commissioners [2] - 2393:15, 2412:22</p> <p>commit [1] - 2404:19</p> <p>commitment [4] - 2383:6, 2407:25, 2407:33, 2412:46</p> <p>committee [4] - 2371:4, 2371:9, 2371:38, 2383:11</p> <p>Committee [1] - 2371:19</p>	<p>Commonwealth [1] - 2393:17</p> <p>communities [8] - 2382:27, 2410:14, 2410:17, 2411:21, 2411:22, 2411:23, 2417:7, 2417:38</p> <p>community [12] - 2368:40, 2375:21, 2376:30, 2386:6, 2394:1, 2402:18, 2404:26, 2409:34, 2409:39, 2411:11, 2411:13, 2411:33</p> <p>competent [1] - 2377:25</p> <p>competing [1] - 2392:15</p> <p>complainant [1] - 2389:32</p> <p>complained [2] - 2375:1, 2399:40</p> <p>complaint [16] - 2361:27, 2361:28, 2361:42, 2361:44, 2361:46, 2365:23, 2365:24, 2365:30, 2365:35, 2370:35, 2386:15, 2388:18, 2396:1, 2397:42, 2398:18</p> <p>complaints [6] - 2368:27, 2370:35, 2385:15, 2395:27, 2396:9, 2399:15</p> <p>complete [6] - 2376:36, 2378:41, 2379:7, 2379:15, 2380:44, 2402:14</p> <p>completely [2] - 2378:46, 2379:19</p> <p>complex [2] - 2365:40, 2397:23</p> <p>complicated [3] - 2384:29, 2384:35, 2384:41</p> <p>comply [1] - 2389:29</p> <p>comprised [1] - 2363:17</p> <p>concept [1] - 2401:29</p> <p>concern [3] - 2374:43, 2414:40, 2415:22</p> <p>concerned [6] - 2361:23, 2362:15, 2362:24, 2373:39, 2382:35, 2383:34</p> <p>concerning [1] - 2387:20</p> <p>concerns [3] - 2361:12, 2364:32,</p>	<p>2367:28</p> <p>conditions [1] - 2416:5</p> <p>Condon [1] - 2417:43</p> <p>condone [1] - 2369:12</p> <p>conduct [9] - 2362:25, 2385:15, 2385:16, 2385:31, 2385:33, 2388:9, 2389:4, 2389:39, 2389:42</p> <p>conducted [3] - 2395:36, 2398:5, 2398:25</p> <p>conference [3] - 2401:4, 2401:25, 2402:16</p> <p>confidence [2] - 2386:4, 2386:6</p> <p>confined [1] - 2361:35</p> <p>conflict [3] - 2389:46, 2389:47, 2390:6</p> <p>confronted [1] - 2364:25</p> <p>connected [1] - 2417:25</p> <p>connotations [1] - 2370:38</p> <p>consequence [2] - 2373:2, 2399:4</p> <p>consequences [3] - 2398:31, 2398:39, 2398:41</p> <p>consider [3] - 2371:30, 2381:11, 2381:19</p> <p>considered [2] - 2381:47, 2382:6</p> <p>considering [1] - 2404:45</p> <p>consistent [1] - 2361:34</p> <p>constable [1] - 2384:36</p> <p>constitution [3] - 2394:46, 2395:2, 2395:4</p> <p>constitutions [1] - 2401:17</p> <p>constrained [1] - 2361:31</p> <p>consulted [1] - 2377:18</p> <p>contact [1] - 2416:15</p> <p>contacted [1] - 2361:22</p> <p>content [1] - 2385:1</p> <p>context [4] - 2386:38, 2389:11, 2395:42, 2398:19</p> <p>contextualise [1] -</p>	<p>2413:19</p> <p>continual [1] - 2416:33</p> <p>continually [6] - 2366:37, 2387:35, 2397:22, 2397:25, 2405:39, 2414:7</p> <p>continue [6] - 2367:42, 2381:22, 2394:26, 2405:35, 2415:29, 2416:33</p> <p>continued [1] - 2416:14</p> <p>continuing [2] - 2367:42, 2381:38</p> <p>continuous [1] - 2403:47</p> <p>contract [1] - 2412:22</p> <p>control [4] - 2400:38, 2402:26, 2408:11, 2412:32</p> <p>convenient [1] - 2362:37</p> <p>convey [1] - 2371:10</p> <p>conveyed [1] - 2370:22</p> <p>conveying [1] - 2370:30</p> <p>convictions [1] - 2388:38</p> <p>convoluted [1] - 2384:40</p> <p>cop [2] - 2394:7, 2416:12</p> <p>copy [2] - 2361:36, 2361:37</p> <p>cornerstone [1] - 2388:41</p> <p>coroner [1] - 2409:12</p> <p>coronial [1] - 2382:12</p> <p>correct [18] - 2362:46, 2363:11, 2363:14, 2366:47, 2367:1, 2367:10, 2367:23, 2371:4, 2373:19, 2375:37, 2377:14, 2383:43, 2391:13, 2391:23, 2392:1, 2394:32, 2397:35, 2397:45</p> <p>corrected [1] - 2385:46</p> <p>correctly [3] - 2365:31, 2383:7, 2386:31</p> <p>corrupt [2] - 2376:46, 2388:42</p> <p>corruption [1] - 2386:26</p> <p>cost [7] - 2372:14,</p>	<p>2378:39, 2406:12, 2406:27, 2406:30, 2410:18, 2412:7</p> <p>Council [1] - 2374:12</p> <p>councils [1] - 2410:47</p> <p>COUNSEL [1] - 2360:26</p> <p>counselling [1] - 2410:19</p> <p>country [1] - 2364:38</p> <p>couple [10] - 2363:29, 2365:20, 2368:29, 2368:30, 2382:47, 2385:13, 2385:18, 2389:1, 2407:15, 2409:16</p> <p>course [17] - 2361:21, 2363:28, 2363:36, 2367:27, 2368:29, 2372:39, 2373:41, 2373:42, 2373:44, 2385:9, 2387:12, 2392:23, 2406:19, 2406:25, 2409:4, 2409:24, 2412:7</p> <p>court [3] - 2387:17, 2389:34, 2415:2</p> <p>Court [4] - 2360:34, 2377:24, 2415:2</p> <p>courts [1] - 2388:37</p> <p>CP [1] - 2362:14</p> <p>created [2] - 2400:43, 2401:36</p> <p>creation [1] - 2401:18</p> <p>credit [1] - 2372:18</p> <p>crime [2] - 2362:29, 2411:31</p> <p>criminal [3] - 2388:21, 2388:42, 2398:40</p> <p>crisis [4] - 2378:18, 2392:11, 2393:40, 2393:45</p> <p>critical [2] - 2374:41, 2394:6</p> <p>criticism [1] - 2393:30</p> <p>cross [1] - 2381:32</p> <p>cross-examined [1] - 2381:32</p> <p>CTC [1] - 2388:35</p> <p>cultural [4] - 2363:44, 2377:31, 2395:21, 2400:8</p> <p>culture [3] - 2371:36, 2371:39, 2387:33</p> <p>cultures [1] - 2375:14</p> <p>cumbersome [5] - 2384:29, 2384:41, 2386:2, 2403:41, 2403:44</p> <p>Cunnamulla [1] -</p>
--	--	---	--	--

2406:9 curious [1] - 2394:22 current [2] - 2383:46, 2400:22 custody [1] - 2384:35 cut [3] - 2406:18, 2410:2, 2410:28 cycle [1] - 2411:9 cynical [1] - 2415:40	deeply [2] - 2369:16, 2383:33 defence [1] - 2366:31 defend [1] - 2412:21 delays [1] - 2383:15 delegates [1] - 2403:39 democratic [4] - 2371:36, 2400:23, 2400:27, 2402:5 democratically [8] - 2371:14, 2371:26, 2371:44, 2372:29, 2374:27, 2400:41, 2402:7, 2402:11 demotion [1] - 2417:36 denigrate [1] - 2382:45 density [1] - 2372:12 Department [1] - 2383:26 department [4] - 2383:26, 2411:29, 2414:41, 2414:42 deserves [2] - 2368:14, 2390:7 designate [1] - 2402:3 designated [1] - 2402:31 desperate [1] - 2405:20 destruction [2] - 2415:16, 2416:47 detail [1] - 2385:27 Detective [1] - 2361:23 deteriorate [1] - 2413:42 detrimental [1] - 2371:24 devoted [1] - 2411:17 dictated [1] - 2408:12 diet [1] - 2416:44 difference [4] - 2374:35, 2381:28, 2381:33, 2382:26 different [2] [1] - 2367:32, 2368:46, 2371:31, 2373:24, 2374:9, 2375:29, 2382:18, 2387:14, 2390:1, 2392:30, 2394:8, 2394:9, 2395:27, 2401:41, 2402:14, 2406:44, 2410:26, 2415:39 differently [1] - 2401:26 difficult [6] - 2365:22,	2372:43, 2396:25, 2400:30, 2407:7, 2412:21 difficulty [1] - 2372:33 dignity [1] - 2368:14 diminishing [1] - 2398:17 dinkum [1] - 2404:22 directed [1] - 2380:24 direction [4] - 2366:41, 2384:37, 2401:5, 2401:6 directions [1] - 2366:42 directly [1] - 2401:1 disadvantage [1] - 2414:39 disadvantaged [1] - 2367:21 disappointed [1] - 2383:4 disappointment [1] - 2382:15 disciplinary [7] - 2383:2, 2384:44, 2388:20, 2410:32, 2410:36, 2412:2, 2412:10 discipline [5] - 2385:25, 2385:34, 2386:1, 2388:41, 2389:7 disconnect [1] - 2374:14 discussed [4] - 2364:3, 2370:20, 2370:32, 2385:18 discussing [1] - 2384:36 disempowered [1] - 2411:2 disgrace [1] - 2408:10 dishonest [2] - 2376:4, 2376:37 dismissed [3] - 2379:17, 2379:19, 2412:45 dismissive [4] - 2376:1, 2378:11, 2379:40, 2380:33 disputed [1] - 2404:31 disrespect [1] - 2376:16 disrespectful [1] - 2387:16 disruption [1] - 2368:33 distance [2] - 2372:27, 2410:24 districts [1] - 2412:3	dive [3] - 2364:20, 2386:41, 2398:19 diverse [3] - 2368:10, 2374:39, 2406:19 diversity [3] - 2371:20, 2404:44, 2405:6 divorced [1] - 2413:44 doctor [1] - 2415:34 document [1] - 2362:17 dollars [1] - 2412:14 DOMESTIC [1] - 2360:12 domestic [3] [2] - 2363:45, 2365:21, 2365:30, 2365:36, 2365:38, 2365:43, 2366:2, 2366:26, 2366:32, 2377:20, 2378:10, 2378:19, 2378:21, 2379:5, 2379:29, 2384:6, 2402:44, 2403:2, 2403:7, 2403:13, 2403:27, 2403:32, 2404:4, 2407:16, 2409:31, 2409:32, 2409:42, 2410:6, 2410:8, 2410:32, 2413:15, 2414:7 dominant [1] - 2417:23 done [1] [5] - 2364:21, 2368:8, 2369:16, 2371:25, 2373:34, 2375:11, 2375:18, 2388:23, 2398:8, 2407:31, 2412:12, 2414:12, 2415:19, 2417:8, 2417:12 Doomadgee [2] - 2411:12, 2417:14 doubt [3] - 2382:34, 2391:35, 2392:4 down [6] - 2362:14, 2375:40, 2377:30, 2380:47, 2404:26, 2408:5 Dr [1] - 2415:32 drains [1] - 2414:8 draw [2] - 2374:22, 2397:24 drawn [1] - 2386:47 dress [1] - 2386:10 dressed [1] - 2387:29 dressings [1] - 2404:12 drew [1] - 2374:17 drive [1] - 2416:25 drums [1] - 2363:25	dumb [1] - 2386:46 during [2] - 2375:10, 2383:10 duties [2] - 2372:39, 2387:12 DV [4] - 2383:20, 2383:21, 2384:35, 2409:14 dynamic [1] - 2396:29 dysfunctional [1] - 2413:44 dysfunctionality [1] - 2405:40
D				E
dad [1] - 2413:20 damage [1] - 2387:25 damaged [7] - 2365:25, 2387:4, 2387:5, 2387:11, 2387:20, 2397:2, 2415:41 damaging [1] - 2376:47 danger [1] - 2381:2 daughter [1] - 2368:13 day-to-day [1] - 2374:1 days [1] [3] - 2363:30, 2363:36, 2364:1, 2364:10, 2367:28, 2368:29, 2368:30, 2374:17, 2385:13, 2385:19, 2406:8, 2406:36, 2406:41 deal [5] - 2366:28, 2371:47, 2387:32, 2403:42, 2417:15 dealing [2] - 2369:11, 2397:34 dealt [2] - 2386:1, 2389:6 death [2] - 2376:25, 2409:13 debacle [3] - 2402:15, 2408:8, 2408:15 debate [1] - 2362:22 DEBORAH [1] - 2360:19 decade [3] - 2378:20, 2378:42, 2386:3 deceitful [1] - 2376:7 decision [3] - 2386:18, 2400:25, 2402:36 decision-making [1] - 2402:36 decisions [2] - 2401:2, 2401:4 dedicated [1] - 2409:42 deeper [3] - 2364:21, 2386:41, 2398:20				earliest [1] - 2413:13 early [5] - 2370:14, 2393:11, 2411:35, 2414:3, 2416:1 ears [1] - 2390:45 easier [1] - 2384:18 easy [3] - 2372:8, 2396:27, 2396:34 educate [1] - 2392:26 educated [2] - 2367:33, 2368:7 education [8] - 2384:5, 2385:35, 2385:37, 2393:10, 2411:36, 2415:29, 2416:42 effect [1] [1] - 2369:10, 2370:2, 2381:8, 2406:5, 2406:7, 2409:15, 2412:38, 2413:25, 2414:8, 2414:22, 2416:47 effective [1] - 2384:15 effectively [6] - 2384:18, 2392:14, 2410:31, 2412:20, 2413:29, 2413:33 effects [4] - 2369:11, 2369:20, 2370:24 efficient [1] - 2384:15 efficiently [1] - 2384:18 efforts [1] - 2375:34 eight [3] - 2384:33, 2388:8, 2395:41 either [8] - 2361:24, 2362:20, 2363:45, 2368:28, 2385:32, 2386:19, 2389:4, 2414:34 elders [2] - 2410:17, 2411:12 elected [2] [4] - 2371:13, 2371:16, 2371:26,

<p>2371:37, 2371:45, 2372:29, 2373:11, 2373:14, 2373:15, 2374:27, 2390:32, 2394:24, 2400:2, 2400:21, 2400:28, 2400:30, 2400:37, 2400:41, 2402:2, 2402:7, 2402:11, 2402:22, 2402:26, 2402:34</p> <p>election [4] - 2407:24, 2409:4, 2409:5, 2412:46</p> <p>elections [1] - 2400:1</p> <p>electoral [1] - 2409:8</p> <p>electorates [1] - 2401:12</p> <p>elements [1] - 2367:7</p> <p>email [2] - 2362:18, 2364:39</p> <p>embarked [1] - 2368:32</p> <p>embarrassing [1] - 2366:16</p> <p>embed [1] - 2410:14</p> <p>embedded [2] - 2410:17, 2410:20</p> <p>emotional [1] - 2415:32</p> <p>employee [1] - 2407:44</p> <p>Employees [1] - 2362:45</p> <p>employer [1] - 2416:37</p> <p>employment [1] - 2393:18</p> <p>empowered [1] - 2413:30</p> <p>enacted [3] - 2379:10, 2381:8, 2382:14</p> <p>encourage [12] - 2374:19, 2395:20, 2395:28, 2402:2, 2405:5, 2405:6, 2405:26, 2406:19, 2413:11, 2413:37, 2415:44, 2417:6</p> <p>encouraged [1] - 2371:16</p> <p>encouraging [1] - 2371:21</p> <p>end [3] - 2395:16, 2397:36, 2413:24</p> <p>ending [1] - 2412:23</p> <p>enforcement [2] - 2411:8, 2415:33</p> <p>engage [1] - 2397:4</p> <p>engaged [3] - 2378:8,</p>	<p>2402:18, 2410:31</p> <p>engagement [1] - 2364:43</p> <p>English [1] - 2402:13</p> <p>enhance [1] - 2371:32</p> <p>enlightened [1] - 2367:17</p> <p>ensuring [1] - 2367:41</p> <p>enterprise [1] - 2417:8</p> <p>entire [6] - 2370:40, 2385:44, 2396:42, 2398:28, 2398:44, 2401:9</p> <p>entirely [1] - 2384:6</p> <p>entirety [4] - 2363:37, 2385:43, 2389:10, 2397:31</p> <p>entitled [4] - 2370:36, 2400:3, 2400:23, 2400:34</p> <p>environment [4] - 2370:28, 2394:2, 2396:28, 2396:34</p> <p>environments [2] - 2375:24, 2387:8</p> <p>envisage [3] - 2410:36, 2411:23, 2412:1</p> <p>equates [1] - 2410:10</p> <p>era [1] - 2392:22</p> <p>especially [1] - 2372:12</p> <p>established [1] - 2388:32</p> <p>et [1] - 2398:32</p> <p>Ethical [5] - 2361:34, 2361:39, 2362:12, 2362:18, 2388:34</p> <p>ethical [1] - 2368:34</p> <p>eventually [1] - 2405:35</p> <p>Ever [1] - 2378:34</p> <p>evidence [26] - 2361:4, 2361:7, 2361:36, 2363:35, 2364:2, 2364:9, 2367:25, 2368:29, 2370:15, 2379:20, 2380:7, 2382:12, 2382:33, 2385:13, 2387:18, 2388:19, 2395:24, 2395:34, 2397:20, 2399:11, 2400:45, 2402:39, 2402:41, 2404:14, 2407:19</p> <p>evolve [1] - 2372:29</p> <p>exact [1] - 2364:28</p> <p>exactly [1] - 2397:39</p> <p>examination [1] -</p>	<p>2412:36</p> <p>EXAMINATION [3] - 2362:42, 2395:13, 2408:46</p> <p>examined [2] - 2381:32</p> <p>example [9] - 2371:28, 2372:14, 2381:31, 2387:13, 2400:13, 2403:26, 2407:23, 2415:6, 2417:13</p> <p>examples [4] - 2364:2, 2385:18, 2405:2, 2407:16</p> <p>excellence [1] - 2374:19</p> <p>excluded [2] - 2369:28, 2369:32</p> <p>excusable [2] - 2397:13, 2397:15</p> <p>excuse [2] - 2397:5, 2408:17</p> <p>excused [2] - 2418:6, 2418:9</p> <p>excusing [2] - 2367:38, 2414:34</p> <p>executive [4] - 2371:4, 2371:9, 2371:15, 2371:38</p> <p>exercise [1] - 2416:44</p> <p>exercises [1] - 2375:44</p> <p>exist [1] - 2415:3</p> <p>existing [1] - 2406:42</p> <p>exists [1] - 2367:34</p> <p>expected [5] - 2368:18, 2368:35, 2376:32, 2409:34, 2409:39</p> <p>expecting [1] - 2361:20</p> <p>expensive [1] - 2411:42</p> <p>experience [3] - 2396:19, 2396:22, 2406:33</p> <p>experienced [2] - 2384:38, 2408:3</p> <p>experiences [4] - 2364:37, 2364:44, 2364:45, 2396:20</p> <p>experiencing [4] - 2395:26, 2395:37, 2396:8, 2397:17</p> <p>expert [6] - 2409:11, 2409:14, 2409:20, 2409:23, 2415:33, 2416:12</p> <p>expertise [1] - 2410:30</p>	<p>explain [2] - 2378:13, 2415:35</p> <p>explained [1] - 2367:3</p> <p>explaining [2] - 2367:12, 2397:3</p> <p>explore [2] - 2368:23, 2401:47</p> <p>exploring [2] - 2372:41, 2400:46</p> <p>expose [1] - 2373:45</p> <p>expressed [1] - 2367:30</p> <p>extended [1] - 2402:29</p> <p>extensive [1] - 2378:9</p> <p>extensively [1] - 2377:18</p> <p>extent [2] - 2361:33, 2364:24</p> <p>externally [2] - 2407:43, 2416:28</p> <p>extra [2] - 2407:26, 2412:22</p> <p>extreme [2] - 2366:40, 2416:39</p> <p>eyes [2] - 2379:21, 2390:45</p>	<p>families [3] - 2405:36, 2405:40, 2417:1</p> <p>Family [1] - 2415:2</p> <p>FAMILY [1] - 2360:12</p> <p>family [22] - 2363:45, 2365:31, 2365:36, 2365:37, 2365:43, 2366:3, 2366:26, 2369:10, 2377:20, 2378:10, 2379:5, 2402:44, 2403:3, 2403:27, 2404:5, 2409:32, 2409:32, 2409:42, 2410:6, 2410:8, 2410:33, 2413:44</p> <p>fantastic [1] - 2402:45</p> <p>far [4] - 2367:33, 2368:8, 2373:39, 2383:42</p> <p>fatals [1] - 2414:1</p> <p>fatigued [1] - 2384:42</p> <p>fault [2] - 2388:12, 2404:27</p> <p>fear [6] - 2366:15, 2384:14, 2396:8, 2398:32, 2414:38, 2415:20</p> <p>federal [5] - 2393:14, 2393:16, 2400:14, 2409:3, 2409:8</p> <p>federally [1] - 2400:21</p> <p>feed [1] - 2403:2</p> <p>feedback [1] - 2373:25</p> <p>follow [2] - 2394:36, 2402:17</p> <p>felt [4] - 2361:31, 2370:5, 2375:3, 2380:17</p> <p>female [12] - 2367:19, 2371:42, 2373:41, 2374:31, 2374:36, 2375:47, 2376:27, 2400:36, 2400:39, 2401:36, 2401:43, 2402:4</p> <p>female-only [1] - 2373:41</p> <p>females [6] - 2367:19, 2370:11, 2371:16, 2375:12, 2401:43, 2409:5</p> <p>few [4] - 2368:32, 2371:37, 2373:3, 2393:34</p> <p>fields [1] - 2404:1</p> <p>fifty [10] - 2375:37, 2375:42, 2391:20, 2391:24, 2391:28</p> <p>fifty-fifty [5] - 2375:37,</p>
F				
			<p>face [1] - 2394:34</p> <p>facets [1] - 2365:6</p> <p>fact [10] - 2361:29, 2365:29, 2370:20, 2373:1, 2373:2, 2388:33, 2398:25, 2398:44, 2403:17, 2409:13</p> <p>factors [2] - 2389:13, 2397:12</p> <p>facts [1] - 2385:44</p> <p>fail [9] - 2379:34, 2381:21, 2406:34, 2412:28, 2412:29, 2412:31, 2412:32, 2413:42</p> <p>failed [1] - 2378:24</p> <p>failing [6] - 2361:47, 2362:1, 2379:30, 2379:35, 2384:20, 2413:3</p> <p>fair [3] - 2390:8, 2392:33, 2404:22</p> <p>fairly [1] - 2374:46</p> <p>fairness [2] - 2407:40, 2412:18</p> <p>faith [1] - 2384:25</p> <p>fall [1] - 2414:4</p> <p>falling [1] - 2414:31</p> <p>falls [1] - 2405:40</p>	

2375:42, 2391:20, 2391:24, 2391:28 fight [1] - 2417:35 fighting [2] - 2382:23, 2393:28 figure [1] - 2397:36 figures [4] - 2364:28, 2396:7, 2399:16, 2409:40 fill [1] - 2417:38 final [1] - 2417:5 finance [1] - 2407:41 financial [1] - 2417:28 findings [1] - 2361:29 fine [2] - 2404:20, 2412:6 firm [3] - 2368:31, 2399:9, 2403:8 First [8] - 2374:40, 2375:12, 2375:21, 2375:23, 2392:46, 2402:7, 2411:21, 2411:33 first [7] - 2366:33, 2368:20, 2370:21, 2377:12, 2377:29, 2409:16, 2413:45 firstly [1] - 2364:11 fit [2] - 2387:10, 2387:11 fitness [1] - 2387:22 fits [1] - 2389:12 Fitzgerald [1] - 2396:43 five [6] - 2366:20, 2379:27, 2387:4, 2395:37, 2399:37, 2417:14 fix [8] - 2379:4, 2379:7, 2379:14, 2379:32, 2381:37, 2381:38, 2382:44, 2382:46 fixed [1] - 2382:47 fixing [1] - 2378:40 flexibility [1] - 2404:46 flexible [2] - 2405:31, 2406:20 flippant [1] - 2387:38 flogged [1] - 2383:19 flow [2] - 2406:5, 2406:7 flow-on [2] - 2406:5, 2406:7 fly [4] - 2410:17, 2410:18, 2417:6 fly-in [1] - 2417:6 fly-out [1] - 2417:6 focus [1] - 2399:24 focused [1] - 2378:23	foetal [2] - 2411:34, 2411:39 followed [2] - 2386:31, 2417:21 force [6] - 2374:26, 2386:10, 2386:11, 2386:25, 2393:11, 2407:13 foremost [1] - 2403:43 forever [1] - 2367:43 forgotten [1] - 2374:23 form [1] - 2366:4 former [4] - 2374:41, 2413:7, 2417:42, 2417:44 forms [1] - 2369:27 forte [1] - 2361:25 Forte [1] - 2361:13 Forte's [1] - 2361:37 forward [24] - 2366:12, 2366:14, 2370:25, 2370:26, 2370:27, 2370:34, 2371:47, 2372:35, 2392:37, 2396:8, 2397:41, 2397:47, 2398:21, 2398:30, 2398:31, 2398:34, 2398:37, 2399:10, 2400:5, 2401:6, 2401:21, 2401:46, 2402:25, 2415:5 foundations [1] - 2385:35 free [1] - 2415:9 freely [1] - 2371:10 frequent [3] - 2399:27, 2399:30, 2399:33 frequently [1] - 2366:8 Friday [1] - 2360:40 friend [1] - 2409:3 front [4] - 2394:35, 2411:26, 2411:27, 2412:24 frontline [9] - 2377:46, 2407:8, 2407:29, 2409:17, 2409:27, 2409:46, 2410:4, 2412:28 frustrated [2] - 2383:5, 2383:24 frustration [17] - 2376:18, 2376:37, 2378:41, 2379:7, 2379:11, 2379:15, 2379:36, 2380:45, 2381:3, 2381:9, 2382:4, 2382:22, 2382:41, 2383:15,	2383:17, 2383:29, 2383:30 fucking [1] - 2387:31 full [5] - 2378:47, 2391:17, 2392:14, 2394:23, 2406:19 full-time [2] - 2391:17, 2394:23 fully [5] - 2375:6, 2404:11, 2404:20, 2405:2, 2405:33 function [2] - 2390:41, 2415:26 functions [1] - 2394:37 fund [4] - 2404:9, 2404:11, 2404:20 funded [6] - 2379:13, 2383:7, 2405:2, 2405:4, 2405:33, 2405:41 funding [10] - 2365:38, 2366:1, 2366:9, 2366:28, 2366:33, 2379:2, 2404:23, 2404:28, 2404:31, 2406:10 future [2] - 2364:22, 2372:25, 2382:3, 2414:10, 2417:2	2363:35, 2368:36, 2386:19, 2388:21, 2389:26, 2389:29, 2402:39, 2405:13 glass [1] - 2378:47 goal [1] - 2373:28 God [1] - 2387:32 Gold [1] - 2410:12 goodna [1] - 2413:19 govern [1] - 2401:12 governing [3] - 2401:3, 2401:17, 2401:37 government [20] - 2380:45, 2381:36, 2381:39, 2400:14, 2400:15, 2400:22, 2404:8, 2404:10, 2404:20, 2404:21, 2407:25, 2408:12, 2409:9, 2411:43, 2412:47, 2413:7, 2417:24, 2417:26 governments [1] - 2407:32 grab [1] - 2392:26 grade [1] - 2392:22 grateful [2] - 2370:19, 2408:42 gratitude [1] - 2370:31 great [11] - 2368:44, 2371:47, 2375:28, 2376:16, 2379:3, 2382:14, 2398:13, 2403:10, 2403:15, 2412:30, 2414:29 greater [1] - 2403:31 gripe [1] - 2398:16 ground [2] - 2384:32, 2390:45 group [1] - 2406:20 groups [5] - 2367:21, 2369:29, 2369:39, 2375:14, 2393:41 growing [1] - 2394:8 guaranteed [1] - 2406:40 guess [1] - 2412:6 guide [3] - 2416:3, 2416:4, 2416:6 guided [1] - 2401:3 gut [1] - 2384:27	handcuffs [1] - 2386:12 handed [2] - 2375:40, 2377:29 hands [1] - 2415:1 Hannah [2] - 2403:30, 2409:13 happy [3] - 2378:13, 2385:26, 2415:36 harassment [11] - 2385:16, 2389:4, 2395:26, 2395:37, 2395:38, 2397:13, 2398:7, 2399:11, 2399:15, 2399:24, 2399:38 hard [10] - 2366:10, 2366:11, 2366:13, 2375:3, 2386:29, 2394:10, 2414:24, 2414:27, 2417:37, 2417:38 hatred [1] - 2374:17 heading [1] - 2377:26 health [11] - 2391:37, 2397:9, 2397:12, 2410:40, 2411:29, 2411:37, 2411:45, 2413:41, 2414:4, 2415:15, 2415:25 healthy [1] - 2414:32 hear [4] - 2393:38, 2395:17, 2405:14 heard [7] - 2363:30, 2364:47, 2369:6, 2385:12, 2385:41, 2388:28, 2403:14 hearing [4] - 2364:45, 2380:47, 2388:20, 2391:40 hearings [2] - 2367:27, 2418:19 heavily [3] - 2384:8, 2385:24, 2402:17 heightened [1] - 2367:28 help [9] - 2387:32, 2398:35, 2400:8, 2400:37, 2404:36, 2407:43, 2416:3, 2416:6, 2416:34 helpful [3] - 2382:37, 2382:39, 2399:46 helpless [1] - 2413:17 HER [1] - 2360:19 herself [1] - 2377:25 hide [2] - 2394:34, 2394:38 hierarchical [1] - 2391:8
G				
gap [1] - 2413:3 gaps [1] - 2407:40 gender [6] - 2369:32, 2369:42, 2376:9, 2400:7, 2403:28, 2404:5 gender-informed [1] - 2404:5 general [1] - 2390:16 General [1] - 2393:16 generally [4] - 2363:46, 2368:28, 2392:32, 2397:1 generation [2] - 2394:1, 2394:10 genuine [3] - 2379:28, 2382:9, 2406:24 genuinely [2] - 2379:12, 2411:8 geographically [4] - 2371:15, 2400:33, 2401:13, 2402:23 George [1] - 2360:35 gig [1] - 2372:18 Gilmartin [1] - 2415:32 given [10] - 2361:7,				
H				
half [3] - 2378:47, 2404:16, 2412:14 hamstring [1] - 2415:18 hand [1] - 2373:12				

<p>hierarchy [1] - 2372:9</p> <p>high [3] - 2392:21, 2395:34, 2397:30</p> <p>higher [1] - 2393:10</p> <p>highly [1] - 2377:25</p> <p>Hillard [6] - 2394:43, 2394:45, 2395:11, 2405:12, 2406:2, 2409:3</p> <p>HILLARD [5] - 2395:13, 2395:15, 2403:25, 2405:19, 2406:4</p> <p>Hinshelwood [3] - 2361:18, 2361:20, 2361:23</p> <p>Hischelwood [1] - 2362:9</p> <p>history [1] - 2374:13</p> <p>hit [1] - 2408:8</p> <p>hold [2] - 2363:21, 2394:24</p> <p>holding [1] - 2400:21</p> <p>holistic [1] - 2379:20</p> <p>home [1] - 2415:44</p> <p>honest [3] - 2371:41, 2376:6, 2376:11</p> <p>HONOUR [1] - 2360:19</p> <p>Honour [6] - 2362:32, 2383:1, 2383:33, 2394:46, 2403:20, 2412:36</p> <p>Honourable [6] - 2377:24, 2377:30, 2378:8, 2379:39, 2380:24, 2380:34</p> <p>hope [7] - 2379:11, 2379:12, 2379:27, 2379:31, 2382:3, 2382:46, 2390:17</p> <p>hoped [1] - 2378:16</p> <p>hopeful [6] - 2378:47, 2379:25, 2379:26, 2382:11, 2383:14</p> <p>hopefully [1] - 2383:34</p> <p>hotspots [1] - 2383:17</p> <p>hour [1] - 2404:16</p> <p>hours [1] - 2393:26</p> <p>house [1] - 2406:10</p> <p>House [2] - 2400:2, 2400:13</p> <p>HR [1] - 2408:13</p> <p>huge [2] - 2410:24, 2413:3</p> <p>human [4] - 2387:27, 2410:29, 2412:7, 2415:26</p> <p>hundreds [3] -</p>	<p>2367:37, 2382:25, 2395:26</p> <p>hung [1] - 2386:47</p> <p>Hunter [3] - 2361:6, 2392:1, 2408:20</p> <p>HUNTER [9] - 2361:11, 2361:20, 2361:31, 2361:46, 2362:5, 2362:11, 2362:17, 2362:32, 2408:22</p> <p>hurting [1] - 2383:22</p> <p>hypervigilant [1] - 2415:41</p>	<p>2376:43</p> <p>improvement [2] - 2364:17, 2368:37</p> <p>inappropriate [2] - 2386:37, 2415:46</p> <p>inappropriately [1] - 2388:24</p> <p>incentivise [1] - 2417:16</p> <p>incident [2] - 2367:37, 2382:25</p> <p>incidents [2] - 2387:25, 2409:14</p> <p>includes [2] - 2363:4, 2411:35</p> <p>including [6] - 2363:24, 2367:19, 2371:8, 2371:20, 2385:16, 2393:17</p> <p>income [1] - 2406:40</p> <p>incorrectly [1] - 2388:12</p> <p>increase [7] - 2371:43, 2374:31, 2374:36, 2374:38, 2375:41, 2375:47, 2412:13</p> <p>increased [1] - 2400:20</p> <p>incredibly [1] - 2372:15</p> <p>INDEPENDENT [1] - 2360:11</p> <p>independent [1] - 2386:26</p> <p>indicate [1] - 2399:14</p> <p>indicated [1] - 2395:32</p> <p>indication [1] - 2365:4</p> <p>indirectly [1] - 2361:22</p> <p>individual [4] - 2377:26, 2386:29, 2408:4, 2408:5</p> <p>indulgence [1] - 2408:41</p> <p>industrial [2] - 2363:5, 2405:10</p> <p>industries [2] - 2396:30, 2396:32</p> <p>industry [1] - 2364:15</p> <p>inefficiencies [1] - 2408:9</p> <p>influenced [1] - 2403:28</p> <p>inform [1] - 2377:19</p> <p>information [3] - 2363:42, 2381:31, 2398:34</p> <p>informed [3] - 2404:4, 2404:5</p>	<p>initial [1] - 2417:10</p> <p>initiatives [2] - 2373:35, 2373:38</p> <p>injected [1] - 2410:10</p> <p>injection [2] - 2409:40, 2410:9</p> <p>injury [6] - 2412:39, 2412:43, 2413:1, 2413:12, 2413:28, 2413:38</p> <p>injustice [1] - 2376:10</p> <p>innocence [1] - 2390:9</p> <p>inquest [3] - 2361:28, 2403:36, 2409:12</p> <p>inquiries [3] - 2377:8, 2378:9, 2378:34</p> <p>Inquiry [19] - 2363:29, 2363:30, 2363:43, 2366:47, 2367:26, 2368:44, 2369:47, 2370:10, 2370:18, 2370:23, 2380:23, 2382:11, 2382:32, 2382:34, 2383:10, 2383:47, 2385:12, 2395:25, 2402:38</p> <p>inquiry [27] - 2375:10, 2377:31, 2378:2, 2378:16, 2378:21, 2378:29, 2378:33, 2378:38, 2379:43, 2380:43, 2380:44, 2380:47, 2381:1, 2381:8, 2381:22, 2381:23, 2381:28, 2381:29, 2381:37, 2381:41, 2383:43, 2395:34, 2396:43, 2402:17, 2403:30, 2404:3</p> <p>INQUIRY [1] - 2360:11</p> <p>instance [2] - 2366:34, 2413:34</p> <p>instances [3] - 2366:39, 2368:5, 2385:13</p> <p>instead [1] - 2407:32</p> <p>instructed [2] - 2362:19, 2362:23</p> <p>instructions [2] - 2408:25, 2408:32</p> <p>insufficient [1] - 2388:19</p> <p>intended [1] - 2388:7</p> <p>intent [4] - 2369:46, 2370:1, 2386:33, 2388:36</p> <p>intention [4] - 2376:46, 2382:44,</p>	<p>2388:29, 2409:7</p> <p>interact [3] - 2364:30, 2403:40, 2411:22</p> <p>interactions [2] - 2409:17, 2409:26</p> <p>interest [1] - 2373:26</p> <p>interested [2] - 2372:41, 2372:45</p> <p>interesting [7] - 2369:34, 2369:35, 2386:11, 2398:39, 2400:12, 2401:40, 2403:30</p> <p>interrupted [1] - 2383:38</p> <p>interview [1] - 2366:34</p> <p>intimate [1] - 2397:15</p> <p>INTO [1] - 2360:11</p> <p>introduced [1] - 2388:3</p> <p>inundated [2] - 2370:18, 2396:39</p> <p>investigated [4] - 2361:38, 2388:18, 2389:39, 2389:41</p> <p>investigating [2] - 2361:14, 2361:16</p> <p>Investigation [1] - 2411:28</p> <p>investigation [7] - 2362:3, 2362:7, 2362:11, 2362:12, 2386:22, 2389:9, 2389:25</p> <p>involved [15] - 2371:23, 2371:40, 2372:25, 2373:29, 2373:31, 2373:36, 2373:44, 2374:7, 2374:21, 2384:8, 2385:24, 2389:16, 2402:18, 2403:13, 2413:10</p> <p>involvement [2] - 2374:3, 2405:32</p> <p>Ipswich [3] - 2372:4, 2390:29, 2410:13</p> <p>Isa [2] - 2406:11, 2417:15</p> <p>Island [1] - 2390:29</p> <p>issue [20] - 2364:11, 2364:35, 2365:32, 2367:46, 2372:42, 2373:18, 2374:36, 2376:7, 2376:18, 2376:24, 2377:6, 2380:46, 2385:6, 2387:3, 2387:6, 2393:43, 2394:13, 2398:45, 2405:34,</p>
I				
<p>IAN [1] - 2362:40</p> <p>Ian [2] - 2361:4, 2362:38</p> <p>idea [4] - 2391:44, 2401:29, 2406:32, 2412:6</p> <p>ideas [1] - 2375:13</p> <p>identified [1] - 2404:36</p> <p>ill [2] - 2381:47, 2382:6</p> <p>ill-considered [2] - 2381:47, 2382:6</p> <p>immediate [1] - 2384:14</p> <p>immediately [1] - 2413:10</p> <p>impact [9] - 2371:46, 2387:36, 2396:21, 2396:22, 2397:23, 2397:27, 2410:24, 2412:33, 2414:7</p> <p>impacting [1] - 2409:14</p> <p>impacts [2] - 2371:25, 2406:42</p> <p>imperative [2] - 2407:35, 2411:35</p> <p>important [22] - 2369:21, 2369:45, 2370:26, 2370:28, 2370:34, 2371:21, 2371:44, 2378:9, 2393:2, 2402:20, 2402:22, 2402:43, 2406:34, 2407:27, 2411:10, 2414:13, 2414:15, 2414:32, 2414:35, 2415:16, 2415:25, 2416:45</p> <p>impossible [1] - 2413:32</p> <p>impression [1] -</p>				

<p>2406:27 issues [19] - 2363:6, 2363:44, 2364:25, 2364:46, 2365:1, 2365:3, 2365:13, 2370:31, 2371:11, 2374:33, 2377:31, 2378:10, 2378:24, 2379:16, 2383:43, 2384:2, 2398:20, 2406:39, 2415:35 itself [2] - 2370:18, 2377:19</p>	<p>K</p>	<p>2402:28 leadership [14] - 2370:43, 2371:8, 2371:10, 2371:38, 2372:33, 2372:42, 2373:2, 2373:40, 2390:24, 2390:35, 2391:11, 2391:27, 2391:28, 2399:46 learn [1] - 2390:18 learned [1] - 2409:2 learning [1] - 2404:15 least [6] - 2378:11, 2383:41, 2391:20, 2395:35, 2409:41, 2412:8 leave [4] - 2391:38, 2405:41, 2413:6, 2415:8 Leavers [16] - 2361:4, 2362:38, 2362:44, 2376:43, 2380:32, 2381:27, 2383:40, 2389:2, 2395:15, 2405:19, 2409:2, 2412:1, 2418:8, 2418:13, 2418:17 leavers [11] - 2372:41, 2379:38, 2379:47, 2382:38, 2385:5, 2387:46, 2390:11, 2390:15, 2409:20, 2412:35, 2418:6 LEAVERS [1] - 2362:40 leavers' [1] - 2405:12 led [1] - 2387:2 legal [9] - 2363:5, 2365:22, 2365:38, 2365:39, 2366:1, 2366:4, 2366:31, 2366:35, 2389:30 legislation [13] - 2378:38, 2379:2, 2384:7, 2384:9, 2384:21, 2384:29, 2384:39, 2386:23, 2403:41, 2403:43, 2412:25, 2413:36, 2416:36 legislatively [1] - 2403:12 length [2] - 2379:3, 2415:23 lens [2] - 2379:18, 2387:19 lenses [2] - 2387:14, 2415:39 less [3] - 2369:27, 2392:31, 2413:9</p>	<p>level [8] - 2370:31, 2374:6, 2388:43, 2393:17, 2407:41, 2407:42, 2414:19 levels [2] - 2368:46, 2411:43 LGBTIQ [1] - 2402:18 LGBTQIA [1] - 2402:11 liaison [1] - 2363:25 lied [1] - 2376:19 life [9] - 2373:28, 2376:25, 2394:39, 2396:28, 2396:33, 2406:44, 2411:41, 2413:23, 2416:16 life-and-death [1] - 2376:25 light [2] - 2367:25, 2372:10 likely [1] - 2392:31 line [2] - 2374:17, 2374:22 list [2] - 2398:33, 2411:45 listen [1] - 2364:31 live [1] - 2368:30 lived [1] - 2369:9 lives [1] - 2383:16 Lloyd [1] - 2411:6 LMR [9] - 2385:38, 2386:19, 2388:3, 2388:16, 2388:21, 2389:2, 2389:3, 2389:18, 2389:28 load [2] - 2407:43, 2413:31 local [11] - 2372:4, 2385:6, 2385:14, 2385:25, 2385:30, 2385:40, 2385:45, 2385:47, 2386:8, 2386:14, 2388:17 located [3] - 2400:33, 2401:13, 2402:23 location [4] - 2368:3, 2373:30, 2417:13, 2417:36 locations [1] - 2410:26 locked [1] - 2413:20 Logan [3] - 2383:2, 2383:16, 2410:13 look [42] - 2364:13, 2365:38, 2369:34, 2370:34, 2375:30, 2381:25, 2386:33, 2386:41, 2387:1, 2387:6, 2387:39, 2387:42, 2390:1,</p>	<p>2393:41, 2395:41, 2397:30, 2397:46, 2398:23, 2398:40, 2400:28, 2401:47, 2402:13, 2402:25, 2402:33, 2404:32, 2406:15, 2406:26, 2406:29, 2409:7, 2410:29, 2411:4, 2411:8, 2411:11, 2412:9, 2413:6, 2413:40, 2413:41, 2413:42, 2414:4, 2415:24, 2416:25, 2416:46 looked [6] - 2365:41, 2384:11, 2388:36, 2404:44, 2405:25, 2405:26 looking [12] - 2362:8, 2384:16, 2393:13, 2400:45, 2401:6, 2401:20, 2401:24, 2402:33, 2403:4, 2403:6, 2412:12, 2412:13 looks [1] - 2393:16 lose [4] - 2386:4, 2392:28, 2394:11, 2396:45 lost [2] - 2386:6, 2404:10 loud [1] - 2401:21 loudest [1] - 2376:14 love [1] - 2407:33 low [2] - 2372:33, 2373:1 lower [4] - 2374:47, 2375:31, 2376:5, 2376:29 lowered [2] - 2374:44, 2391:45 lowering [2] - 2391:46, 2392:9</p>
<p>J</p>	<p>KC [1] - 2360:26 keen [1] - 2414:38 keep [2] - 2411:7, 2414:31 Kelly [1] - 2404:14 Kevin [1] - 2415:32 killed [1] - 2413:47 kind [2] - 2369:29, 2407:17 kinds [1] - 2404:7 Kirwan [3] - 2383:2, 2383:16, 2383:18 knowing [1] - 2398:34 knowledge [2] - 2364:10, 2364:24 known [2] - 2365:44, 2416:13</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <p>Labor [4] - 2400:14, 2400:15, 2409:3, 2409:8 lacking [1] - 2389:35 lady [1] - 2372:5 language [9] - 2376:34, 2376:35, 2376:40, 2377:3, 2377:6, 2377:7, 2381:46, 2382:18, 2382:35 large [3] - 2364:13, 2368:38, 2398:28 last [22] - 2363:29, 2363:36, 2364:1, 2364:10, 2367:28, 2368:29, 2368:30, 2368:32, 2375:22, 2375:36, 2375:40, 2377:8, 2384:13, 2385:13, 2385:18, 2393:34, 2394:13, 2396:39, 2400:35, 2407:24, 2408:9, 2412:45 latest [1] - 2417:8 latter [1] - 2373:16 law [3] - 2369:15, 2397:5, 2415:32 law-abiding [1] - 2369:15 lawyer [3] - 2391:38, 2410:44, 2411:21 lawyers [2] - 2390:5, 2410:16 lead [2] - 2401:5, 2411:13 leader [2] - 2401:35,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <p>magical [1] - 2374:26 Magistrates [1] - 2360:34 maintain [2] - 2375:31, 2376:24 majority [5] - 2367:36, 2369:18, 2396:15, 2396:17, 2407:1 male [7] - 2369:28, 2371:29, 2371:42, 2376:27, 2400:4, 2400:40, 2402:4 males [1] - 2370:11</p>	

<p>man [2] - 2373:15, 2401:31</p> <p>manage [2] - 2389:46, 2411:40</p> <p>managed [1] - 2388:44</p> <p>management [15] - 2385:7, 2385:14, 2385:25, 2385:30, 2385:40, 2385:45, 2385:47, 2386:8, 2386:14, 2388:17, 2407:41, 2412:40, 2412:43, 2413:1, 2413:29</p> <p>managers [1] - 2407:17</p> <p>manner [3] - 2376:32, 2379:32, 2386:1</p> <p>March [3] - 2377:8, 2377:9, 2377:17</p> <p>Margaret [7] - 2377:24, 2377:30, 2377:47, 2378:8, 2379:40, 2380:24, 2380:34</p> <p>mark [1] - 2396:44</p> <p>Mark [1] - 2404:14</p> <p>massive [1] - 2374:14</p> <p>mate [3] - 2393:35, 2416:11, 2416:23</p> <p>material [1] - 2361:22</p> <p>mates [3] - 2416:20, 2416:25</p> <p>maths [1] - 2400:29</p> <p>matter [11] - 2361:6, 2361:11, 2361:13, 2361:21, 2361:38, 2362:21, 2365:15, 2382:12, 2389:27, 2389:32, 2408:25</p> <p>matters [11] - 2363:30, 2363:44, 2369:24, 2370:20, 2377:3, 2377:7, 2384:1, 2385:41, 2386:2, 2386:9, 2388:3</p> <p>McCafferty [22] - 2379:47, 2380:4, 2380:6, 2380:11, 2380:16, 2380:22, 2394:45, 2395:4, 2395:8, 2395:10, 2403:20, 2405:8, 2405:16, 2408:24, 2408:29, 2408:34, 2408:41, 2408:46, 2409:1, 2409:22, 2410:28, 2416:21</p> <p>McMurdo [8] -</p>	<p>2377:25, 2377:30, 2378:8, 2379:16, 2379:40, 2380:24, 2380:34, 2391:40</p> <p>McMurdo's [1] - 2378:1</p> <p>mean [8] - 2368:4, 2379:2, 2380:19, 2386:46, 2391:2, 2410:7, 2410:28, 2410:31</p> <p>meaningful [6] - 2378:17, 2379:1, 2379:12, 2381:6, 2382:10, 2404:17</p> <p>means [7] - 2363:16, 2384:42, 2386:32, 2404:13, 2410:12, 2411:27, 2413:33</p> <p>meant [2] - 2380:42, 2385:47</p> <p>meanwhile [1] - 2381:1</p> <p>media [1] - 2377:35</p> <p>meet [4] - 2376:26, 2379:16, 2392:36, 2392:41</p> <p>meeting [1] - 2405:21</p> <p>meetings [1] - 2390:41</p> <p>member [3] - 2389:17, 2389:22, 2389:28</p> <p>members [31] - 2363:5, 2363:10, 2363:13, 2363:20, 2363:44, 2364:30, 2364:40, 2364:45, 2365:21, 2365:35, 2365:37, 2365:42, 2366:2, 2367:20, 2368:45, 2370:14, 2370:19, 2370:30, 2371:10, 2382:30, 2389:24, 2389:38, 2389:40, 2390:46, 2391:4, 2396:23, 2397:38, 2398:12, 2398:36, 2400:29, 2414:19</p> <p>membership [6] - 2363:9, 2363:17, 2370:2, 2372:12, 2378:30, 2401:9</p> <p>men [11] - 2369:43, 2369:45, 2369:47, 2372:38, 2372:44, 2375:27, 2378:31, 2378:36, 2396:41, 2405:37</p> <p>mental [6] - 2397:9,</p>	<p>2397:12, 2410:40, 2413:41, 2415:15, 2415:25</p> <p>mention [1] - 2416:9</p> <p>mentioned [2] - 2389:28, 2410:30</p> <p>merit [9] - 2374:46, 2374:47, 2375:3, 2375:5, 2376:15, 2381:45, 2393:9, 2407:12, 2417:45</p> <p>merits [1] - 2406:25</p> <p>message [1] - 2401:35</p> <p>messaged [1] - 2401:37</p> <p>met [1] - 2384:34</p> <p>methods [1] - 2405:5</p> <p>middle [1] - 2361:27</p> <p>might [12] - 2363:20, 2363:47, 2366:3, 2368:26, 2385:17, 2396:15, 2397:3, 2397:4, 2406:17, 2408:34, 2412:7, 2418:6</p> <p>million [2] - 2378:39, 2412:8</p> <p>mind [6] - 2372:24, 2373:9, 2392:34, 2393:12, 2402:42, 2414:32</p> <p>minded [1] - 2394:1</p> <p>minds [1] - 2373:5</p> <p>minimises [1] - 2396:18</p> <p>minister [1] - 2393:18</p> <p>ministers [1] - 2393:15</p> <p>minor [2] - 2386:9, 2388:3</p> <p>minority [1] - 2367:20</p> <p>minus [1] - 2387:30</p> <p>minute [1] - 2369:7</p> <p>minutes [3] - 2408:29, 2408:32, 2408:37</p> <p>misconduct [9] - 2361:47, 2362:1, 2386:12, 2386:17, 2386:19, 2386:24, 2389:6, 2389:8</p> <p>mismanaged [1] - 2388:15</p> <p>misogynist [1] - 2370:1</p> <p>misogyny [15] - 2363:31, 2363:47, 2364:12, 2364:26, 2364:35, 2364:44, 2367:7, 2367:29, 2367:47, 2368:25,</p>	<p>2368:46, 2369:36, 2371:11, 2386:25, 2403:2</p> <p>mistakes [2] - 2384:23, 2384:24</p> <p>mitigating [1] - 2397:6</p> <p>model [2] - 2384:12, 2384:13</p> <p>models [2] - 2384:11, 2384:12</p> <p>moment [7] - 2372:45, 2383:31, 2402:45, 2405:21, 2406:17, 2408:17, 2412:17</p> <p>money [7] - 2378:40, 2381:24, 2404:35, 2406:32, 2412:23, 2416:27, 2417:11</p> <p>money's [1] - 2406:33</p> <p>month [5] - 2399:18, 2399:27, 2414:28, 2415:7, 2415:18</p> <p>months [4] - 2383:3, 2383:13, 2383:32, 2399:19</p> <p>morale [1] - 2386:5</p> <p>morning [3] - 2361:3, 2361:4, 2393:29</p> <p>morphed [2] - 2371:19, 2392:21</p> <p>most [9] - 2363:29, 2367:27, 2375:2, 2387:4, 2389:24, 2396:38, 2414:19, 2416:45, 2418:19</p> <p>mother [2] - 2368:12, 2372:19</p> <p>Mount [2] - 2406:11, 2417:15</p> <p>mouth [1] - 2411:16</p> <p>move [13] - 2364:22, 2371:47, 2372:25, 2373:32, 2374:24, 2392:31, 2392:37, 2393:4, 2401:6, 2401:21, 2401:27, 2401:46, 2402:25</p> <p>moved [1] - 2379:28</p> <p>movement [2] - 2374:8, 2374:10</p> <p>moving [1] - 2417:19</p> <p>MR [28] - 2361:11, 2361:20, 2361:31, 2361:46, 2362:5, 2362:11, 2362:17, 2362:32, 2379:47, 2380:6, 2380:11, 2380:16, 2380:22, 2394:45, 2395:4, 2395:8, 2403:20,</p>	<p>2405:8, 2405:16, 2408:22, 2408:24, 2408:29, 2408:34, 2408:41, 2408:46, 2409:1, 2409:22, 2410:28</p> <p>MS [16] - 2361:3, 2362:37, 2362:42, 2362:44, 2377:6, 2380:32, 2383:40, 2389:1, 2390:11, 2395:13, 2395:15, 2403:25, 2405:19, 2406:4, 2418:5, 2418:17</p> <p>multi [6] - 2383:2, 2384:44, 2410:32, 2410:36, 2412:2, 2412:10</p> <p>multi-disciplinary [6] - 2383:2, 2384:44, 2410:32, 2410:36, 2412:2, 2412:10</p> <p>Mum [1] - 2387:31</p> <p>mum [1] - 2413:20</p> <p>must [2] - 2376:1, 2389:29</p>
N				
			<p>name [2] - 2369:28, 2416:9</p> <p>named [2] - 2361:16, 2362:24</p> <p>nappy [1] - 2387:29</p> <p>national [5] - 2374:6, 2378:18, 2392:10, 2393:42, 2411:30</p> <p>Nations [8] - 2374:40, 2375:12, 2375:21, 2375:23, 2392:46, 2402:7, 2411:21, 2411:33</p> <p>nature [5] - 2385:17, 2389:5, 2389:24, 2415:40</p> <p>navigate [1] - 2384:39</p> <p>navigating [1] - 2365:40</p> <p>near [1] - 2393:35</p> <p>nearly [1] - 2413:47</p> <p>necessarily [3] - 2387:41, 2391:8, 2404:35</p> <p>necessary [2] - 2393:3, 2402:19</p> <p>need [79] - 2364:20, 2365:25, 2366:3, 2366:21, 2367:39, 2371:13, 2372:21,</p>	

2372:28, 2374:18, 2375:14, 2375:31, 2379:6, 2379:19, 2379:32, 2381:7, 2381:22, 2384:5, 2384:7, 2386:10, 2386:32, 2387:6, 2387:36, 2387:39, 2387:42, 2392:12, 2392:18, 2392:25, 2392:28, 2392:33, 2392:42, 2393:4, 2393:18, 2393:23, 2397:30, 2397:41, 2397:43, 2401:46, 2401:47, 2402:24, 2402:46, 2403:9, 2403:37, 2404:30, 2404:41, 2405:20, 2405:33, 2405:42, 2406:29, 2406:36, 2406:39, 2407:7, 2407:31, 2407:44, 2409:40, 2410:9, 2410:12, 2410:14, 2410:18, 2410:20, 2411:2, 2411:3, 2411:4, 2411:8, 2411:12, 2411:37, 2412:26, 2413:10, 2413:28, 2413:29, 2414:41, 2415:7, 2416:24, 2417:23, 2417:27, 2417:36	<p>net [1] - 2374:45</p> <p>never [4] - 2380:42, 2382:45, 2397:18, 2411:9</p> <p>new [2] - 2385:24, 2385:34</p> <p>New [3] - 2392:11, 2393:17, 2416:4</p> <p>next [2] - 2367:15, 2396:33</p> <p>NGOs [1] - 2410:47</p> <p>nice [1] - 2393:37</p> <p>Nielsen [1] - 2361:15</p> <p>Nielsen's [1] - 2361:36</p> <p>night [5] - 2405:34, 2405:36, 2406:46, 2407:2</p> <p>nights [1] - 2405:39</p> <p>nine [1] - 2388:8</p> <p>Nixon [1] - 2374:15</p> <p>no-one [2] - 2402:34, 2416:45</p> <p>nominate [3] - 2372:35, 2372:44, 2373:3</p> <p>none [2] - 2371:38, 2397:15</p> <p>nonetheless [2] - 2375:46, 2405:17</p> <p>normal [2] - 2391:36, 2415:26</p> <p>normalise [1] - 2415:17</p> <p>normalises [1] - 2397:26</p> <p>normalising [1] - 2416:7</p> <p>north [4] - 2410:23, 2412:19, 2412:27, 2417:16</p> <p>North [1] - 2375:22</p> <p>notably [1] - 2363:29</p> <p>noted [1] - 2362:27</p> <p>nothing [6] - 2372:27, 2378:35, 2381:2, 2388:23, 2398:31, 2408:10</p> <p>notify [1] - 2397:44</p> <p>November [2] - 2377:13, 2377:17</p> <p>nowadays [1] - 2367:18</p> <p>number [5] - 2374:38, 2391:23, 2398:28, 2400:16, 2400:20</p> <p>numbers [9] - 2372:33, 2372:42, 2392:36, 2395:33, 2395:40, 2399:9,</p>	<p>2399:14, 2399:40, 2405:5</p> <p>nurses [1] - 2417:25</p>	<p>officer [23] - 2361:14, 2361:16, 2362:19, 2366:27, 2366:35, 2366:44, 2375:16, 2379:18, 2379:22, 2386:42, 2387:19, 2388:8, 2388:16, 2388:22, 2391:38, 2394:14, 2394:28, 2394:31, 2397:16, 2399:2, 2399:3, 2407:31, 2417:20</p> <p>officer's [1] - 2362:23</p> <p>officers [21] - 2363:22, 2363:25, 2363:26, 2364:26, 2366:13, 2376:30, 2382:33, 2384:38, 2389:30, 2395:17, 2397:1, 2397:34, 2403:17, 2403:26, 2405:45, 2409:14, 2409:17, 2409:27, 2409:45, 2415:33, 2417:6</p> <p>official [1] - 2373:42</p> <p>officials [1] - 2373:43</p> <p>often [4] - 2364:34, 2390:4, 2399:12, 2414:5</p> <p>old [4] - 2387:29, 2387:31, 2387:33, 2413:21</p> <p>older [4] - 2392:31, 2394:4, 2406:16, 2406:19</p> <p>once [9] - 2368:19, 2376:29, 2386:16, 2386:23, 2405:41, 2414:4, 2414:46, 2415:20, 2416:47</p> <p>one [59] - 2365:32, 2365:35, 2366:35, 2367:37, 2367:39, 2367:47, 2368:3, 2368:5, 2369:5, 2373:12, 2373:26, 2373:27, 2374:2, 2376:12, 2379:10, 2379:27, 2382:25, 2383:3, 2383:22, 2385:5, 2386:11, 2389:12, 2389:27, 2389:30, 2389:38, 2389:39, 2392:46, 2394:13, 2394:34, 2395:15, 2395:40, 2396:32, 2396:47, 2397:21, 2399:18, 2399:27, 2399:30, 2399:33, 2399:45,</p>	<p>2401:11, 2401:21, 2402:34, 2403:31, 2404:43, 2405:25, 2406:27, 2407:22, 2407:45, 2408:25, 2413:34, 2414:24, 2414:27, 2414:29, 2416:44, 2416:45, 2417:5</p> <p>one's [1] - 2390:40</p> <p>onerous [1] - 2400:34</p> <p>ongoing [5] - 2366:37, 2367:43, 2384:4, 2403:47, 2406:29</p> <p>online [2] - 2384:13, 2404:15</p> <p>open [5] - 2368:10, 2372:2, 2372:24, 2376:11, 2392:34</p> <p>openly [2] - 2370:21, 2370:32</p> <p>operate [1] - 2401:42</p> <p>operational [2] - 2407:13, 2407:18</p> <p>operationally [1] - 2407:8</p> <p>opinion [1] - 2389:29</p> <p>OPM [1] - 2383:12</p> <p>OPMs [2] - 2383:23, 2383:32</p> <p>opportunities [1] - 2393:13</p> <p>opportunity [10] - 2382:9, 2388:39, 2401:1, 2411:41, 2413:13, 2415:31, 2417:12, 2417:29, 2417:35, 2418:11</p> <p>optimally [1] - 2403:18</p> <p>option [1] - 2405:1</p> <p>options [4] - 2400:46, 2401:20, 2401:24, 2406:21</p> <p>oral [1] - 2387:18</p> <p>orally [1] - 2402:39</p> <p>order [5] - 2361:6, 2366:4, 2366:43, 2377:19, 2405:4</p> <p>ordinarily [1] - 2411:41</p> <p>ordinary [1] - 2372:39</p> <p>organisation [31] - 2364:13, 2364:27, 2366:31, 2369:22, 2370:4, 2370:40, 2372:6, 2372:28, 2372:30, 2374:14, 2374:25, 2374:44, 2375:4, 2376:47,</p>
--	--	--	--	---

<p>2377:26, 2387:21, 2389:35, 2394:4, 2396:42, 2400:2, 2400:23, 2400:27, 2401:22, 2402:5, 2403:38, 2405:10, 2407:45, 2408:13, 2408:15, 2413:3, 2415:8</p> <p>organisations [4] - 2371:28, 2383:25, 2387:16, 2392:15</p> <p>otherwise [8] - 2372:22, 2376:10, 2389:5, 2393:44, 2397:44, 2411:9, 2412:28, 2415:9</p> <p>out-of-touch [5] - 2377:38, 2380:38, 2381:12, 2381:18, 2381:19</p> <p>outcome [1] - 2398:43</p> <p>outlook [1] - 2393:11</p> <p>outrageous [1] - 2415:13</p> <p>outs [1] - 2410:18</p> <p>outside [2] - 2372:38, 2417:18</p> <p>outsourcing [2] - 2407:45, 2407:47</p> <p>outstanding [1] - 2396:24</p> <p>over-reaches [2] - 2377:39, 2380:39</p> <p>overnight [1] - 2361:23</p> <p>oversight [1] - 2386:27</p> <p>overtly [1] - 2364:40</p> <p>overworked [1] - 2377:46</p> <p>own [7] - 2386:21, 2393:25, 2395:35, 2404:27, 2408:12, 2413:2, 2413:40</p>	<p>2384:41</p> <p>paragraph [2] - 2367:4, 2367:15</p> <p>paramount [2] - 2385:37, 2387:21</p> <p>paraphrasing [1] - 2409:4</p> <p>parents [1] - 2387:33</p> <p>part [10] - 2372:7, 2397:23, 2404:45, 2405:31, 2405:32, 2405:44, 2406:1, 2406:2, 2406:24, 2407:19</p> <p>part-time [6] - 2404:45, 2405:31, 2405:32, 2405:44, 2406:1, 2406:24</p> <p>participate [1] - 2406:18</p> <p>participating [1] - 2404:46</p> <p>particular [7] - 2364:25, 2368:5, 2368:9, 2368:27, 2375:34, 2389:27, 2397:4</p> <p>particularly [4] - 2382:39, 2393:27, 2395:34, 2417:24</p> <p>parties [1] - 2402:3</p> <p>partly [1] - 2401:30</p> <p>partner [5] - 2366:26, 2368:13, 2396:28, 2415:45, 2417:21</p> <p>partners [2] - 2417:22</p> <p>parts [1] - 2383:25</p> <p>party [3] - 2362:15, 2366:31, 2389:36</p> <p>Party [1] - 2409:3</p> <p>PAs [1] - 2391:36</p> <p>passionate [4] - 2397:9, 2403:39, 2407:29, 2416:35</p> <p>past [1] - 2400:38</p> <p>pathway [1] - 2397:43</p> <p>pause [3] - 2399:1, 2409:37, 2410:28</p> <p>pausing [1] - 2409:45</p> <p>pay [2] - 2406:18, 2417:10</p> <p>penalty [1] - 2398:43</p> <p>people [164] - 2362:20, 2364:18, 2364:32, 2364:36, 2364:41, 2364:47, 2365:3, 2365:11, 2365:24, 2365:29, 2366:9, 2366:11, 2366:14, 2367:40,</p>	<p>2368:7, 2368:20, 2368:37, 2369:3, 2369:19, 2369:21, 2369:32, 2369:42, 2370:25, 2370:26, 2370:27, 2370:33, 2371:16, 2371:22, 2371:30, 2371:42, 2372:16, 2372:26, 2373:6, 2373:44, 2373:47, 2374:2, 2374:6, 2374:26, 2374:39, 2374:47, 2375:1, 2375:12, 2375:17, 2375:21, 2375:24, 2375:29, 2376:20, 2376:26, 2376:27, 2376:31, 2382:23, 2382:28, 2383:18, 2384:8, 2384:20, 2384:27, 2384:28, 2386:32, 2386:45, 2387:3, 2387:17, 2388:39, 2388:40, 2390:1, 2390:20, 2390:32, 2392:10, 2392:13, 2392:26, 2392:29, 2392:30, 2392:32, 2392:42, 2392:45, 2393:4, 2393:8, 2393:20, 2393:38, 2393:47, 2394:1, 2394:4, 2394:6, 2394:8, 2394:9, 2395:36, 2395:37, 2395:38, 2395:45, 2395:46, 2395:47, 2396:6, 2396:7, 2396:23, 2396:39, 2396:40, 2396:44, 2396:45, 2397:1, 2397:4, 2397:21, 2397:24, 2397:47, 2398:7, 2398:15, 2398:20, 2398:40, 2399:10, 2399:12, 2399:15, 2399:37, 2399:39, 2400:3, 2400:9, 2400:23, 2400:28, 2401:41, 2402:1, 2402:2, 2402:24, 2403:13, 2403:14, 2403:39, 2404:25, 2404:26, 2404:36, 2404:43, 2404:46, 2405:26, 2405:35, 2405:38, 2406:7, 2406:16, 2406:24, 2406:43, 2407:4, 2407:7,</p>	<p>2407:8, 2410:15, 2410:16, 2411:1, 2411:6, 2411:38, 2412:33, 2412:47, 2413:2, 2413:4, 2413:6, 2413:11, 2413:16, 2413:46, 2414:38, 2415:4, 2415:22, 2415:41, 2415:44, 2416:7, 2416:28, 2416:38</p> <p>per [22] - 2363:17, 2364:18, 2368:38, 2369:3, 2370:5, 2384:27, 2386:23, 2392:14, 2395:36, 2395:37, 2395:38, 2395:45, 2396:5, 2396:23, 2397:35, 2398:6, 2398:24, 2398:25, 2399:37, 2400:29, 2403:10, 2404:37</p> <p>perceived [3] - 2376:10, 2378:30, 2387:39</p> <p>percentage [1] - 2368:41</p> <p>perception [3] - 2382:29, 2396:6, 2401:30</p> <p>perform [1] - 2390:41</p> <p>performing [1] - 2408:13</p> <p>perhaps [15] - 2363:29, 2367:27, 2368:3, 2381:44, 2382:20, 2382:36, 2393:8, 2397:3, 2397:17, 2398:19, 2404:43, 2404:45, 2405:14, 2406:20, 2407:40</p> <p>period [1] - 2402:29</p> <p>perjury [6] - 2361:28, 2361:42, 2361:43, 2361:46, 2362:5, 2362:9</p> <p>perpetrated [1] - 2366:27</p> <p>perpetrator [1] - 2366:38</p> <p>perpetrators [2] - 2366:32, 2395:20</p> <p>perpetuates [1] - 2411:9</p> <p>person [12] - 2362:14, 2366:24, 2369:13, 2369:15, 2382:45, 2384:14, 2384:34,</p>	<p>2389:40, 2389:41, 2397:14, 2400:40</p> <p>personal [3] - 2369:6, 2396:22, 2408:35</p> <p>personally [1] - 2372:15</p> <p>perspective [1] - 2367:39</p> <p>persuade [1] - 2373:18</p> <p>pertaining [1] - 2378:10</p> <p>pertains [2] - 2377:39, 2380:40</p> <p>phone [1] - 2364:39</p> <p>physical [2] - 2369:25, 2413:12</p> <p>physically [1] - 2387:10</p> <p>physio [1] - 2415:18</p> <p>pick [1] - 2398:31</p> <p>pigs [1] - 2387:31</p> <p>pipes [1] - 2363:25</p> <p>place [20] - 2364:31, 2364:37, 2365:45, 2366:34, 2367:37, 2367:39, 2368:40, 2369:5, 2369:17, 2373:15, 2375:18, 2376:37, 2382:26, 2388:43, 2390:4, 2391:8, 2392:47, 2397:15, 2400:6, 2412:10</p> <p>places [3] - 2387:43, 2417:30, 2417:38</p> <p>plan [1] - 2372:7</p> <p>play [1] - 2407:28</p> <p>pleased [1] - 2377:43</p> <p>plug [1] - 2413:39</p> <p>pockets [7] - 2367:35, 2368:1, 2368:2, 2368:7, 2368:25, 2368:26, 2369:39</p> <p>point [24] - 2362:28, 2365:17, 2365:24, 2371:30, 2371:33, 2378:26, 2378:29, 2379:4, 2381:46, 2385:34, 2386:38, 2386:42, 2389:14, 2389:35, 2389:38, 2390:8, 2391:39, 2392:33, 2392:35, 2393:45, 2399:14, 2404:25, 2406:35</p> <p>pointedly [1] - 2367:27</p> <p>points [1] - 2383:17</p> <p>police [112] - 2363:21,</p>
P				
<p>PA [1] - 2365:45</p> <p>page [3] - 2362:18, 2393:44, 2407:36</p> <p>paid [2] - 2394:17, 2394:27</p> <p>Palaszczuk [2] - 2407:25, 2412:46</p> <p>pandering [2] - 2375:43, 2376:35</p> <p>paper [2] - 2387:18, 2417:43</p> <p>paperwork [1] -</p>				

<p>2363:25, 2363:43, 2364:26, 2366:12, 2366:13, 2366:27, 2366:30, 2366:42, 2366:43, 2367:36, 2368:38, 2368:39, 2369:18, 2370:35, 2371:14, 2371:22, 2371:40, 2372:17, 2372:26, 2373:45, 2375:16, 2375:27, 2375:30, 2376:30, 2377:31, 2377:39, 2377:47, 2378:10, 2379:18, 2379:21, 2379:33, 2379:34, 2380:40, 2382:33, 2383:20, 2383:26, 2384:18, 2384:23, 2384:32, 2384:38, 2384:42, 2385:25, 2386:4, 2386:5, 2386:36, 2386:42, 2387:4, 2387:19, 2387:23, 2387:37, 2388:21, 2389:26, 2392:19, 2392:40, 2393:11, 2393:15, 2394:14, 2394:23, 2394:27, 2394:28, 2394:31, 2394:36, 2394:39, 2395:16, 2396:15, 2397:1, 2397:12, 2397:29, 2397:34, 2398:46, 2399:2, 2399:5, 2403:10, 2403:17, 2403:25, 2404:15, 2407:13, 2407:15, 2407:18, 2407:28, 2407:31, 2407:43, 2408:1, 2409:7, 2409:14, 2409:27, 2409:41, 2410:7, 2410:15, 2410:24, 2410:30, 2411:28, 2412:13, 2412:17, 2412:29, 2412:44, 2413:25, 2413:37, 2413:40, 2413:43, 2414:40, 2414:42, 2415:20, 2416:18, 2416:43, 2417:20, 2417:25</p> <p>POLICE [1] - 2360:11 Police [37] - 2361:32, 2362:45, 2363:35, 2365:12, 2374:32, 2374:41, 2376:3, 2376:19, 2377:44, 2378:2, 2378:23,</p>	<p>2378:32, 2378:37, 2382:36, 2383:12, 2384:3, 2386:16, 2388:25, 2394:18, 2394:25, 2395:4, 2398:6, 2398:10, 2398:13, 2398:19, 2398:24, 2398:28, 2398:38, 2403:7, 2404:19, 2406:23, 2408:8, 2408:11, 2415:14, 2416:29, 2416:31, 2417:45</p> <p>policewomen [1] - 2376:13</p> <p>policies [1] - 2409:8</p> <p>policing [31] - 2364:14, 2365:8, 2369:33, 2370:35, 2372:11, 2374:19, 2376:25, 2387:2, 2387:43, 2393:16, 2393:20, 2393:24, 2393:35, 2394:25, 2394:37, 2395:47, 2397:32, 2398:45, 2400:47, 2401:8, 2404:11, 2406:35, 2406:37, 2406:40, 2406:42, 2407:1, 2409:15, 2410:32, 2415:36, 2415:38, 2417:3</p> <p>Policing [1] - 2374:13</p> <p>policy [1] - 2407:42</p> <p>political [2] - 2363:6, 2402:3</p> <p>poor [3] - 2362:28, 2413:22</p> <p>portrayed [1] - 2362:25</p> <p>position [17] - 2362:22, 2362:23, 2373:33, 2391:27, 2395:18, 2395:28, 2396:13, 2400:4, 2400:24, 2400:43, 2401:19, 2401:31, 2401:36, 2402:8, 2402:12, 2402:30, 2405:13</p> <p>positions [28] - 2363:20, 2363:22, 2370:43, 2371:8, 2371:15, 2371:37, 2371:45, 2372:3, 2372:34, 2372:36, 2372:37, 2372:45, 2373:2, 2373:11, 2373:19, 2373:23,</p>	<p>2373:40, 2390:24, 2390:28, 2390:36, 2391:7, 2391:11, 2391:28, 2400:21, 2400:35, 2402:2, 2402:23, 2412:45</p> <p>positive [8] - 2367:38, 2370:37, 2372:10, 2388:38, 2395:21, 2401:37, 2401:38, 2416:6</p> <p>positives [1] - 2395:18</p> <p>positivity [1] - 2393:39</p> <p>possible [3] - 2401:14, 2401:16, 2402:9</p> <p>possibly [8] - 2370:6, 2370:12, 2373:7, 2388:14, 2388:40, 2391:25, 2399:6, 2403:20</p> <p>post [1] - 2414:20</p> <p>post-traumatic [1] - 2414:20</p> <p>potentially [1] - 2371:35</p> <p>power [1] - 2395:18</p> <p>powerful [1] - 2405:13</p> <p>powers [2] - 2381:29, 2394:33</p> <p>practical [1] - 2405:2</p> <p>practice [1] - 2406:32</p> <p>practitioner [1] - 2411:45</p> <p>preferred [1] - 2401:31</p> <p>premature [1] - 2382:20</p> <p>present [4] - 2367:29, 2400:14, 2409:30, 2415:23</p> <p>presentations [1] - 2368:36</p> <p>presented [1] - 2401:25</p> <p>presently [2] - 2370:47, 2371:3</p> <p>President [2] - 2362:44, 2377:24</p> <p>president [15] - 2364:11, 2364:38, 2390:26, 2390:27, 2390:37, 2390:38, 2390:40, 2390:43, 2391:2, 2391:12, 2394:30, 2394:38, 2401:3</p> <p>presidents [1] - 2390:36</p>	<p>pressure [2] - 2383:17, 2383:34</p> <p>pressures [1] - 2394:9</p> <p>presumably [1] - 2388:7</p> <p>presume [1] - 2377:34</p> <p>presumption [1] - 2390:9</p> <p>presumptive [2] - 2413:36, 2416:35</p> <p>pretty [3] - 2380:1, 2388:32, 2416:34</p> <p>prevalence [1] - 2367:29</p> <p>prevalent [1] - 2385:31</p> <p>preventative [1] - 2416:42</p> <p>prevention [1] - 2368:33</p> <p>previous [1] - 2386:1</p> <p>primary [3] - 2406:35, 2406:36, 2406:38</p> <p>private [1] - 2412:11</p> <p>proactive [1] - 2414:17</p> <p>proactively [1] - 2413:11</p> <p>probe [1] - 2377:31</p> <p>problem [10] - 2371:35, 2379:4, 2380:4, 2384:39, 2393:7, 2393:24, 2406:22, 2411:1, 2415:4, 2415:28</p> <p>problematic [1] - 2412:23</p> <p>problems [3] - 2361:32, 2381:39, 2408:9</p> <p>procedures [1] - 2379:2</p> <p>PROCEEDINGS [1] - 2360:3</p> <p>proceedings [2] - 2364:1, 2386:22</p> <p>process [14] - 2365:41, 2366:3, 2366:4, 2371:33, 2385:8, 2385:22, 2389:2, 2389:7, 2389:18, 2391:41, 2395:29, 2402:36, 2405:31, 2408:2</p> <p>processes [3] - 2371:36, 2386:31, 2386:33</p> <p>produced [1] - 2416:3</p> <p>product [1] - 2404:15</p> <p>profession [2] -</p>	<p>2364:15, 2406:38</p> <p>professional [1] - 2410:40</p> <p>program [3] - 2374:42, 2404:42, 2407:14</p> <p>programs [1] - 2392:47</p> <p>progress [3] - 2373:39, 2403:9, 2416:32</p> <p>progressed [1] - 2373:35</p> <p>progressive [2] - 2367:34, 2373:7</p> <p>project [1] - 2375:37</p> <p>prominent [1] - 2367:6</p> <p>promise [1] - 2408:29</p> <p>promote [3] - 2373:34, 2374:5, 2402:30</p> <p>promotion [1] - 2416:7</p> <p>promotional [1] - 2414:38</p> <p>promotions [1] - 2417:41</p> <p>properly [2] - 2388:32, 2412:25</p> <p>property [1] - 2394:40</p> <p>proposition [3] - 2371:41, 2373:32, 2401:33</p> <p>protect [7] - 2383:27, 2384:19, 2384:28, 2384:43, 2390:9, 2394:39, 2403:45</p> <p>Protection [1] - 2411:28</p> <p>protection [4] - 2365:9, 2366:5, 2411:26, 2411:27</p> <p>proud [2] - 2366:14, 2398:2</p> <p>proven [1] - 2385:42</p> <p>provide [9] - 2365:14, 2365:26, 2366:19, 2366:22, 2366:28, 2366:34, 2406:12, 2415:29, 2415:36</p> <p>provided [9] - 2365:22, 2365:39, 2365:43, 2366:33, 2366:39, 2366:46, 2398:33, 2399:9, 2409:25</p> <p>provides [1] - 2366:9</p> <p>psychiatrist [1] - 2416:15</p> <p>psychological [9] - 2387:2, 2387:22,</p>
--	--	--	--	--

<p>2397:11, 2397:16, 2412:33, 2412:38, 2413:12, 2413:38, 2414:8 psychologically [3] - 2387:11, 2397:2, 2413:26 psychologist [7] - 2410:37, 2411:18, 2414:2, 2414:23, 2415:7, 2415:9, 2415:34 psychologists [2] - 2410:15, 2414:38 PTSD [1] - 2413:37 public [4] - 2367:27, 2382:29, 2407:33, 2418:19 Public [2] - 2408:7, 2412:42 publicly [6] - 2364:39, 2378:45, 2383:8, 2394:34, 2413:39, 2415:23 pull [2] - 2416:21, 2416:23 pulled [1] - 2415:17 pure [1] - 2400:28 purely [6] - 2366:40, 2378:22, 2383:21, 2394:37, 2409:42, 2409:45 purported [1] - 2373:46 purpose [2] - 2388:16, 2409:23 pursuant [1] - 2362:21 pursue [1] - 2396:1 put [11] - 2367:39, 2378:25, 2386:12, 2400:5, 2400:6, 2404:8, 2409:2, 2411:16, 2412:10, 2416:14, 2416:39 puts [1] - 2376:26 putting [3] - 2369:42, 2373:40, 2392:47</p>	<p>2405:9, 2412:40 QPU [1] - 2377:45 quality [1] - 2392:26 quandary [1] - 2414:47 quantify [1] - 2366:10 quartered [1] - 2386:47 Queensland [25] - 2362:45, 2364:29, 2368:4, 2374:31, 2375:22, 2376:32, 2378:2, 2378:23, 2378:32, 2378:37, 2384:3, 2384:16, 2394:23, 2394:27, 2398:15, 2403:6, 2407:13, 2408:8, 2409:15, 2409:35, 2409:42, 2410:9, 2410:12, 2410:21, 2416:5 QUEENSLAND [1] - 2360:11 questioning [1] - 2361:12 questions [10] - 2389:1, 2390:12, 2390:16, 2403:1, 2404:41, 2408:17, 2408:22, 2409:16, 2409:25, 2418:5 quick [2] - 2416:3, 2417:20 quicker [1] - 2383:14 quite [10] - 2365:28, 2366:14, 2384:35, 2385:15, 2385:31, 2395:39, 2396:29, 2398:28, 2400:32 quota [2] - 2375:37, 2409:4 quotas [6] - 2375:44, 2375:45, 2400:15, 2405:21, 2405:23, 2409:6 quoted [1] - 2375:42</p>	<p>raised [5] - 2361:21, 2365:1, 2365:3, 2376:18, 2379:17 ran [4] - 2372:4, 2372:5, 2373:41, 2411:30 rank [2] - 2363:24, 2394:24 ranks [1] - 2402:14 rape [1] - 2397:14 rare [1] - 2366:33 rate [5] - 2375:42, 2392:14, 2404:37, 2404:39 re [3] - 2412:36, 2416:5, 2417:46 re-badged [1] - 2416:5 re-examination [1] - 2412:36 re-think [1] - 2417:46 reached [1] - 2402:16 reaches [2] - 2377:39, 2380:39 reaching [1] - 2402:22 read [3] - 2395:42, 2415:34, 2415:45 reading [1] - 2393:12 real [7] - 2381:9, 2382:3, 2382:26, 2387:3, 2387:5, 2396:7, 2396:34 really [24] - 2362:28, 2369:45, 2370:16, 2370:26, 2370:28, 2372:17, 2374:3, 2379:26, 2380:3, 2387:20, 2387:41, 2394:22, 2395:39, 2396:44, 2402:20, 2402:21, 2406:42, 2408:4, 2410:31, 2413:28, 2414:13, 2414:32, 2414:35, 2415:25 reason [9] - 2373:30, 2373:42, 2387:40, 2391:47, 2392:6, 2398:17, 2406:41, 2408:34, 2414:45 reasonable [1] - 2417:13 reasonably [1] - 2376:2 reasons [6] - 2362:33, 2373:29, 2383:21, 2395:27, 2398:33, 2402:43 reassessed [1] - 2366:37 received [4] - 2363:43,</p>	<p>2368:44, 2384:47, 2395:25 recent [1] - 2375:20 recognise [7] - 2374:19, 2402:42, 2403:26, 2415:42, 2415:47, 2416:7, 2417:28 recognised [4] - 2403:15, 2407:27, 2407:34, 2415:33 recognises [1] - 2416:45 recognising [1] - 2395:24 recommend [1] - 2361:38 recommendation [3] - 2378:28, 2379:11, 2380:22 recommendations [17] - 2361:35, 2377:13, 2378:17, 2378:22, 2379:1, 2379:13, 2381:6, 2381:13, 2381:16, 2381:40, 2382:13, 2383:1, 2383:33, 2403:8, 2404:3, 2404:6, 2404:7 recommended [1] - 2377:30 recommending [1] - 2361:35 reconsidered [2] - 2382:8, 2383:41 record [3] - 2409:19, 2415:1, 2415:20 recorded [1] - 2388:38 records [3] - 2414:46, 2415:1, 2415:3 recovering [1] - 2412:42 recruit [1] - 2363:23 recruited [2] - 2407:7, 2408:1 recruiting [3] - 2374:42, 2392:11, 2392:36 recruitment [12] - 2393:7, 2404:32, 2404:44, 2404:45, 2404:46, 2405:5, 2405:9, 2405:20, 2405:31, 2406:16, 2406:21, 2407:14 recruits [6] - 2368:11, 2391:45, 2392:34, 2405:20, 2406:2, 2415:30</p>	<p>recurring [1] - 2412:8 reduce [1] - 2376:20 reference [2] - 2394:46, 2400:12 referred [2] - 2361:39, 2375:35 referring [3] - 2367:4, 2375:36, 2397:1 refocus [1] - 2384:6 reform [3] - 2378:20, 2379:29, 2384:7 regardless [2] - 2366:43, 2400:8 regions [3] - 2410:9, 2412:44, 2413:2 regularly [1] - 2378:31 regulations [1] - 2401:17 rehabilitating [1] - 2411:17 rehabilitation [7] - 2385:36, 2385:37, 2388:37, 2388:40, 2410:20, 2411:5, 2411:9 reinforcement [1] - 2416:33 reinforces [1] - 2382:36 reject [1] - 2369:2 rejecting [1] - 2377:47 related [1] - 2396:21 relating [2] - 2363:30, 2363:44 relation [12] - 2363:46, 2364:36, 2365:30, 2375:44, 2378:18, 2384:2, 2385:6, 2385:15, 2385:27, 2386:36, 2387:22, 2393:7 relationships [1] - 2411:36 released [1] - 2377:12 relevant [1] - 2401:16 relieve [1] - 2407:43 relived [1] - 2414:1 relocated [1] - 2413:1 reluctant [1] - 2415:5 rely [4] - 2372:20, 2390:4, 2396:33, 2416:18 remain [1] - 2370:47 remained [1] - 2387:27 remarks [1] - 2380:20 remember [6] - 2367:12, 2367:25, 2378:4, 2387:26, 2387:28, 2387:43</p>
Q	R			
<p>QPS [21] - 2363:21, 2363:31, 2363:45, 2364:12, 2364:46, 2367:30, 2367:47, 2368:45, 2368:46, 2369:26, 2370:9, 2370:19, 2371:12, 2374:30, 2375:35, 2375:41, 2375:47, 2377:19, 2385:7,</p>	<p>race [1] - 2400:7 racism [6] - 2363:31, 2363:47, 2367:7, 2369:36, 2386:24, 2399:21 racist [4] - 2385:17, 2385:32, 2386:40, 2389:5 raise [2] - 2361:6, 2361:11</p>			

<p>remote [3] - 2410:14, 2417:7, 2417:28</p> <p>remuneration [1] - 2394:27</p> <p>report [26] - 2361:34, 2361:47, 2362:1, 2362:3, 2362:7, 2362:11, 2362:12, 2375:40, 2377:12, 2377:14, 2377:29, 2377:38, 2379:31, 2380:39, 2381:11, 2381:12, 2381:17, 2381:18, 2381:20, 2381:21, 2395:36, 2395:38, 2395:39, 2395:41, 2403:34, 2409:24</p> <p>reported [9] - 2362:20, 2366:19, 2370:13, 2370:16, 2370:39, 2393:30, 2395:43, 2396:37, 2396:42</p> <p>reporting [2] - 2384:13, 2398:7</p> <p>reports [2] - 2362:15, 2382:37</p> <p>represent [7] - 2363:21, 2363:23, 2364:18, 2370:3, 2390:45, 2396:24, 2397:37</p> <p>representation [7] - 2373:1, 2374:31, 2374:36, 2375:47, 2389:17, 2389:40, 2390:7</p> <p>representative [3] - 2374:24, 2392:29, 2400:44</p> <p>Representatives [2] - 2400:3, 2400:13</p> <p>representatives [5] - 2366:36, 2390:44, 2397:29, 2397:46, 2411:22</p> <p>represented [2] - 2363:22, 2412:2</p> <p>representing [1] - 2363:5</p> <p>reps [1] - 2371:42</p> <p>request [1] - 2398:6</p> <p>require [3] - 2386:13, 2392:37, 2410:37</p> <p>required [6] - 2365:46, 2386:23, 2393:1, 2403:12, 2409:38, 2410:29</p> <p>requirement [1] - 2392:41</p>	<p>requires [3] - 2371:47, 2383:6, 2399:4</p> <p>rescind [1] - 2373:33</p> <p>research [3] - 2391:38, 2393:25, 2411:30</p> <p>reset [8] - 2378:46, 2379:24, 2379:47, 2380:16, 2382:2, 2383:41, 2384:4, 2387:36</p> <p>residual [1] - 2414:22</p> <p>resolution [10] - 2385:7, 2385:14, 2385:26, 2385:30, 2385:40, 2385:45, 2385:47, 2386:8, 2386:14, 2388:17</p> <p>resolved [1] - 2386:3</p> <p>resourced [2] - 2377:46, 2413:29</p> <p>resources [5] - 2404:31, 2409:16, 2410:29, 2412:7, 2412:10</p> <p>resourcing [5] - 2404:23, 2409:26, 2409:30, 2409:32, 2409:38</p> <p>respect [12] - 2363:45, 2365:9, 2367:18, 2368:14, 2371:11, 2375:34, 2375:46, 2377:6, 2383:43, 2389:39, 2390:7, 2411:13</p> <p>respected [2] - 2377:25, 2411:12</p> <p>respectful [2] - 2368:8, 2411:36</p> <p>respectfully [1] - 2368:38</p> <p>respond [4] - 2364:40, 2383:21, 2410:7, 2414:10</p> <p>responded [4] - 2377:34, 2398:24, 2398:29, 2403:3</p> <p>responding [2] - 2364:41, 2403:18</p> <p>response [1] - 2403:6</p> <p>RESPONSES [1] - 2360:12</p> <p>responses [2] - 2377:19, 2402:44</p> <p>responsibility [3] - 2381:23, 2386:32, 2394:39</p> <p>responsible [2] - 2405:8, 2416:37</p>	<p>rest [2] - 2368:42, 2374:9</p> <p>restricted [1] - 2368:1</p> <p>result [14] - 2370:10, 2370:25, 2374:15, 2374:45, 2380:43, 2385:47, 2386:13, 2389:34, 2398:42, 2411:31, 2411:38, 2412:42, 2413:24, 2413:43</p> <p>resulted [1] - 2400:20</p> <p>resulting [1] - 2371:37</p> <p>retired [3] - 2377:23, 2377:38, 2380:39</p> <p>retraining [1] - 2386:13</p> <p>retrospect [1] - 2382:17</p> <p>return [1] - 2413:9</p> <p>reward [1] - 2417:37</p> <p>rewards [1] - 2417:29</p> <p>reword [1] - 2403:25</p> <p>RICHARDS [1] - 2360:19</p> <p>rife [1] - 2368:47</p> <p>rights [2] - 2365:10, 2401:44</p> <p>ripple [3] - 2369:10, 2369:20, 2416:47</p> <p>rises [1] - 2394:45</p> <p>risk [1] - 2376:27</p> <p>road [1] - 2401:40</p> <p>robust [1] - 2412:40</p> <p>role [18] - 2363:1, 2363:4, 2364:29, 2364:38, 2369:45, 2372:11, 2372:17, 2372:21, 2375:2, 2390:40, 2393:14, 2394:37, 2397:37, 2398:38, 2399:44, 2408:4, 2411:10, 2416:19</p> <p>roles [8] - 2372:13, 2383:22, 2395:8, 2407:27, 2407:30, 2408:5, 2408:13, 2411:4</p> <p>room [2] - 2364:17, 2368:36</p> <p>rostering [1] - 2369:35</p> <p>routinely [1] - 2369:27</p> <p>rules [1] - 2401:17</p> <p>run [8] - 2371:17, 2372:3, 2394:7, 2394:35, 2400:24, 2400:34, 2402:2</p> <p>running [1] - 2406:37</p> <p>runs [2] - 2366:10,</p>	<p>2366:21</p> <p>rural [1] - 2417:28</p> <p>RUTH [1] - 2360:26</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>sad [1] - 2370:16</p> <p>saddens [3] - 2372:10, 2396:44, 2417:1</p> <p>sadly [3] - 2368:41, 2397:29, 2411:32</p> <p>safe [4] - 2365:45, 2367:41, 2370:27, 2370:28</p> <p>safer [1] - 2368:40</p> <p>Safety [3] - 2377:7, 2408:7, 2412:42</p> <p>safety [4] - 2376:29, 2376:30, 2391:37, 2410:16</p> <p>sand [2] - 2374:18, 2374:23</p> <p>sanitise [1] - 2379:20</p> <p>sat [1] - 2370:13</p> <p>save [2] - 2396:33, 2414:30</p> <p>saved [1] - 2416:16</p> <p>saving [1] - 2383:16</p> <p>saw [4] - 2362:21, 2370:14, 2378:16, 2389:34</p> <p>scheme [1] - 2393:9</p> <p>school [4] - 2375:25, 2392:21, 2416:9, 2417:34</p> <p>schools [2] - 2392:18, 2411:36</p> <p>scourge [1] - 2379:14</p> <p>seconding [1] - 2407:47</p> <p>secondment [2] - 2394:23, 2394:31</p> <p>secret [1] - 2374:40</p> <p>secretarial [1] - 2407:23</p> <p>secretaries [1] - 2391:36</p> <p>secretary [6] - 2390:27, 2390:38, 2390:39, 2390:44, 2391:3, 2391:11</p> <p>section [2] - 2361:31, 2413:1</p> <p>see [49] - 2361:46, 2368:23, 2368:30, 2370:36, 2371:20, 2371:27, 2372:6, 2372:26, 2375:17, 2376:3, 2377:43,</p>	<p>2378:21, 2379:6, 2379:18, 2379:20, 2379:21, 2379:22, 2381:21, 2383:23, 2383:25, 2383:37, 2387:14, 2387:17, 2387:18, 2387:27, 2389:12, 2393:3, 2393:19, 2393:29, 2394:6, 2394:46, 2397:22, 2397:25, 2402:19, 2405:39, 2408:6, 2411:1, 2411:40, 2413:6, 2413:8, 2414:23, 2414:24, 2415:16, 2415:36, 2415:38, 2416:47, 2417:23, 2417:27</p> <p>seeing [10] - 2381:35, 2383:31, 2387:35, 2392:30, 2393:24, 2395:38, 2413:16, 2414:7, 2414:38, 2415:9</p> <p>seek [6] - 2361:11, 2361:39, 2362:19, 2413:11, 2413:37, 2416:34</p> <p>self [2] - 2416:3, 2416:6</p> <p>self-help [2] - 2416:3, 2416:6</p> <p>selfish [1] - 2417:32</p> <p>send [3] - 2406:9, 2406:11, 2417:34</p> <p>senior [3] - 2363:24, 2366:42, 2384:36</p> <p>sense [3] - 2369:26, 2371:9, 2373:22</p> <p>sent [1] - 2406:7</p> <p>separate [1] - 2414:41</p> <p>separately [1] - 2397:12</p> <p>September [1] - 2375:22</p> <p>sergeant [2] - 2363:24, 2394:24</p> <p>sergeants [1] - 2384:36</p> <p>serious [4] - 2369:24, 2369:27, 2385:15, 2388:20</p> <p>seriously [5] - 2365:46, 2398:16, 2399:22, 2412:15, 2415:17</p> <p>serve [1] - 2376:31</p> <p>service [11] - 2367:8, 2367:17, 2374:38,</p>
--	---	--	---	---

2375:8, 2393:26, 2410:14, 2410:20, 2414:10, 2414:20, 2417:28, 2417:41 SERVICE [1] - 2360:12 Service [30] - 2361:32, 2365:12, 2374:32, 2376:3, 2376:19, 2378:2, 2378:23, 2378:32, 2378:37, 2382:36, 2383:12, 2384:3, 2386:16, 2388:25, 2394:18, 2394:25, 2398:10, 2398:13, 2398:19, 2398:24, 2398:28, 2398:38, 2403:7, 2404:19, 2406:23, 2408:8, 2415:14, 2416:29, 2416:31, 2417:45 services [3] - 2410:19, 2411:29, 2414:41 servng [2] - 2394:28, 2394:31 sessions [1] - 2370:14 set [10] - 2371:31, 2375:32, 2376:28, 2379:34, 2387:14, 2406:34, 2412:28, 2412:31, 2415:39 sets [2] - 2395:8, 2407:15 seven [1] - 2388:8 Seventy [1] - 2395:41 Seventy-eight [1] - 2395:41 several [1] - 2402:14 severe [2] - 2371:46, 2396:21 severely [1] - 2387:20 sex [1] - 2397:25 sexism [14] - 2363:31, 2363:46, 2364:12, 2364:25, 2364:35, 2364:44, 2367:29, 2367:47, 2368:25, 2368:45, 2369:28, 2371:11, 2396:8, 2403:1 sexist [3] - 2385:15, 2385:32, 2389:5 sexual [11] - 2385:16, 2389:4, 2395:26, 2395:37, 2395:38, 2397:12, 2398:7, 2399:10, 2399:15, 2399:24, 2399:37 sexualised [1] -	2397:31 sexually [3] - 2397:13, 2399:2 shelved [1] - 2417:43 shift [4] - 2405:34, 2405:36, 2406:46, 2416:43 shifts [3] - 2404:10, 2407:4, 2407:9 shocked [1] - 2408:14 shoestrng [1] - 2404:24 short [4] - 2369:24, 2390:16, 2408:10, 2408:24 SHORT [1] - 2408:39 shorter [1] - 2405:14 show [1] - 2406:32 shows [2] - 2384:39, 2395:34 sick [4] - 2375:43, 2380:45, 2383:15, 2413:6 side [1] - 2378:25 sidetrack [1] - 2362:35 siege [2] - 2370:5, 2370:9 significant [4] - 2370:2, 2370:12, 2395:39, 2412:33 silly [1] - 2386:46 silos [1] - 2383:7 simplified [1] - 2384:40 simply [5] - 2361:39, 2362:20, 2372:22, 2373:14, 2418:20 sister [1] - 2368:13 situation [2] - 2366:9, 2392:25 situations [1] - 2405:38 six [3] - 2384:33, 2388:7, 2414:28 size [1] - 2389:12 skill [2] - 2371:31, 2407:15 skills [1] - 2393:1 sleep [1] - 2416:44 small [8] - 2367:35, 2368:1, 2368:2, 2368:7, 2368:24, 2368:26, 2368:41, 2409:1 smiling [1] - 2408:31 social [2] - 2363:5, 2407:16 socialise [4] - 2369:37, 2369:38,	2369:39 society [9] - 2367:34, 2379:14, 2385:37, 2392:29, 2397:28, 2397:29, 2397:31, 2398:45, 2415:16 society's [1] - 2417:19 solve [3] - 2378:18, 2415:28, 2417:5 someone [18] - 2368:19, 2369:7, 2391:2, 2392:47, 2394:35, 2396:20, 2399:2, 2401:1, 2401:43, 2402:34, 2405:34, 2406:8, 2406:11, 2406:36, 2411:17, 2413:8, 2414:15, 2414:18 sometimes [12] - 2365:10, 2366:10, 2366:20, 2373:30, 2382:35, 2384:23, 2386:37, 2394:6, 2398:18, 2413:17, 2415:4, 2417:22 son [2] - 2393:33, 2413:47 son's [1] - 2372:19 sorry [8] - 2362:8, 2362:35, 2365:28, 2372:41, 2379:45, 2383:37, 2396:24, 2410:2 sort [5] - 2365:4, 2369:44, 2387:47, 2388:38, 2393:10 sorts [6] - 2368:46, 2370:22, 2370:31, 2382:38, 2383:47, 2385:17 sought [1] - 2412:46 South [1] - 2416:4 space [4] - 2379:29, 2410:6, 2412:11, 2416:27 speaking [2] - 2364:34, 2397:2 specialist [5] - 2407:16, 2407:17, 2410:4, 2410:11, 2410:15 specific [3] - 2373:29, 2401:18, 2412:47 specifically [2] - 2363:46, 2404:32 spend [1] - 2417:6 spending [1] - 2416:27 spent [1] - 2417:14	spoken [6] - 2375:36, 2379:3, 2384:32, 2401:41, 2404:13, 2415:23 sponsor [1] - 2374:18 square [1] - 2417:19 staff [8] - 2390:21, 2391:17, 2391:32, 2391:33, 2407:26, 2408:12, 2410:10 stage [1] - 2416:1 stages [1] - 2406:44 stand [10] - 2369:2, 2372:36, 2373:13, 2373:14, 2373:19, 2373:23, 2379:41, 2380:36, 2395:45, 2400:3 standard [4] - 2368:18, 2376:28, 2386:10, 2392:9 Standards [5] - 2361:34, 2361:40, 2362:12, 2362:18, 2388:35 standards [14] - 2374:45, 2375:31, 2375:32, 2376:6, 2376:20, 2376:25, 2376:26, 2376:29, 2391:45, 2391:46, 2392:43, 2397:30, 2409:39, 2413:32 standing [1] - 2377:45 stands [1] - 2368:21 start [6] - 2395:15, 2404:23, 2412:9, 2415:31, 2415:47, 2416:46 started [1] - 2403:33 State [2] - 2364:29, 2368:4 state [18] - 2364:30, 2366:36, 2368:9, 2371:14, 2371:42, 2390:25, 2390:28, 2390:30, 2392:31, 2399:13, 2403:40, 2407:24, 2409:19, 2409:31, 2409:33, 2410:11, 2410:21, 2417:38 statement [8] - 2366:46, 2367:3, 2367:31, 2368:11, 2369:3, 2385:1, 2402:39, 2414:37 Station [1] - 2383:18 station [3] - 2386:5, 2387:38, 2401:11	stations [1] - 2369:37 stats [1] - 2413:8 stay [2] - 2417:9, 2417:30 step [6] - 2376:19, 2378:25, 2386:17, 2386:27, 2397:47, 2413:4 steps [1] - 2402:30 stick [1] - 2393:36 stigma [1] - 2373:36 still [12] - 2367:34, 2368:21, 2376:40, 2379:27, 2381:19, 2382:13, 2390:8, 2393:22, 2394:24, 2394:38, 2412:41 stole [1] - 2416:4 stood [1] - 2380:20 stop [2] - 2387:46, 2414:32 stops [2] - 2368:15, 2411:10 stories [1] - 2367:38 straight [1] - 2381:41 Street [1] - 2360:35 street [1] - 2380:47 streets [1] - 2393:28 strength [1] - 2411:3 stress [1] - 2414:20 strong [1] - 2363:9 structure [2] - 2390:37, 2391:33 struggle [1] - 2392:41 struggling [5] - 2371:41, 2372:36, 2416:11, 2416:14, 2416:20 students [1] - 2375:23 studying [1] - 2406:2 stupid [1] - 2386:46 subbranch [1] - 2390:26 subcategory [1] - 2398:30 subject [4] - 2364:2, 2366:2, 2366:25, 2389:32 subjected [2] - 2369:8, 2369:27 subjectively [1] - 2397:25 submission [1] - 2384:47 submissions [3] - 2363:43, 2368:45, 2370:19 subsequently [1] - 2383:41 substantial [1] -
---	---	--	---	---

<p>2406:13 substantiate [1] - 2388:19 subtle [1] - 2369:27 successes [1] - 2385:46 successful [3] - 2388:27, 2388:31, 2392:19 suffer [2] - 2411:33, 2414:19 suggest [11] - 2364:15, 2365:24, 2366:16, 2368:45, 2375:10, 2387:3, 2388:14, 2396:36, 2398:12, 2399:45 suggested [2] - 2374:8, 2376:12 suggesting [1] - 2382:28 suggestion [4] - 2361:24, 2378:1, 2393:8, 2417:7 suit [1] - 2416:5 summit [1] - 2393:15 sums [1] - 2412:12 Sunday [1] - 2407:2 supervisor [1] - 2416:19 supplement [2] - 2407:14, 2407:18 supplemented [1] - 2407:42 supplementing [1] - 2407:13 support [37] - 2364:47, 2365:3, 2365:15, 2365:18, 2365:23, 2365:25, 2365:39, 2366:22, 2366:35, 2366:38, 2366:39, 2369:21, 2372:19, 2372:20, 2372:21, 2373:7, 2374:25, 2374:30, 2374:37, 2375:6, 2389:36, 2391:4, 2395:19, 2395:28, 2398:2, 2398:12, 2399:6, 2400:4, 2400:9, 2401:23, 2403:12, 2404:6, 2406:30, 2407:22, 2407:23, 2407:35, 2410:19 supported [4] - 2365:21, 2371:17, 2372:2, 2397:42 supporting [3] -</p>	<p>2374:37, 2399:45, 2407:28 supportive [1] - 2372:16 supports [1] - 2411:3 suppose [2] - 2396:5, 2396:13 survey [4] - 2395:35, 2398:15, 2398:25, 2399:38 surveys [1] - 2398:5 survival [1] - 2415:32 sustainable [1] - 2409:33 Suzie [1] - 2361:13 SWORN [1] - 2362:40 sworn [5] - 2363:21, 2392:23, 2392:40, 2405:44, 2407:31 symposium [1] - 2411:30 symptoms [2] - 2415:42, 2415:47 syndrome [2] - 2411:34, 2411:40 system [21] - 2370:35, 2376:37, 2376:47, 2378:41, 2379:33, 2382:44, 2382:46, 2384:15, 2385:25, 2385:34, 2386:2, 2388:42, 2391:8, 2392:27, 2398:40, 2398:44, 2402:13, 2412:31, 2412:40, 2415:2 system's [1] - 2378:42</p>	<p>2384:11 taxpayers' [1] - 2381:24 teachers [1] - 2417:25 team [2] - 2369:35, 2412:2 teams [5] - 2369:36, 2369:37, 2369:38, 2384:44, 2412:11 technically [1] - 2401:10 tenure [1] - 2417:10 terms [7] - 2363:20, 2368:33, 2373:39, 2379:15, 2404:44, 2405:5, 2409:38 tested [1] - 2401:40 thankfully [1] - 2367:33 THE [2] - 2418:15, 2418:24 themselves [4] - 2372:28, 2372:35, 2373:3, 2413:42 theory [3] - 2388:31, 2406:31, 2408:6 thinking [1] - 2382:28 third [2] - 2366:31, 2389:36 threats [1] - 2369:24 three [18] - 2366:20, 2375:27, 2383:3, 2383:13, 2383:32, 2384:38, 2387:4, 2387:29, 2387:31, 2387:33, 2388:34, 2393:25, 2393:45, 2399:19, 2413:47, 2414:21, 2414:28, 2416:45 three-year-old [3] - 2387:29, 2387:31, 2387:33 throttle [1] - 2369:15 throughout [3] - 2385:36, 2390:30, 2398:44 throwing [2] - 2404:35, 2417:47 Thursday [2] - 2390:29, 2407:2 ticket [1] - 2372:5 tight [1] - 2386:13 timely [2] - 2379:32, 2386:1 tired [3] - 2375:43, 2380:45, 2404:11 tirelessly [1] - 2377:18 Tivoli [1] - 2387:26 TO [1] - 2360:12</p>	<p>today [6] - 2368:21, 2382:28, 2384:24, 2402:39, 2409:23, 2418:17 together [7] - 2369:37, 2369:38, 2369:39, 2383:6, 2383:27, 2411:43, 2417:26 token [2] - 2376:13, 2376:15 tokenism [5] - 2400:1, 2401:22, 2401:29, 2401:30, 2401:45 tokens [1] - 2375:6 toll [1] - 2413:15 tomorrow [1] - 2384:25 took [2] - 2374:3, 2412:43 tool [1] - 2386:30 tools [1] - 2394:40 Toowoomba [1] - 2410:13 top [1] - 2371:29 topic [1] - 2385:6 totally [1] - 2376:3 touch [6] - 2377:38, 2380:38, 2381:12, 2381:18, 2381:19, 2382:38 touches [1] - 2385:1 touching [3] - 2397:14, 2399:3 tough [3] - 2372:17, 2394:7, 2416:10 towards [3] - 2367:19, 2378:40, 2380:24 Towers [1] - 2390:26 tracking [1] - 2392:42 traditionally [1] - 2417:20 trained [1] - 2403:26 training [21] - 2373:44, 2384:4, 2403:31, 2403:33, 2403:37, 2403:39, 2403:40, 2403:45, 2403:46, 2404:4, 2404:10, 2404:17, 2404:19, 2404:47, 2405:32, 2406:1, 2406:21, 2406:25, 2406:27, 2406:29 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2360:3 transparent [3] - 2374:44, 2375:8, 2376:4 trauma [1] - 2404:4 trauma-informed [1] -</p>	<p>2404:4 traumatic [2] - 2365:41, 2414:20 traumatisation [1] - 2369:12 travel [2] - 2399:13, 2410:25 traverse [1] - 2362:32 traversed [1] - 2364:10 treat [8] - 2368:12, 2368:18, 2368:20, 2368:37, 2400:9, 2412:15, 2415:17 treated [3] - 2368:14, 2368:21, 2397:25 treating [1] - 2409:20 treatment [4] - 2413:11, 2413:38, 2416:1, 2416:8 tremendous [1] - 2413:15 tried [5] - 2373:6, 2373:25, 2373:34, 2373:38, 2374:5 troublemaker [1] - 2372:27 true [1] - 2397:36 truly [2] - 2367:44, 2404:9 try [3] - 2373:35, 2392:34, 2417:27 trying [12] - 2373:10, 2374:6, 2381:16, 2381:18, 2384:26, 2384:38, 2386:45, 2391:43, 2392:10, 2394:35, 2404:36, 2416:26 Twenty [1] - 2392:39 two [16] - 2362:18, 2363:36, 2364:1, 2364:10, 2367:28, 2375:26, 2381:33, 2384:35, 2395:36, 2395:40, 2406:8, 2406:25, 2406:36, 2406:41, 2409:1, 2415:8 two-page [1] - 2362:18 type [2] - 2400:5, 2404:42 tyranny [1] - 2410:24</p>
T				
<p>table [2] - 2371:29, 2393:19 tackle [1] - 2394:36 talks [1] - 2373:27 target [2] - 2374:6, 2387:47 targeted [1] - 2393:38 targeting [2] - 2375:25, 2375:29 targets [1] - 2400:15 tarnish [1] - 2368:42 tarnished [2] - 2369:47, 2396:43 Taskforce [1] - 2377:8 taskforce [9] - 2377:18, 2377:23, 2381:28, 2381:29, 2381:30, 2381:36, 2381:40, 2383:2 Tasmania [1] -</p>				
U				
<p>UK [1] - 2384:14 unacceptable [2] - 2376:22, 2397:28</p>				

<p>uncontroversial [1] - 2409:12</p> <p>under [6] - 2365:12, 2370:5, 2370:9, 2377:46, 2396:25, 2417:47</p> <p>under-resourced [1] - 2377:46</p> <p>underlying [1] - 2402:43</p> <p>understood [1] - 2365:28</p> <p>unemployment [4] - 2392:14, 2392:15, 2404:37, 2404:39</p> <p>unfair [2] - 2375:1, 2375:4</p> <p>uniforms [1] - 2407:34</p> <p>Union [2] - 2362:45, 2395:4</p> <p>union [47] - 2363:4, 2363:9, 2364:11, 2365:6, 2366:8, 2366:28, 2366:30, 2370:44, 2371:7, 2371:14, 2371:22, 2371:36, 2371:40, 2372:17, 2372:26, 2372:34, 2373:27, 2373:36, 2373:45, 2374:3, 2374:7, 2374:8, 2374:9, 2374:30, 2374:37, 2386:32, 2389:16, 2389:26, 2390:20, 2391:28, 2391:33, 2393:25, 2394:24, 2394:27, 2394:30, 2398:8, 2398:35, 2398:46, 2399:6, 2399:44, 2401:35, 2402:1, 2402:28, 2405:8, 2405:9, 2405:13, 2409:7</p> <p>union's [2] - 2375:45, 2388:12</p> <p>unions [1] - 2374:14</p> <p>unique [1] - 2400:32</p> <p>unison [1] - 2391:4</p> <p>Unit [1] - 2411:28</p> <p>unless [2] - 2369:31, 2372:16</p> <p>unlike [2] - 2396:30, 2402:2</p> <p>unload [1] - 2365:11</p> <p>unsociable [1] - 2393:26</p> <p>unsustainable [1] - 2404:25</p> <p>untruthful [1] - 2375:9</p>	<p>unworkable [2] - 2384:30, 2403:44</p> <p>up [17] - 2371:45, 2374:3, 2377:26, 2377:45, 2378:39, 2379:34, 2394:8, 2401:10, 2404:21, 2406:34, 2409:41, 2410:13, 2412:24, 2412:28, 2412:31, 2413:20, 2413:45</p> <p>upfront [1] - 2376:6</p> <p>ups [1] - 2414:30</p> <p>US [1] - 2415:34</p> <p>useful [1] - 2386:30</p> <p>utility [1] - 2383:47</p> <p>utterly [1] - 2377:47</p>	<p>views [13] - 2380:1, 2380:16, 2381:15, 2382:36, 2383:41, 2384:44, 2385:2, 2387:9, 2394:5, 2401:41, 2403:28, 2405:14</p> <p>VIOLENCE [1] - 2360:12</p> <p>violence [34] - 2363:45, 2365:21, 2365:31, 2365:36, 2365:38, 2365:43, 2366:3, 2366:26, 2366:32, 2377:20, 2378:11, 2378:19, 2378:21, 2379:5, 2379:29, 2384:6, 2384:15, 2402:44, 2403:3, 2403:7, 2403:13, 2403:27, 2403:32, 2404:5, 2407:17, 2409:31, 2409:32, 2409:33, 2409:43, 2410:6, 2410:8, 2410:33, 2413:15, 2414:7</p> <p>violence-informed [1] - 2404:5</p> <p>vital [2] - 2372:11, 2407:30</p> <p>vitality [4] - 2371:20, 2371:44, 2393:2, 2415:25</p> <p>vocal [1] - 2375:2</p> <p>vote [3] - 2400:38, 2401:10, 2404:21</p> <p>voted [1] - 2417:9</p> <p>voting [2] - 2401:37, 2401:44</p>	<p>2363:26</p> <p>watching [4] - 2386:17, 2386:26, 2388:25, 2388:26</p> <p>ways [2] - 2366:17, 2405:25</p> <p>weeds [1] - 2408:5</p> <p>week [11] - 2369:6, 2369:11, 2383:23, 2384:13, 2384:20, 2396:39, 2399:18, 2399:30, 2406:8, 2406:36, 2406:41</p> <p>weekends [2] - 2405:39, 2406:47</p> <p>weeks [2] - 2384:33, 2393:35</p> <p>weighted [1] - 2417:40</p> <p>welcome [1] - 2414:12</p> <p>welfare [4] - 2373:47, 2387:3, 2387:23, 2416:27</p> <p>wellbeing [1] - 2397:9</p> <p>Western [2] - 2392:12, 2393:42</p> <p>whacked [1] - 2382:24</p> <p>whereas [1] - 2368:5</p> <p>whilst [1] - 2388:14</p> <p>who've [1] - 2365:21</p> <p>whoever's [1] - 2394:30</p> <p>whole [2] - 2398:40, 2398:45</p> <p>willing [4] - 2373:3, 2373:12, 2373:13, 2373:23</p> <p>win [2] - 2375:28, 2401:46</p> <p>window [1] - 2404:12</p> <p>window-dressing [1] - 2404:12</p> <p>winners [1] - 2404:22</p> <p>wish [3] - 2365:15, 2396:1, 2400:4</p> <p>wishes [2] - 2396:2, 2398:1</p> <p>WITHDREW [1] - 2418:15</p> <p>witness [2] - 2361:3, 2418:17</p> <p>WITNESS [1] - 2418:15</p> <p>witnessed [2] - 2399:37, 2399:39</p> <p>witnesses [2] - 2389:33, 2403:36</p> <p>woeful [1] - 2409:33</p> <p>woke [12] - 2374:42, 2375:43, 2376:9,</p>	<p>2376:35, 2377:37, 2380:38, 2381:11, 2381:17, 2381:19, 2382:3, 2382:37, 2383:29</p> <p>woman [5] - 2400:30, 2400:43, 2401:18, 2401:32, 2402:31</p> <p>Women [1] - 2374:13</p> <p>women [62] - 2362:28, 2363:13, 2363:17, 2364:24, 2364:34, 2364:44, 2364:45, 2368:10, 2368:12, 2369:26, 2370:3, 2370:9, 2370:43, 2371:3, 2371:8, 2371:10, 2371:37, 2372:3, 2372:33, 2372:35, 2372:36, 2372:38, 2372:42, 2372:44, 2372:45, 2373:2, 2373:3, 2373:10, 2373:12, 2373:13, 2373:19, 2373:22, 2373:25, 2373:39, 2373:40, 2374:20, 2374:38, 2374:39, 2374:45, 2374:46, 2375:2, 2375:5, 2375:26, 2375:41, 2376:16, 2376:44, 2378:32, 2378:36, 2382:45, 2390:23, 2391:10, 2391:19, 2395:26, 2396:41, 2397:25, 2399:46, 2400:16, 2400:20, 2400:29, 2401:22, 2405:37</p> <p>Women's [2] - 2371:19, 2377:7</p> <p>won [1] - 2371:18</p> <p>word [5] - 2374:42, 2383:12, 2383:29, 2383:31, 2400:15</p> <p>words [5] - 2380:28, 2397:24, 2407:9, 2411:16, 2413:22</p> <p>work's [1] - 2406:47</p> <p>workable [1] - 2384:9</p> <p>WorkCover [2] - 2413:8, 2416:39</p> <p>workers [3] - 2407:16, 2410:11, 2411:38</p> <p>workforce [2] - 2392:13, 2393:2</p> <p>workload [1] - 2413:30</p> <p>workplace [3] -</p>	
V					
		W			
		<p>wages [3] - 2406:10, 2410:19, 2412:8</p> <p>wait [2] - 2392:27, 2414:28</p> <p>waiting [1] - 2408:2</p> <p>Wales [1] - 2416:5</p> <p>walk [1] - 2380:47</p> <p>walls [2] - 2390:3, 2390:4</p> <p>wants [2] - 2365:17, 2376:13</p> <p>WAS [1] - 2418:24</p> <p>watchdog [1] - 2386:26</p> <p>watched [3] - 2363:34, 2409:5, 2409:30</p> <p>watchhouse [1] -</p>			

2367:41, 2391:37,
2399:38

workplaces ^[1] -

2405:38

works ^[1] - 2402:5

world ^[5] - 2367:33,

2384:17, 2392:12,

2393:42, 2394:9

worry ^[1] - 2362:29

worst ^[2] - 2387:27,

2413:16

worthwhile ^[3] -

2376:2, 2383:42,

2388:15

wronged ^[1] - 2375:4

Y

yards ^[1] - 2417:37

year ^[15] - 2366:11,

2366:20, 2366:47,

2371:5, 2375:36,

2375:41, 2377:9,

2387:29, 2387:31,

2387:33, 2410:25,

2412:9, 2412:14,

2412:19, 2413:21

years ^[22] - 2368:32,

2372:7, 2373:41,

2376:19, 2379:27,

2382:23, 2387:4,

2388:34, 2393:11,

2393:26, 2393:45,

2400:16, 2402:20,

2406:26, 2408:2,

2408:9, 2413:47,

2414:21, 2415:9,

2417:13, 2417:14,

2417:19

yesterday ^[4] -

2361:7, 2361:13,

2362:24, 2381:33

young ^[7] - 2375:27,

2392:20, 2393:4,

2393:47, 2394:6,

2411:37, 2415:46

younger ^[3] - 2392:32,

2393:23, 2393:40

yourself ^[2] - 2368:21,

2399:13

youth ^[1] - 2411:31

Z

Zealand ^[2] - 2392:11,

2393:17